Serum estradiol levels in controlled ovarian stimulation directly affect the endometrium
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Abstract
Previous studies have shown that increasing estradiol concentrations had a toxic effect on the embryo and were deleterious to embryo adhesion. In this study, we evaluated the physiological impact of estradiol concentrations on endometrial cells to reveal that serum estradiol levels probably targeted the endometrium in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) protocols. An attachment model of human choriocarcinoma (JAr) cell spheroids to receptive-phase endometrial epithelial cells and Ishikawa cells treated with different estradiol (10−9 M or 10−7 M) concentrations was developed. Differentially expressed protein profiling of the Ishikawa cells was performed by proteomic analysis. Estradiol at 10−7 M demonstrated a high attachment rate of JAr spheroids to the endometrial cell monolayers. Using iTRAQ coupled with LC–MS/MS, we identified 45 differentially expressed proteins containing 43 significantly upregulated and 2 downregulated proteins in Ishikawa cells treated with 10−7 M estradiol. Differential expression of C3, plasminogen and kininogen-1 by Western blot confirmed the proteomic results. C3, plasminogen and kininogen-1 localization in human receptive endometrial luminal epithelium highlighted the key proteins as possible targets for endometrial receptivity and interception. Ingenuity pathway analysis of differentially expressed proteins exhibited a variety of signaling pathways, including LXR/RXR activation pathway and acute-phase response signaling and upstream regulators (TNF, IL6, Hmgn3 and miR-140-3p) associated with endometrial receptivity. The observed estrogenic effect on differential proteome dynamics in Ishikawa cells indicates that the human endometrium is the probable target for serum estradiol levels in COH cycles. The findings are also important for future functional studies with the identified proteins that may influence embryo implantation.
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Introduction

Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) is usually examined by serum estradiol (E$_2$) levels for IVF cycles. Serum estradiol (E$_2$), up to a certain level, influences embryonic implantation during COH in a concentration-dependent manner (Joo et al. 2010). Multiple ovarian follicle maturation will produce supraphysiological serum E$_2$ levels that may induce morphologic (Kolb et al. 1997) and biochemical (Simón et al. 1996) endometrial alterations related to uterine receptivity. In humans (Paulson et al. 1990, Ng et al. 2000) and mice (Fossum et al. 1989), COH led to an increase in estrogen to supraphysiological levels that might compromise embryo implantation, although this contrasts with other reports where elevated E$_2$ levels were found to be associated with no change or increased implantation rate (Chenette et al. 1990, Sharara & McClamrock 1999). Mirkin et al. (2004) reported that high E$_2$ levels might impair endometrial receptivity, particularly when COH was used in conjunction with fresh embryo transfers. Clinical studies demonstrated different results. In high responders, regardless of the serum progesterone (P$_4$) levels, high serum E$_2$ levels on the day of hCG administration were detrimental to uterine receptivity without affecting the embryo quality (Simón et al. 1998), while, decreasing E$_2$ levels during the preimplantation period by a step-down protocol increased embryo implantation and pregnancy rates (Valbuena et al. 1999). On the other hand, one study found high pregnancy rates in higher responder patients (Papageorgiou et al. 2002). In vitro studies showed that increasing estradiol concentrations from 10$^{-8}$M to 10$^{-4}$M are deleterious to embryo adhesion because they directly affected the embryo (Valbuena et al. 2001). Recently, a novel mechanism of supraphysiological level of E$_2$-induced Aqp5/8-dependent excessive intrauterine fluid accumulation predicted human implantation failure (Zhang et al. 2015). Proteomic analysis of the human receptive vs non-receptive endometrium showed differential proteomic repertoire during the window of implantation (Dominguez et al. 2009). However, the impact of high E$_2$ levels to predict IVF outcome has been the subject of debate.

Following the reported research that serum E$_2$ levels impact the pregnancy outcome of in vitro fertilization in a concentration-dependent manner, we undertook a more robust and accurate method of protein expression, quantification by mass spectrometry using iTRAQ isobaric tags coupled with 2D nano LC–MS/MS, to screen the comparative proteomic profiling of Ishikawa cells pretreated with different E$_2$ (10$^{-8}$M or 10$^{-7}$M) concentrations. The Ishikawa cells have the characteristics of glandular and luminal epithelium along with structural proteins and apical adhesiveness to JAr cells and may serve as an excellent model for in vitro study of endocrine signaling in the endometrium (Castelbaum et al. 1997, Heneweer et al. 2005, Mo et al. 2006). The Ishikawa cell line also expresses MUC1 markers and steroid (estrogen, progesterone and androgen) receptors and is considered most useful for examining the early events and functional interactions that occur between the luminal epithelium and the trophectoderm (Hannan et al. 2010). The expression of proteins was also validated by Western blot. Protein localization in the endometrial luminal epithelium during the receptive phase (LH+7) was performed by immunofluorescence. The endometrium is receptive to embryonic implantation for a 2-day period (from LH+7 to LH+9) corresponding to days 21–23 of the menstrual cycle, in the mid-secretory phase, the so-called ‘window of implantation’ (Dominguez et al. 2009).

