The pro-adhesive and pro-survival effects of glucocorticoid in human ovarian cancer cells
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Abstract

Cell adhesion to extracellular matrix (ECM) is controlled by multiple signaling molecules and intracellular pathways, and is pivotal for survival and growth of cells from most solid tumors. Our previous works demonstrated that dexamethasone (DEX) significantly enhances cell adhesion and cell resistance to chemotherapeutics by increasing the levels of integrin β1, α4, and α5 in human ovarian cancer cells. However, it is unclear whether the components of ECM or other membrane molecules are also involved in the pro-adhesive effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells. In this study, we demonstrated that the treatment of cells with DEX did not change the expression of collagens (I, III, and IV), laminin, CD44, and its principal ligand hyaluronan (HA), but significantly increased the levels of intracellular and secreted fibronectin (FN). Inhibiting the expression of FN with FN1 siRNA or blocking CD44, another FN receptor, with CD44 blocking antibody significantly attenuated the pro-adhesion of DEX, indicating that upregulation of FN mediates the pro-adhesive effect of DEX by its interaction with CD44 besides integrin β1. Moreover, DEX significantly enhanced cell resistance to the chemotherapeutic agent paclitaxel (PTX) by activating PI-3K-Akt pathway. Finally, we found that DEX also significantly upregulated the expression of MUC1, a transmembrane glycoprotein. Inhibiting the expression of MUC1 with MUC1 siRNA significantly attenuated the DEX-induced effects of pro-adhesion, Akt-activation, and pro-survival. In conclusion, these results provide new data that upregulation of FN and MUC1 by DEX contributes to DEX-induced pro-adhesion and protects ovarian cancer cells from chemotherapy.

Introduction

Emerging lines of evidence showed that chronic stress has an impact on tumor growth and progression. An important stress hormone, glucocorticoids (GCs), can affect tumor biology not only by their immunosuppression and anti-inflammatory effects (Beck et al. 2009), but also by changing the tumor microenvironment as well as playing a direct role in regulating proliferation, differentiation, invasion, and apoptosis of tumor cells. Moreover, GCs are also widely used as comedication in cancer therapy of solid malignant tumors because of their beneficial effectiveness in treatment-related edema, inflammation, pain, and electrolyte imbalance (Rutz 2002,
Rutz & Herr 2004). GCs are also given before, during, and after chemotherapy of solid malignant tumors to reduce acute toxicity, particularly hyperemesis, and to protect normal tissue, e.g. bone marrow progenitor cells of cancer patients against the long-term effects of genotoxic drugs (Krieger et al. 1994). More recent data indicate that GCs can inhibit apoptosis induced by chemotherapy not only in established cancer cell lines and tumor xenografts but also in the freshly isolated cells from surgical resections from tumors of various origins, including ovary, breast, prostate, pancreas, liver, colon, brain, cervix, bone, skin, and nervous system (Herr et al. 2003, Sui et al. 2006, Zhang et al. 2006a,b). The GC-induced pro-survival effects should be of important clinical relevance when they interfere with the effect of chemotherapeutics.

The effect of GC is mediated by GC receptor (GR), a member of the nuclear receptor family. As a ligand-dependent transcription factor, liganded GR regulates gene expression through either direct interaction with GC response elements (GRE) in the promoter region of target genes (Phuc Le et al. 2005, Baschant & Tuckermann 2010) or an interference with other transcription factors to inhibit their transcriptional activity (Kassel & Herrlich 2007, Baschant & Tuckermann 2010). Increasing evidence in tumor models demonstrated that GC could enhance cancer cell resistance to unfavorable microenvironment and chemotherapeutics in epithelial (i.e., ‘solid’) tumors, which is very important for the progression of cancer (Herr et al. 2007), and should be of important clinical relevance when it interferes with the effect of chemotherapeutics. The pro-survival and antiapoptotic effects of GC are mediated by GR through regulating the expression of genes, such as inhibitors of apoptosis (cIAP2, XIAP, BCL-XL, and BCL2), mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-1 (MKP1), as well as serum- and glucocorticoid-inducible kinase-1 (SGK1) (Kyriakis et al. 1994, Schmalzing et al. 1995, Price et al. 1996, Paul et al. 1997, Warny et al. 2000).

Cell adhesion to extracellular matrix (ECM) is pivotal for survival and growth of most of the solid cancer cells derived from epithelium, and is mediated by several cell surface adhesion molecules (such as integrin β1 and CD44) and their ligands (ECM components, such as collagens, fibronectin, and laminin) (Ponta et al. 2003, Zaidel-Bar & Geiger 2010). Binding of cell surface adhesion molecules with their ligands not only supports cell adhesion, but also brings cytoplasmic molecules together to form protein-rich focal complexes that activate focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and several intracellular signaling molecules and pathways, such as Rho GTPases, Ras GTPase, Src, and the PI-3K-Akt pathway, which regulate cell proliferation, survival, spreading, and migration (Hynes 2002, Ponta et al. 2003, Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007, Zaidel-Bar & Geiger 2010, Winograd-Katz et al. 2014). The adhesion process is controlled by multiple signaling molecules and intracellular pathways. Our previous works demonstrated that treatment of human ovarian cancer cells with dexamethasone (DEX), a synthetic GC, significantly increases cellular adhesion to ECM and their resistance to apoptosis induced by cytotoxic drugs, cisplatin, and paclitaxel (Chen et al. 2010). Increasing protein levels of adhesion molecules, integrins β1, α4, and α5 by DEX are partly involved in pro-adhesive effect of DEX and chemoresistance. It is well known that integrin β1 subfamily plays a crucial role in regulating cell adhesion by interacting with ECM proteins, such as collagens, fibronectin (FN), and laminin (Hynes 2002, Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007, Zaidel-Bar & Geiger 2010). However, it is unclear whether DEX also affects the expression of ECM components, the ligands of integrin β1, or whether other membrane molecules besides integrin β1 are involved in pro-adhesive effects of DEX in ovarian cancer cells.

In order to further explore the mechanism of pro-adhesive and pro-survival effects of DEX, we investigated the effect of DEX on the expression of components of ECM, cell adhesion glycoprotein CD44, and mucin antigen 1 (MUC1), a large transmembrane epithelial mucin glycoprotein. We found that DEX significantly upregulated the expression of FN and MUC1. Enhancing cell adhesion by DEX through increasing FN-receptor (FN-integrin β1 and FN-CD44) interaction and MUC1 could activate Akt signaling, thereby enhancing the resistance of ovarian cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Human ovarian cancer cell line HO-8910 was established and kindly provided by Dr Xu Shenhua (Zhejiang Cancer Research Institute, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, China) at passage number 26 (Mou et al. 1994). SKOV-3 cell line was obtained from the National Infrastructure of Cell Line Resource (Beijing, China) at passage number 30. The two cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco) containing 10% (v/v) newborn calf serum (NCS, PAA Laboratories GmbH, Pasching, Austria), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Boguang Technology, Inc, China) at 37°C under a humidified atmosphere of air containing 5% (v/v) CO2. Cells were grown to ~70% confluence, and rinsed thrice with 1×PBS, then cultured in medium containing 5% (v/v) dextran-coated charcoal.
(DCC)-treated NCS to avoid possible interference of serum steroids, and the cells were incubated with $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX (Sigma) for different periods of time. Control cells were incubated with ethanol vehicle (<1‰ (v/v)).

**Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted with the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). After reverse transcription (RT) (Takara Bio), the cDNA products were amplified using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Japan) at an annealing temperature of 52°C (collagen I and laminin), 50°C (collagen III), 54°C (collagen IV), 60°C (FN1), or 58°C (MUC1 and GAPDH). The primers were 5′-AGAGTGGAGCAGTGGTTAC-3′ (forward) and 5′-CTTGTAGTGGATGGTGACG-3′ (reverse) for collagen I; 5′-CTCCAACTGCTCCACTC-3′ (forward) and 5′-CCTCATGCATCCCTCCTC-3′ (reverse) for collagen III; 5′-CACAGCCAGACCATCAG-3′ (forward) and 5′-GTATATGATGCGTTTGCGAA-3′ (reverse) for collagen IV; 5′-CGGTGCGTGTCATGCAAG-3′ (forward) and 5′-AAACCTCGGCTTCCTCCTCAA-3′ (reverse) for FN1; 5′-CTCGCTCTCCITTGTAAGC-3′ (forward) and 5′-GACACCACCCGACCAATG-3′ (reverse) for laminin; 5′-TCAGTGCGTGTCATGCAAG-3′ (forward) and 5′-GCTCATGCGTGTCATGCAAG-3′ (reverse) for MUC1; 5′-CATGAGAAGTATGACAACAGCCT-3′ and 5′-AGTCCTTCCACGATACCAAAGT-3′ (reverse) for GAPDH.