The proteomic results, combined with immunofluorescent localization, will not only examine the physiological interactions of E$_2$ with endometrium in COH cycles that is useful for in vitro fertilization (IVF), but should gain new insight into this complex processes as well. Identification of novel biomarkers affected with E$_2$ concentrations represents a relatively unexplored area related to embryo implantation. Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) was used to determine affected pathways and predicted upstream regulators for the cells as well.

Materials and methods

Patients and sample collection

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Ethics Committee of School of Medicine, Zhejiang University. A written informed consent was obtained from each subject before tissue collection. These women were healthy and not taking any drugs in the past six months. They attended Women’s Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University to undergo in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer (IVF-ET) treatment because of infertility due to tube pathology without hydrosalpinges. The receptive-phase (LH+7) endometrial samples were obtained with a biopsy catheter during the spontaneous menstrual cycle for diagnostic purposes before IVF-ET cycle. Shortly after collection, some endometrial tissues were fixed in...
10% formalin and processed for paraffin embedding, and others were placed into the DMEM/F-12 medium (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) for cell culture within 2h.

Cells and cell culture

Endometrial tissues were cut into 2–3 mm pieces and digested with 1 mg/mL of collagenase type 1A in DMEM/F12 for 1.5 h at 37°C. It was then filtered through 250µm nylon sieve to remove mucus and undigested tissue. The filter was passed through a 40µm nylon sieve, which allowed the stromal cells to pass through, while intact glands were retained. Glands were recovered from the filter by backwashing with DMEM/F12 containing 10% bovine serum albumin and seeded on to the six-well plates for future study for certain durations.

The Ishikawa cell line (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) was obtained from Shanghai Institutes for Biological Science and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 U/mL penicillin and streptomycin antibiotics. When the cells reached confluence, the medium was replaced with phenol red free RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% charcoal/dextran-treated FBS (all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich). For hormonal treatments, E2 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the culture media to a final concentration of 10−9 M (close to the physiological concentration in women at the mid-secretory phase) and 10−7 M (close to the supraphysiological concentration in women with controlled ovarian hyperstimulation), for certain durations according to the experimental purposes (Valbuena et al. 2001).

JAr spheroid attachment to endometrial epithelial cell monolayers

In in vitro attachment model, multicellular spheroids of human choriocarcinoma (JAr) cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA; HTB 144) were applied to receptive-phase human endometrial epithelial cell monolayers and Ishikawa cell layers, respectively. The endometrial epithelial cells were pretreated with 10−9 M or 10−7 M E2 and cultured for 3 days. JAr spheroids were prepared according to a standard procedure (Hohn et al. 2000) and transferred onto the surface of confluent cell monolayers for 1 h (50 spheroids/dish for primary cultured endometrial cells and 45 spheroids/dish for Ishikawa cells). Non-adherent spheroids were detached by centrifugation (10 g; 10 min) of the six-well plates with the cell surface facing down. We counted the attached spheroids under a light microscope and the attachment rate was calculated for each well as follows: attachment rate equals the ratio of the number of spheroids attached to the number of spheroids seeded. This experiment was repeated at least three times.

Protein extraction

Protein extractions from Ishikawa cells treated with 10−9 M and 10−7 M E2, respectively, were performed with cell lysis buffer (4% SDS, 1 mM DTT, 150 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0) at 95°C for 20 min, followed by sonication on ice. The crude extracts were incubated at 95°C for 5 min and cleared by centrifugation (SCILOGEX D3024R, Inc., Rocky Hill, CT, USA) at 14,000 g for 30 min at 15°C. Thereafter, the supernatant was collected and protein concentration was measured by the BCA protein assay reagent (Pierce).

Protein digestion and iTRAQ labeling

Protein digestion was performed according to the FASP procedure (Wisniewski et al. 2009). Four biological replicates were included in the analysis. Briefly, 200 µg of total protein samples were diluted in 30 µL 4% SDS, 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0 and 100 mM dithiothreitol solution and heated at 95°C for 5 min. After each sample was cooled to room temperature, it was loaded onto an ultrafiltration filter (cutoff 10 kDa, Sartorius, Germany). We added 200 µL UT buffer (8 M Urea and 150 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0) to the filter and centrifuged it at 14,000 g at 20°C for 30 min. Subsequently, 100 µL of iodoacetamide solution (50 mM iodoacetamide in UT buffer) was added for blocking reduced cysteines, and the samples were further incubated for 20 min in darkness. The filters were centrifuged at 14,000 g at 20°C for 20 min and washed (twice) with 100 µL UT buffer at 14,000 g for further 20 min. The dissolution buffer (100 µL, AB Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) was added to the filter followed by centrifugation at 14,000 g at 20°C for 30 min. This step was repeated twice. Finally, 40 µL of trypsin (Promega) buffer (2 µg trypsin in 40 µL dissolution buffer) was added, and the samples were digested overnight at 37°C. Each filter unit was transferred to a new tube and centrifuged at 14,000 g at 20°C for 30 min. The resulting peptide concentrations were estimated by UV light spectral density at OD280 (Sheng et al. 2012). Then, the peptide mixtures were labeled using the 8-plex iTRAQ reagent
according to the manufacturer’s instructions (AB Sciex, Framingham). Four samples from control group, treated with $10^{-9}$ M $E_2$, were labeled with mass 114, 115, 116 and 117 isobaric iTRAQ tags, while the other four samples from high $E_2$ group ($10^{-7}$ M $E_2$) were labeled with mass 118, 119, 120 and 121 isobaric iTRAQ tags. The labeling solution was incubated at room temperature for 2 h before further analysis.

**Strong cationic exchange chromatography separation**

The combined sample was acidified (pH=8.0) with 1% trifluoroacetic acid before being subjected to strong cationic-exchange chromatography (SCX) fractionation using a PolySULFOETHYL column (4.6 x 100 mm, 5 μm, 200 Å, Poly LC Inc., Columbia, MD, USA). Solvent A consisted of 10mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ in 25% (v/v) ACN and solvent B was solvent A with 500mM KCl added. The solvents were applied using a gradient of 0–10% solvent B for 2 min, 10–20% solvent B for 25 min, 20–45% solvent B for 5 min and 50–100% solvent B for 5 min. The elution was monitored by absorbance at 214 nm and fractions were collected every 1 min. Finally, these samples were combined into 10 fractions based on the quantity of peptide and then desalted on C18 cartridges (Sigma). Each SCX salt step fraction was dried in a vacuum centrifuge and reconstituted with 40 μL 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid.

**LC–ESI-MS/MS analysis**

Peptide mixture (5 μg) from each fraction was subjected to nano LC–MS/MS analysis. The mixtures were loaded onto the Thermo EASY-nLC column (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA) (100 mm x 75 μm, 3 μm) in solvent C (0.1% formic acid) and separated with a linear gradient of solvent D (80% acetonitrile with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid) at a flow rate of 300nl/min over 120 min with 0–100 min with 0–45% solvent D; 100–108 min with 45–100% solvent D and 108–120 min with 100% solvent D. The Q-Exactive (Thermo Finnigan) mass spectrometer acquired data in the positive ion mode (2.2kV) with a selected mass range of 300–800 mass/charge (m/z). Dynamic exclusion was used with 40.0s duration. Q-Exactive survey scans were set as 70,000 at m/z 200 and 17,500 at m/z 200 of resolution for HCD spectra. MS/MS data were acquired using a data-dependent acquisition method with the top 10 most abundant precursor ions. The normalized collision energy was 30eV and the under fill ratio was defined as 0.1% on the Q-Exactive.

**Protein identification and quantification**

Protein identification and quantification were performed with high accuracy using MaxQuant, version 1.2.2.5 software in combination with Andromeda search engine (Cox et al. 2011). The acquired data from triplicate MS runs for each sample were combined and searched against an International Protein Index (IPI 3.83) human protein sequence database using the MaxQuant computational proteomics platform, version 1.2.0.18 (Cox & Mann 2008). A decoy version of the IPI human database was used to estimate peptide and protein false discovery rate. A FDR of 0.01 was applied for both protein and peptide identification, ensuring that at most only 1% of proteins would be falsely identified. Ratios were obtained for both the groups $10^{-9}$M to $10^{-7}$M $E_2$ and then inverted. Significant protein ratio, cutoff, was set at a significance value ≤0.05 as calculated by MaxQuant (Cox & Mann 2008, Cox et al. 2009). Carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as a fixed modification, with protein N-terminal acetylation and oxidation of methionine as variable modifications, enzyme: trypsin/P, maximum number of missed cleavages. The processed MS data generated by MaxQuant are presented in the supporting information data Table 1.