**Western blot**

Total cell lysates were prepared with 1× SDS lysis buffer containing $10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ β-mercaptoethanol, and 2 mg/mL.

**Figure 1**

DEX upregulates the expression of FN, but does not affect the expression of collagens and secretion of laminin in ovarian cancer cells. HO-8910 cells were treated with $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX for the indicated times. The protein levels of collagen I, III, and IV were assessed by Western blot (A) and secreted protein of laminin was determined by ELISA (B) as described in Materials and methods. The expression of FN1 mRNA in HO-8910 cells treated with $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX for the indicated times was assessed by Real-time PCR (C) normalized to GAPDH. Data shown are representative of at least three separate experiments. FN expression in HO-8910 (D) and SKOV-3 cells (E) treated with $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX for the indicated times were assessed by Western blot and β-actin was used as normalization control. Relative density for Western blot was analyzed by ImageJ 1.40 g software and the results were expressed as fold of control and representative of at least three independent experiments. The secreted levels of FN in SKOV-3 cells treated with or without $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX for 72 h were determined by ELISA. The concentration of FN was calibrated from a dose–response curve based on reference standards. The experiments were performed in triplicate (F). *P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs control.
of each of the protease inhibitors leupeptin, apronitin, and pepstatin. After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, blocked with 5% (v/v) nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline Tween 20 (TBST), and immunoblotted overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against human β-actin (A5441, Sigma, 1/10,000), MUC1 (ab109185, Abcam, 1/5000), FN (sc-6953), collagen I (sc-8784), III (sc-8781), and IV (sc-9302), AKT (sc-8312) and p-AKT (sc-7985) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1/500), and CD44 (ab51037, Abcam, 1/5000). The blots were then washed, exposed to horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (201-4301 Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertsville, PA, USA, 1/5000) for 2 h, and finally detected by ECL chemiluminescence (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**ELISA**

Laminin ELISA kit was purchased from Abnova (Taipei, Taiwan) and HA and human fibronectin ELISA kits were purchased from R&d Systems. HO-8910 or SKOV-3 cells were treated with or without 10^{-7} mol L^{-1} DEX for the indicated times, and then the conditioned media were collected for ELISA analysis according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance of samples was read at 450 nm using a UV–visible spectrophotometer. The concentration of protein was calibrated from a dose response curve based on reference standards.

RNA interference

SiRNAs were manufactured by GenePharma Co, Ltd (Shanghai, China). The sequences were as follows: FN1 siRNA: 5'-GGGACUUCCUAUGUGGUCCAGAAA-3'; MUC1 siRNA: 5'-CGAGAAGGUACCAUCAAUTT-3'; and a scramble sequence: 5'-CGCTTACCGATGG-3' (Agata et al. 2008). Cells were transfected with a final concentration of 10^{-8} mol L^{-1} for FN1 siRNA or 5×10^{-9} mol L^{-1} for MUC1 siRNA using INTERFERin (PolyPlus-Transfection, France) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Cell adhesion assay**

Cell adhesion ability was determined by cell adhesion assay (Chen et al. 2004). Cells were incubated at a proper density into 5 mL glass flasks and were transfected with FN1 siRNA or MUC1 siRNA for 24 h and then incubated in the media containing 5% (v/v) DCC-treated NCS in the presence or absence of 10^{-7} mol L^{-1} DEX for another 24 h. Then, cells were digested into single cell suspension, and 8 × 10^4 cells were seeded into noncoated 96-well plates in the presence or absence of 10^{-7} mol L^{-1} DEX for another 24 h. Then, cells were digested into single cell suspension, and 8 × 10^4 cells were seeded into noncoated 96-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 45 min. The plates were gently washed thrice with 1 × PBS to remove the unattached cells. The remaining cells in the 96-well plates were determined by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay.