**Western blotting analysis**

The cell extracts were prepared by lysing unsorted epithelial cells with RIPA buffer containing 150mM NaCl, 50mM Tris–HCl (pH=8), 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma). The cell extract (20μg) was run on an 8–10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose transfer membrane (Bio-Rad). After incubating for 1h with blocking buffer, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with mouse monoclonal anti-complement C$_4$ (1:500 Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc28294), rabbit polyclonal anti-plasminogen (1:500 Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc376324), rabbit polyclonal anti-kininogen 1 (1:1000 Abcam ab97761) and mouse polyclonal anti-GAPDH antibody (1:5000 Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA). After three washes with 1x TBST, pH 7.4, the samples were then incubated with fluorescence-labeled anti-mouse IgG or anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Daylight 680 or 800, KPL;
Table 1  Estrogen-induced differentially expressed proteins in human endometrial epithelial cells identified from iTRAQ analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>Accession code</th>
<th>Protein description</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Cover-age (%)</th>
<th>Mol. Wt (kDa)</th>
<th>Unique peptides</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.69E-02</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>E5RK39</td>
<td>Ribonucleases P/MRP protein subunit POP1</td>
<td>2.8711</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>POP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.27E-02</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>Q9Y6K0</td>
<td>Choline/ethanolaminephosphotransferase 1</td>
<td>3.1822</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>46.553</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CEPT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.59E-02</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Q9NZ08</td>
<td>Endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase 1</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>107.23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ERAP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.83E-02</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>P10412</td>
<td>Histone H1.4</td>
<td>17.536</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>21.865</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HIST1H1E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.18E-02</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>P01044</td>
<td>Kininogen-1</td>
<td>26.763</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>68.964</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>KNG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.37E-03</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Q9CO18</td>
<td>Pre-mRNA 3’ end processing protein</td>
<td>5.6023</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>145.89</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>WDR33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.03E-02</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>P06868</td>
<td>Plasminogen</td>
<td>17.058</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>91.215</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PLG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66E-02</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>I3L0N3</td>
<td>Vesicle-fusing ATPase</td>
<td>70.446</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>82.091</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.74E-03</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Q9UH99</td>
<td>SUN domain-containing protein 2</td>
<td>85.535</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>79.085</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SUN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.31E-02</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>Q28085</td>
<td>Complement factor H</td>
<td>4.3809</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>140.37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CFH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.35E-04</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>Q3MHN2</td>
<td>Complement component C9</td>
<td>139.78</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>61.998</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.80E-02</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>Q71D13</td>
<td>Histone H3.2</td>
<td>14.879</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>15.388</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HIST2H3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63E-03</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>Q0VCM5</td>
<td>Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H1</td>
<td>52.463</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>101.24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ITIH1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13E-02</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>Q3KU57</td>
<td>Complement factor B</td>
<td>24.379</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>85.411</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45E-02</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>P02070</td>
<td>Hemoglobin subunit beta</td>
<td>137.67</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>15.954</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>HBB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00E-02</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>P01966</td>
<td>Hemoglobin subunit alpha</td>
<td>87.333</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>15.184</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>HBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11E-02</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>J3KMX2</td>
<td>SWI/SNF-related matrix-associated actin-dependent regulator of chromatin subfamily D member 2</td>
<td>4.5084</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>52.238</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SMARCD2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.71E-02</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>E9PHB2</td>
<td>Protein FAM98A</td>
<td>50.655</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>34.431</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>FAM98A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.34E-02</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>Q9UDR5</td>
<td>Alpha-aminoadipic semialdehyde synthase, mitochondrial</td>
<td>5.4278</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>102.13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.02E-03</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>E9PJ95</td>
<td>COMM domain-containing protein 9</td>
<td>12.306</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>20.687</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMM9D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.77E-03</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>Q3SVZ7</td>
<td>Hemopexin</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>52.295</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HPX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.03E-02</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>P50448</td>
<td>Factor XIIa inhibitor</td>
<td>37.23</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>51.723</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.31E-05</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>P21752</td>
<td>Thymosin beta-10</td>
<td>38.232</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4.8054</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TMSB10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.91E-04</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>Q3MHN5</td>
<td>Vitamin D-binding protein</td>
<td>16.019</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>53.341</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>GC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.78E-03</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>Q05B85</td>
<td>IGK protein</td>
<td>5.2815</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>26.59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>IGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63E-04</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>A217N3</td>
<td>Serpin A3-7</td>
<td>4.3669</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>46.941</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SERPINA3-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.23E-04</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>Q2UVX4</td>
<td>Complement C3</td>
<td>323.31</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>187.37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.06E-03</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Q9UB16</td>
<td>Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(I)/G(S)/G(O) subunit gamma-12</td>
<td>12.541</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>8.0061</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>GNG12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.52E-03</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>B0YI2W</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein C-III</td>
<td>94.585</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.815</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>APOC3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.07E-03</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>B9A064</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin lambda-like polypeptide 5</td>
<td>2.1297</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>23.063</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>IGLL5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.80E-04</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>Q3T052</td>
<td>Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H4</td>
<td>29.331</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>101.51</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>ITIH4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.03E-04</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>P12763</td>
<td>Alpha-2-Heremans Schmidt (HS) glycoprotein</td>
<td>21.332</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>38.418</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AHSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.96E-03</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>P17697</td>
<td>Clusterin</td>
<td>2.0487</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>51.113</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.29E-03</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>Q9TR11</td>
<td>Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor HC2 component homolog</td>
<td>35.657</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>106.19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09E-04</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>Q9TTE1</td>
<td>Serpin A3-1</td>
<td>132.16</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>46.203</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SERPINA3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.34E-04</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>P15497</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein A-I</td>
<td>165.73</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>30.276</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>APOA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.22E-04</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>Q2KIT0</td>
<td>Protein HP-20 homolog</td>
<td>9.9797</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>20.646</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50E-04</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>A217N0</td>
<td>Serpin A3-5</td>
<td>39.18</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>46.397</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SERPINA3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05E-04</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>Q29443</td>
<td>Serotransferrin</td>
<td>138.25</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>77.738</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>TF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.54E-04</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>P81644</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein A-II</td>
<td>14.097</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11.202</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>APOA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65E-04</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Q352R3</td>
<td>Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein</td>
<td>60.603</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>23.182</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ORM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.83E-04</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>Q1RMN8</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin light chain, lambda gene cluster</td>
<td>27.788</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>24.536</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IGL@</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.33E-05</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>P02769</td>
<td>Serum albumin</td>
<td>323.31</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>69.293</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>ALB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.26E-03</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>P43366</td>
<td>Melanoma-associated antigen B1</td>
<td>1.785</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>39.037</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAGEB1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.79E-04</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>Q2KJF1</td>
<td>Alpha-1B-glycoprotein</td>
<td>43.931</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>53.553</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A1BG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1:5000) for 1 h at room temperature and analyzed with an Odyssey Imager (Li-Cor; Lincoln, NE, USA).