**Figure 2**

Upregulated expression of FN contributes to the pro-adhesive effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells. HO-8910 or SKOV-3 cells were transfected with 10^{-8} mol L^{-1} FN1 siRNA or Con siRNA for 24 h and then treated with or without 10^{-7} mol L^{-1} DEX for another 24 h. FN knockdown was monitored at the protein level by Western blot and β-actin was used as a loading control (A and B). Single-cell suspension was prepared and 8 × 10^4 cells were seeded into a noncoated 96-well plate. 45 min later, cells were washed thrice with PBS, and the number of the remaining cells attached was determined by MTT assay (C and D). Data are summarized from three independent sets of experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs Con siRNA without DEX, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs Con siRNA with DEX.
Cell adhesion ability was also determined in 96-well plates coated with human fibronectin purchased from Corning (USA) after cells were treated with CD44 primary antibody (103014, Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA) for 45 min.

**Analysis of viability**

Cells were seeded into 96-well culture plates at a density of 2.5 × 10³ cells per well in triplicate, allowed to attach overnight, and then treated with the indicated factors. Paclitaxel (PTX), a gift from Prof Minghua Zhu (Department of Pathology, Changhai Hospital, the Second Military Medical University), was used to induce cell death. Wortmannin, a PI-3K inhibitor, was purchased from Sigma. At the indicated time, cell viability was evaluated by using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc, Japan) following the standard procedures provided by manufacturer. The optical density (O.D.) was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm using a Labsystem multiskan microplate reader (Merck Eurolab, Dietikon, Switzerland).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as mean ± S.D. of at least three determinations. Statistical significance between experimental groups was analyzed by ANOVA; \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**DEX upregulates the expression of FN, but does not affect the expression of collagens and laminin in ovarian cancer cells**

Since our previous study found that DEX promotes cell adhesion to ECM and increases the expression of integrin \( \beta_1, \alpha_4, \) and \( \alpha_5 \) in ovarian cancer cells, we first examined the effect of \( 10^{-7} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) DEX on the expression or secretion of ECM components, the ligands of integrin \( \beta_1 \). We did not observe significant change in mRNA (data not shown) and protein levels of collagen I, III, IV as well as protein secretion of laminin in HO-8910 cells (Fig. 1A and B). However, we found that \( 10^{-7} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) DEX upregulated...
the expression of FN1 mRNA and its protein in a time-dependent manner, with the maximal induction of mRNA (1.7-fold of control, \( P < 0.05 \)) at 24 h and protein (4.2-fold of control, \( P < 0.01 \)) at 48 h after DEX treatment in HO-8910 cells (Fig. 1C and D). The increases in intracellular and secreted levels of FN were also observed in SKOV-3 cells (Fig. 1E and F). The level of FN protein induced by DEX was much higher than that of \( \text{FN1} \) mRNA, suggesting that DEX upregulated the expression of FN mainly through translation or post-translation mechanism.

**Upregulated expression of FN contributes to the pro-adhesive effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells**

As a multifunctional extracellular matrix glycoprotein and a core component of many extracellular matrices, FN plays an important role in promoting cell adhesion, migration, and signal transduction (Leiss *et al.* 2008, Schiller *et al.* 2013, Masamha *et al.* 2014). We, therefore, investigated whether the upregulation of FN expression by DEX was related to the pro-adhesive effect of DEX using specific small RNA interference (FN1 siRNA). Western blot showed that knockdown of FN1 with FN1 siRNA almost abolished the DEX-induced expression of FN1 in HO-8910 and SKOV-3 cells (Fig. 2A and B). As shown in Fig. 2C and D, \( 10^{-7} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) DEX significantly enhanced the adhesion ability of HO-8910 and SKOV-3 cells (Fig. 2A and B). As shown in Fig. 2C and D, \( 10^{-7} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) DEX significantly enhanced the adhesion ability of HO-8910 and SKOV-3 cells transfected with control siRNA. However, the pro-adhesive effect of DEX in FN1 knockdown cells was almost completely inhibited. These results indicated that upregulation of FN mediates the pro-adhesive effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells.