Immunofluorescence analysis

Human endometrial samples at the receptive phase (LH+7) were used for immunofluorescence validation. Samples were fixed in 10% formalin and processed for paraffin embedding. Cross-sections (5 μm thickness) were mounted onto microscope slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After deparaffinization and rehydration, sections were rinsed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 5 min. Immunofluorescence analysis was performed on endometrial sections using the LSAB Peroxidase Kit (DAKO). Non-specific binding was blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Sections were incubated with the following primary antibodies diluted (1:100) in blocking solution (0.25% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100, sterile PBS) overnight at 4°C. Plasminogen (Abcam 154560), Kininogen 1 (Abcam ab97761), ERα (Abcam ab108398) and C3 (Proteintech, 21337-1-AP, Chicago, IL, USA). Tissue sections were then washed with PBS for 5 min. For the fluorescent detection (anti-plasminogen, anti-kininogen 1, anti-C3 and anti-ERα), Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit (dilution 1:100, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used and nuclear counterstaining was performed with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Molecular Probes/Life Technologies). Evaluation of the sections was performed using confocal laser scanning microscopy (Zeiss 800, LSM 510 Meta).

Bioinformatics analysis

Differentially expressed protein profiles (P < 0.05) were selected and the ones with differential expression ratio of over ±1.2 were retained. The capability of the resulting differentially expressed proteins in differentiating two groups of samples was then evaluated by hierarchical cluster analysis. For this purpose, the Cluster 3.0 (http://bonsai.hgc.jp/~mdehoon/software/cluster/software. html) and the Java Tree view software (http://jtreeview. sourceforge.net) were used. Disease analysis, pathway and network generation were performed using IPA software package (QIAGEN). IPA is a knowledge database relying on published literature related to protein function, localization, relevant interactions and biological mechanisms. Calculated the z-score can infer the activation states (‘activated’ or ‘inhibited’) of implicated biological processes.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software) was used for statistical analysis. Fisher’s exact test was used to calculate a P value to determine the probability that the association between proteins in the dataset, and, the biological process could be explained by chance alone. Using Student (unpaired) t-test, statistical significance for comparison between two groups was determined. Four biological replicates were tested for all samples and the data are expressed as means±s.d. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the ethical committee of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China. Written informed consent for study participation was obtained from the participants.

Results

Effects of E2 at different concentrations on JAr spheroid attachment to human endometrial epithelial cell monolayers

To clarify whether treatments of human endometrium with different estrogen concentrations affected embryo implantation, we used in vitro attachment model of human choriocarcinoma JAr cell spheroids to receptive-phase endometrial epithelial cell monolayers and Ishikawa cell monolayers (Fig. 1A, B, C, D, E and F). The attachment rate of JAr spheroids to the endometrial cells pretreated with 10−9M E2 for 3 days was 69.33±2.40%. However, the attachment rate was enhanced to 80.67±1.76% after treatment of the epithelial cells with 10−7M E2 (Fig. 1G). On the other hand, the attachment rate of JAr spheroids to the Ishikawa cells pretreated with 10−9M E2 for 3 days was 65.1±2.08% and the attachment rate was also enhanced to 77.5±2.56% after treatment of the Ishikawa cells with 10−7M E2 (Fig. 1H). These results suggest that, compared to 10−9M E2, 10−7M E2 may improve the endometrial receptivity.

Protein expression profiles in cells treated with different concentrations of E2

The iTRAQ analysis was performed to identify proteome changes in samples of Ishikawa cells treated with different E2 concentrations (10−9M or 10−7M). Protein identification
and quantification from the four biological replicates were subjected to LC–MS/MS and MaxQuant (MQ) (1.2.2.5) analysis. According to the UniProtKB Homo sapiens reference proteome database containing 70,136 canonical and isoform sequences through MaxQuant’s built-in Andromeda search engine, a total of 2709 cellular proteins were considered to be statistically significant following exclusion, including 2362 upregulated proteins and 347 downregulated proteins (Fig. 2A). A FDR was applied combined with filtering out those ratios with a significance of $B$ value ≤0.05. Compared with the control group ($10^{-9}$M E$_2$), 45 differentially expressed proteins in Ishikawa cells treated with $10^{-7}$M E$_2$ were identified, containing 43 upregulated and 2 downregulated proteins (Table 1). The hierarchical clustering of these differentially expressed protein profiles are visualized in a heat map (Fig. 2B). Results showed a striking separation of the two groups into two major opposing branches, indicating that the proteins expressed in $10^{-7}$M E$_2$-treated group were distinct from those treated with $10^{-9}$M E$_2$.

**Validation of differentially expressed proteins by Western blot analysis**

To confirm the proteomic results, three upregulated proteins with known roles in embryo adhesion including complement (C$_3$), plasminogen and kininogen-1 were validated by Western blot analysis in both the groups. The results revealed that C$_3$, plasminogen and kininogen-1 were indeed highly expressed in Ishikawa cells treated with $10^{-7}$M E$_2$ (Fig. 3A, B and C). These results were essentially in agreement with those of proteomic analysis, suggesting that $10^{-7}$M E$_2$ might increase C$_3$, plasminogen and kininogen-1 expression levels in endometrial cells, which in turn might promote the adhesion of JAr spheroids to the human endometrial cell monolayers.

**Localization of C$_3$, KNG1, PLG and ER$_\alpha$ in the receptive endometrium**

As C3, KNG1, PLG and ER$_\alpha$ (alpha) have been shown to have major roles in endometrial receptivity, we analyzed the location of these proteins in the human endometrium at the receptive phase by immunofluorescence. The staining (green) of C3 and PLG was shown in luminal epithelium (LE) and glandular epithelium (GE), largely restricted to apical surface of luminal and glandular epithelial cells. Furthermore, stromal cells (SC) also expressed higher density of C3 and PLG at the receptive phase. KNG1 and ER$_\alpha$ followed the opposite trend, with a stronger intensity (green) in the LE and GE apical surfaces in the receptive endometrium (Fig. 4).
Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) yielded distinct functional groupings

IPA was applied to analyze the relationship among 45 differentially expressed protein profiles based on their interaction and function. The results showed that most of the differentially expressed proteins in human endometrial epithelial cells treated with E₂ (10⁻⁷ M) were associated with organization of ‘molecular and cellular functions’ (Fig. 5A), ‘physiological system and functions’ (Fig. 5B) and ‘disease and disorder’ (Fig. 5C). Based on overlying P values, differentially expressed proteins in both organization of ‘physiological system and functions’ and ‘disease and disorder’ were related significantly with 26 subcategories separately, while 24 subcategories were linked to ‘molecular and cellular functions’. To understand the specific interaction of proteins that showed significant changes within functional groupings, we examined interaction networks generated by IPA (Fig. 6A). In ‘molecular and cellular functions’ classification, further downstream effect analysis within functional groupings of ‘cellular growth and maintenance’ revealed that the differentially expressed proteins were mainly associated with cellular homeostasis (Fig. 6B and C).

Canonical signaling pathways of differentially expressed proteins

IPA, a web-based tool, also highlighted two canonical signaling pathways, including the LXR/RXR activation pathway and the acute-phase response signaling pathway mediated by the greatest number of identified differentially expressed proteins along with a z-score representing the log probability being found by random chance. The differentially expressed proteins in endometrial cell lines were predominantly associated with the regulating pathways suggesting that they might have a critical role in embryo adhesion (Table 2).

Upstream analysis

Upstream regulator analysis may predict upstream molecules, including transcription factor, microRNA, kinase, compound or drug, which may cause the altered protein expression patterns. The results in Table 3 provided a list of upstream regulators related to embryo implantation that were predicted to be activated or inhibited based upon the activation z-score for uploaded differentially expressed protein profiles.
Discussion

Embryonic implantation in humans depends on the interaction of the embryo with the receptive endometrium. Exposure to high levels of E₂ during COH in the early follicular phase is related to a lower chance of pregnancy and IVF outcome (Kolibianakis et al. 2003). Other investigators directed that supraphysiological E₂ levels were not detrimental to IVF outcome (Levi et al. 2001, Peña et al. 2002). Kolb and Paulson (1997) reported that, in COH, exposure to peak levels of E₂ in the early luteal phase could lead to a time shift of the implantation window.

Figure 3
Differential expression of (A) C₃, (B) plasminogen and (C) kininogen-1 by Western blot. Data are present as mean ± s.d. (n=4). * and **, P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 compared with the corresponding control, respectively.