**CD44 is involved in the pro-adhesive effect of DEX through interaction with the increased FN**

CD44 is a broadly distributed transmembrane glycoprotein that mediates cell–matrix interactions through binding to its principal ligand, HA, as well as
other components of ECM, such as FN and collagens and laminin (Naor et al. 1997, Ponta et al. 2003). We first examined the effects of DEX on CD44 at protein level by western blotting analysis and the secretion of HA by ELISA assay, respectively, and found that neither CD44 nor HA was significantly altered in HO-8910 cells after treatment with DEX for 48 h (Fig. 3A and B). Since DEX upregulated the expression of FN, which is also the ligand of CD44, we proposed that CD44 might be involved in the pro-adhesive effect of DEX through interaction with the increased FN. In order to determine this hypothesis, we treated cells with or without 40 μg/mL CD44-blocking antibody for 45 min, and then cultured cells on noncoated or FN-coated 96-well plates (to imitate the FN overexpression) to determine cell adhesion ability. The result showed that the number of adhering cells in the FN-coated group markedly increased by 3.4-fold compared with that in the noncoated control group. However, FN-enhancement of cell adhesion was reduced to 1.9-fold in HO-8910 cells treated with CD44-blocking antibody (P<0.01, Fig. 3C). Similar results were also observed in SKOV-3 cells (from 4.1 to 2.1-fold, P<0.05, Fig. 3D), indicating that CD44 partially mediates FN-enhanced cell adhesion. Further experiments showed that DEX significantly enhanced cell adhesion in noncoated plates (about two-fold of that in control cells, P<0.01). However, 40 μg/mL CD44-blocking antibody reduced DEX-enhanced cell adhesion by 69.3% (P<0.05 vs DEX, Fig. 3E). Similar results were also observed in SKOV-3 cells (Fig. 3F). These results supported that besides integrin β1, CD44 is also involved in the pro-adhesive effect of DEX partially through binding to the increased FN.

**Activation of Akt pathway is involved in pro-survival effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells**

It is known that FN is the ligand of integrin β1 and CD44. The interaction of FN–integrin β1 or FN–CD44 mediates the cell adhesion, and induces signaling, such as activating PI-3K-Akt pathway (Schmidt & Friedl 2010, Nakagawa et al. 2014, Li et al. 2015, Onodera et al. 2015, McFarlane et al. 2015), which is an important pathway to promote cell survival (Benbrook & Masamha 2011). We then examined whether PI-3K-Akt pathway was involved in the pro-survival effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells. The results showed that 10^{-7} mol L^{-1} DEX significantly enhanced the level of phosphorylated Akt at 12 h in HO-8910 cells (1.9-fold of control, P<0.05) and at 24 h in SKOV-3 cells (2.6-fold of control, P<0.01) after DEX treatment, and the increased phosphorylation of AKT sustained for at least 36 h after DEX treatment (Fig. 4A and B), indicating that...
the activation of Akt by DEX is a late phase and sustained regulation. DEX also increased cell resistance to the chemotherapeutic agent paclitaxel (PTX). As shown in Fig. 4C, after treatment of cells with both $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ PTX and $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX for 24 h, the viable cell number was increased from 38.7 to 59.3% compared with that in cells treated with PTX alone ($P < 0.01$). Inhibiting activity of Akt in HO-8910 cell with $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ wortmannin, a PI-3K inhibitor, could significantly reduce the DEX-induced increase in viable cell number in HO-8910 cells ($P < 0.01$). Similar results were also observed in SKOV-3 cells (Fig. 4D). We further detected the relationship between Akt activation and cell viability in SKOV-3 cells with more specific Akt inhibitor, MK-2206. The result showed that the basal cell viability was not significantly affected by Akt activity. DEX significantly increased viable cell number and level of p-AKT in the presence of PTX. Inhibiting Akt activation with MK-2206 partially blocked the protective effect of DEX (Fig. 4E). These results indicated that activation of the PI-3K-Akt pathway was involved in the DEX-induced pro-survival effect and the enhancement of PTX resistance in ovarian cancer cells.