Figure 4
Localization of protein expression (C₃, KNG1, PLG and ERα) in luminal epithelium (LE), glandular epithelium (GE) and stromal cells (SC) was examined in the human endometrium at the receptive phase by immunofluorescence (x200). Green: C₃, KNG1, PLG and ERα staining. Blue: nuclei counterstained with DAPI.
Figure 5
IPA-based functional analysis of 45 differentially expressed protein profiles. (A) Molecular and cellular functions; (B) physiological system and functions; (C) disease and disorder. A full colour version of this figure is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/JME-17-0036.
Joo and coworkers (2010) demonstrated that optimizing levels of serum E$_2$ improved pregnancy outcome of in vitro fertilization in a concentration-dependent fashion during COH cycles. However, the enigma is still unclear. To clarify this issue and to examine the endometrial response to serum E$_2$ levels, we designed the present study for the first time to compare and quantify the differentially expressed protein profiles in Ishikawa cells treated with different regimens of estrogen (10$^{-9}$M and 10$^{-7}$M). We found that, compared to physiological concentration of E$_2$ (10$^{-9}$M), supraphysiological E$_2$ concentration (10$^{-7}$M) significantly increased the attachment rates of JAr spheroids to both human endometrial epithelial cell monolayers and Ishikawa cell monolayers. We evaluated that majority of proteins showed a significantly higher response to 10$^{-7}$M E$_2$ compared to 10$^{-9}$M E$_2$. Several proteins such as C$_3$, SERPINs, plasminogen, kininogen-1, endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase-1 and alpha-1-acid glycoprotein have been detected to be involved in endometrial receptivity. Previous efforts identified that E$_2$ administration stimulated C$_3$ synthesis in uterine luminal epithelial cells of rats (Sundstrom et al. 1989), whereas progesterone blocked induction of cell proliferation and C$_3$ synthesis in the epithelium of rat uterus (Bigsby 1993). In glandular epithelial cells of rat luteal endometrium C$_3$ synthesis was upregulated by estrogen (Hasty et al. 1994). C$_3$ expression is also modulated by hCG in human endometrial compartments during the implantation process.

Table 2 IPA-defined canonical pathways for differentially expressed proteins identified by iTRAQ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingenuity canonical pathways</th>
<th>Log (P-value)</th>
<th>z-score</th>
<th>Molecules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LXR/RXR Activation</td>
<td>2.11E+01</td>
<td>3.873</td>
<td>KNG1, HPX, C3, APOA2, AHSG, C9, A1BG, ALB, APOA1, TF, ORM1, ITH4, GC, CLU,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APOC3, PLG, ALB, HPX, SERPING1, APOA1, C3, ORM1, TF, ITH4, APOA2, C9, AHSG,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CFB, SERPINA3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6
(A) Top connectivity network among differentially expressed protein profiles. (B) Downstream effect analysis within functional group ‘cellular function and maintenance’. The protein names and their temporal expression are shown in Table 1. Different color lines connecting proteins represent the relationships between them in Ishikawa cells (red coloration indicates an increase in expression, green indicates a decrease in expression and lack of color indicates a protein involved in bridging interactions, but was not identified as differentially regulated). The networks were generated through the use of IPA, genes or gene products are represented as nodes and the biological relationship between two nodes is represented as an edge. (C) Protein names and their temporal expression are listed in the table.
Table 3  IPA-based upstream analysis for 10⁻² M E₂ induced differentially expressed proteins associated with implantation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upstream regulator</th>
<th>Molecule type</th>
<th>Predicted state</th>
<th>Activation z-score</th>
<th>P value of overlap</th>
<th>Target molecules in dataset</th>
<th>Finding(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>Cytokine</td>
<td>Activated</td>
<td>2.001</td>
<td>2.79E-02</td>
<td>ALB, APOA1, C3, CFB, CLU, ORM1, SERPINA3, TF</td>
<td>In uterus of female TNFα cyclic expression causes proliferation of numerous types of cells (Kopf et al. 1994, Tabibzadeh et al. 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>Cytokine</td>
<td>Activated</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>4.06E-06</td>
<td>ALB, APOA1, C3, CLU, HPX, KRT-18, ORM1, PLG, SERPINA3, TF</td>
<td>Cyclic expression of IL-1, IL-6 mediated cells proliferation in female uterus. IL-6 deficient (−/−) mice showed reduced implantation sites, impaired immune and acute-phase responses (Kopf et al. 1994, Tabibzadeh et al. 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmgn3</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Activated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.04E-07</td>
<td>AHSG, APOA1, APOA2, KNG1</td>
<td>Hmgn3 modulate Hand2 gene expression in uterine cells (Okada et al. 2014). Hand-2 deficient (−/−) gene led to implantation failure in mice (Okada et al. 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-140-3p</td>
<td>Mature-microRNA</td>
<td>Inhibited</td>
<td>−2.236</td>
<td>3.73E-02</td>
<td>AASS, BCAS2, ERAP, NSF, WDR33</td>
<td>miR-140-3p affect expression of putative targets in endometrial stromal cells in vitro (Okada et al. 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