**DEX upregulates the expression of MUC1 in ovarian cancer cells**

MUC1 is a large transmembrane epithelial mucin glycoprotein that impacts cell proliferation, survival, and invasion (Senapati et al. 2010, Ahmad et al. 2011, Li et al. 2011, Raina et al. 2011). However, it is unclear whether MUC1 participates in the effect of DEX on tumor biology. We therefore examined the effect of DEX on the expression of MUC1 in HO-8910 and SKOV-3 cells and found that $10^{-7}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DEX significantly increased MUC1 expression at both mRNA and protein levels in a time-dependent manner. MUC1 protein induced by DEX at 72 h was 6.0-fold of that in control ($P < 0.01$) in HO-8910 cells, and 4.8-fold of that in control ($P < 0.01$) in SKOV-3 cells, respectively (Fig. 5C and D). Increased protein level of MUC1 by DEX lasted at least 72 h, whereas its mRNA level was decreased at 72 h, suggesting that DEX
upregulates the expression of MUC1 at least through transcription and posttranslation mechanisms.

**MUC1 partially mediates DEX-induced pro-adhesion, Akt activation, and enhancement of chemotherapeutic resistance in ovarian cancer cells**

Since DEX induced the expression of MUC1, we further investigated whether MUC1 was involved in DEX effects of pro-adhesion, Akt activation, and pro-survival in ovarian cancer cells using specific small RNA interference (MUC1 siRNA). As shown in Fig. 6A and B, inhibition of the expression of MUC1 alone did not affect cell adhesion in the absence of DEX, but significantly attenuated the pro-adhesive effect of DEX (from 1.9- to 1.2-fold in HO-8910 cells and from 2.0- to 1.3-fold in SKOV-3 cells, respectively. \( P < 0.05 \)). Moreover, DEX-induced increase in p-AKT was also significantly reduced in MUC1-knockdown SKOV-3 cells (Fig. 6C). Finally, it was found that \( 10^{-7} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) DEX significantly increased the survival of cells transfected with control siRNA in the presence of \( 5 \times 10^{-7} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) PTX from 34.3 to 53.0% in HO-8910 and from 39.6 to 58.6% in SKOV-3 cells (\( P < 0.05 \)). By contrast, inhibiting the expression of MUC1 by specific siRNA almost abolished the pro-survival effect of DEX (Fig. 6D and E). These results indicated that the upregulation of MUC1 by DEX is involved in DEX-induced pro-adhesion, Akt activation, and enhancement of chemotherapeutic resistance in ovarian cancer cells.

**Discussion**

In our previous report, we found that DEX significantly increases cell adhesion to ECM by increasing levels of integrins \( \beta 1, \alpha 4, \) and \( \alpha 5 \) (Chen et al. 2010). Integrin \( \beta 1 \) comprises a family of heterodimeric ECM receptors that interact with components of ECM, such as collagens, FN, and laminin (Cukierman & Bassi 2012). Therefore, in the present study, we further investigated the influence of DEX on the expression of components of ECM. The results demonstrated that DEX did not change the expression of collagen I, III, IV, and laminin, but significantly increased the expression of FN in ovarian cancer cells, including its secreted form. Increase in synthesis of FN and induction of FN matrix assembly by GC have been reported previously in normal human fibroblasts, HT1080 fibrosarcoma cells (Oliver et al. 1983, McKeown-Longo & Etzler 1987) and chick hepatocytes (Nimmer et al. 1987). However, it was also reported that GC negatively regulates the expression of FN in placenta (Guller et al. 1994). Therefore, it seems that the regulation of FN expression by GC is dependent on cell type. In this study, it was found that DEX significantly increased both intracellular and secreted levels of FN in ovarian cancer cells. In addition, knockdown of FN expression significantly decreased the pro-adhesive effect of DEX. These results indicated that FN1 mediates the pro-adhesive effect of DEX in ovarian cancer cells.

CD44, a broadly distributed transmembrane glycoprotein, mediates cell–matrix interactions through binding to its principal ligand HA as well as other components of ECM, such as FN, collagens, and laminin (Cichy & Pure 2003, Ponta et al. 2003). Since our previous study showed that blocking integrin \( \beta 1 \) and its antibody only partially blocks the pro-adhesive effect of DEX (Chen et al. 2010), we wondered if other adhesive molecules besides integrin \( \beta 1 \) also mediate the pro-adhesive effect of DEX. We investigated the possible role of CD44 in DEX-enhanced cell adhesion. Although the expression of CD44 and its principal ligand HA was not regulated by DEX, blocking CD44 with a specific antibody significantly attenuated both FN-enhanced cell adhesion and DEX-induced cell adhesion in ovarian cancer cells. These results indicated that besides integrin \( \beta 1 \), interaction between FN and CD44 also contributes to the pro-adhesive effect of DEX.

FN is a multifunctional extracellular matrix glycoprotein and a core component of many extracellular matrices. It is known that increased adhesion by FN–integrin \( \beta 1 \) interaction plays an essential role in regulating cell proliferation, survival, and migration by triggering several signaling pathways (Leiss et al. 2008, Schmidt & Friedl 2010, Schiller et al. 2013, Nakagawa et al. 2014), especially PI-3K-Akt pathway, which is the most important pathway to promote cell survival (Benbrook & Masamha 2011). Interaction of CD44 with its ligands, including FN, can also enhance proliferation, survival, and invasion by activating PI-3K-Akt pathways (Li et al. 2015, McFarlane et al. 2015, Onodera et al. 2015). In this study, we demonstrated that DEX could enhance the activation of Akt, which is a late phase and sustained regulation, suggesting that Akt activation is not a direct effect of DEX, but the result of DEX-enhanced cell adhesion. Further experiment showed that activation of PI-3K-Akt pathway by DEX was involved in the pro-survival effects and enhancement of PTX resistance in ovarian cancer cells.

MUC1, a heterodimeric transmembrane glycoprotein composed of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) subunits, is expressed in most epithelial cells. In tumor cells, MUC1 is aberrantly highly expressed, underglycosylated, and no longer restricted to the apical side of the cell (Kufe 2009, Van Eijsen et al. 2010, Albrecht & Carraway 2011). These changes facilitate
the interaction between MUC1α and extracellular matrix components and trigger multiple cancer-related signaling pathways, thereby promoting cell invasion and enhancing cell resistance to genotoxic anticancer reagents in cancer cells including ovarian cancer cells (Kufe 2009, Hisatsune et al. 2011). Although DEX has been shown to upregulate human MUC1 expression in several kinds of cells (Treon et al. 1999, Imai et al. 2004, Seo et al. 2007, Agata et al. 2008), it is unclear whether MUC1 is involved in the effect of DEX on cell adhesion and survival. Here, we demonstrated that DEX significantly induced the expression of MUC1 in ovarian cancer cells. Knockdown of MUC1 significantly attenuated the DEX-induced effects of pro-adhesion, Akt activation, and enhancement of cell resistance to PTX, indicating that the upregulation of MUC1 by DEX was involved in pro-adhesion and pro-survival effects of DEX in human ovarian cancer cells.

In this study, we demonstrated that DEX upregulated FN1 and MUC1, and knockdown of either FN1 or MUC1 markedly suppressed DEX-enhanced cell adhesion. It is unclear how FN and MUC1 pathways contribute to DEX-enhanced cell adhesion. It has been reported that MUC1 is a downstream target of STAT3 (Gao et al. 2009), and that binding of FN to integrin β1 can activate STAT3, resulting in the upregulation of MUC1 in human breast cancer cells (Li et al. 2014). Liao and coworkers reported that MUC1 overexpression induced by IGFI precedes the changes in FN expression. IGFI induces the upregulation of FN in a MUC1-dependent manner in MCF-7 cells (Liao et al. 2014). Further study is required to clarify the relationship between MUC1 and FN in DEX-enhanced cell adhesion.

In summary, this study provides new data that DEX promotes cell adhesion through upregulation of FN and MUC1, thereby enhancing cell resistance to chemotherapy by activating PI-3K-Akt pathway in ovarian cancer cells.
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