window (Palomino et al. 2013). We detected high levels of C₃ in Ishikawa cells after 10⁻² M E₂ treatment, suggesting a significantly endometrial response to a reasonable serum E₂ level in controlled ovarian stimulation. The data from the present study also demonstrated significant expression of C₃ in the receptive-phase endometrium. Plasminogen activators (PA) in human endometrium play an important role in pathophysiological aspects of tissue expansion and remodeling. Ovarian hormonal patterns affect cyclic expression of the activity of plasminogen activators, predominantly t-PA. Estrogen stimulates PA synthesis while progesterone declines their synthesis (Koh et al. 1992). In normal endometrium, cyclic variation and distribution of uPA, uPAR and plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) have been reported with discordant levels of uPA in both proliferative and secretory phases, while uPAR only in the secretory phase (Nordengren et al. 2004). In our present findings, plasminogen and a number of potent SERPINs that modulate the proteolytic activities of PA (tPA/uPA) (Lee et al. 2011) have been determined to be significantly upregulated in the endometrial cells during 10⁻² M E₂ treatment. Kallikrein–kinin system has a key role in many inflammatory processes during proliferation of the endometrial lining of uterus (Clements et al. 1997). The present findings revealed high expression levels of kininogen-1 in human endometrial epithelial cells after 10⁻² M E₂ treatment, indicating that kallikrein–kinin system may be activated. Interestingly, the distribution and localization of PLG and KNG1 in the human endometrial compartments at the receptive phase of the cycle reflects their involvement in endometrial preparations for implantations. The presence and distribution of several aminopeptidases including A-LAP and ERAPl1 have been shown to be related with cell proliferation and differentiation of human endometrium (Shibata et al. 2004). In our results, significant changes in expression level of ERAPl1 were detected in Ishikawa cells treated with 10⁻² M E₂. Future studies will be directed to delineate the hormone-induced physiological changes in kallikrein–kinin system and aminopeptidases in various phases of the endometrium. Additionally, a key event in the euakaryotic gene regulation is the post-translational acetylation of nucleosomal histones upon decidualization (Sakai et al. 2003). Consistent with the most recent data (Piras et al. 2017), we detected increased expression levels of histones (H₁–H₄) in cells treated with 10⁻² M E₂. In women undergoing IVF treatment, metabolomic analysis of follicular fluid revealed a decrease in levels of choline, glycerophosphocholine and phosphocholine in patients whose fertilized oocyte failed to cleave to an embryo (Wallace et al. 2012). In contrast, 10⁻² M E₂ treatment significantly downregulated expression level of choline in human endometrial epithelial cells, providing new insights into the cellular mechanism underlying the implantation process.
human endometrial epithelial cells in vitro. IPA program is applied to determine predicted upstream regulators, signaling pathways or group of proteins identified by iTRAQ. In the present study, IPA generated top connectivity network of 45 differentially expressed proteins. Proteins including highlighted C$_{2}$, SERPINs, PLG, KNG1 and number of other proteins in the confirmed network might change the metabolic status of the endometrium toward a receptive stage. Downstream functional enrichment analysis of cellular function and maintenance showed that most proteins in this network were upregulated in the human endometrial epithelial cells treated with 10$^{-7}$M E$_{2}$ and these upregulated proteins were related to cellular homeostasis. After analyzing the association of the differentially expressed proteins with cellular functions and maintenance, we found that 14 proteins could be categorized as being involved in cellular homeostasis and 9 proteins could be categorized as being involved in ion homeostasis of cells. Differentially expressed proteins detected in present study also mediated signaling pathways such as the LXR/RXR activation pathway and the acute-phase response signaling pathway involved in lipid metabolism and inflammation (Mouzat et al. 2009, Birse et al. 2013) respectively. In mouse endometrium and myometrium, two isoforms of liver X receptors (LXRa and LXRb) are expressed, suggesting the existence of a molecular link between cholesterol levels, LXRs and deregulation of ovulation, particularly in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) (Mouzat et al. 2009).

In vivo study showed that Lxr-deficient (−/−) mice offered some signs of infertility (Steffensen et al. 2006). RXR was found to be constitutively expressed throughout the gestation (Plösch et al. 2010). Furthermore, clinical studies are needed to explain their role in OHSS patients. Our upstream analysis listed several upstream regulators identified in Ishikawa cells treated with 10$^{-7}$M E$_{2}$. However, extensive investigations are required to elucidate their biological significance by validating target genes relevant to implantation and pregnancy.

Conclusions
In summary, the systematic analyses provide the basis for understanding the estrogen-dependent changes in protein profiles of endometrial compartments with some distinct proteins relevant to uterine receptivity and suggest that optimized dosage fashion of estrogen regimen may maintain high pregnancy rates and probable target endometrium at the endometrial–embryonic interface in high responders. Moreover, to determine sufficient management and to validate minimal ovarian hyperstimulation along with late embryo transfer, further molecular and clinical researches are needed to clarify the underlying mechanisms of different effects of estrogen at different doses on embryo implantation in COH patients.
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