Characterisation of the androgen regulation of glycine N-methyltransferase in prostate cancer cells
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Abstract

The development and growth of prostate cancer is dependent on androgens; thus, the identification of androgen-regulated genes in prostate cancer cells is vital for defining the mechanisms of prostate cancer development and progression and developing new markers and targets for prostate cancer treatment. Glycine N-methyltransferase (GNMT) is a S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methyltransferase that has been recently identified as a novel androgen-regulated gene in prostate cancer cells. Although the importance of this protein in prostate cancer progression has been extensively addressed, little is known about the mechanism of its androgen regulation. Here, we show that GNMT expression is stimulated by androgen in androgen receptor (AR) expressing cells and that the stimulation occurs at the mRNA and protein levels. We have identified an androgen response element within the first exon of the GNMT gene and demonstrated that AR binds to this element in vitro and in vivo. Together, these studies identify GNMT as a direct transcriptional target of the AR. As this is an evolutionarily conserved regulatory element, this highlights androgen regulation as an important feature of GNMT regulation.
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Introduction

Androgens play a central role in the biology of normal prostate development and prostate cancer progression (Shen & Abate-Shen 2010). These hormones mediate their effects through the action of the androgen receptor (AR), a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors (Brinkmann et al. 1999, Lu et al. 2006, Dehm & Tindall 2007). Following androgen binding, AR dissociates from heat-shock proteins and translocates to the nucleus where it binds to androgen response elements (AREs) of target genes in association with coactivators and corepressors to regulate gene expression (Shang et al. 2002, Heemers & Tindall 2007). Previous studies have extensively shown that transcriptional regulation by AR drives prostatic differentiation during development (Cunha et al. 2004) and oncogenic transformation during cancer (Heinlein & Chang 2004, Lamont & Tindall 2011). Therefore, the mainstay treatment for non-organ confined prostate cancer has been directed at suppressing AR activity through androgen deprivation therapies. However, although initially effective,
these treatments inevitably fail and, in most cases, the tumours progress to a castration-resistant form, for which few therapeutic options are available. Importantly, accumulated evidence suggests that castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) remain dependent on the expression and transcriptional activity of the AR (Feldman & Feldman 2001, Gregory et al. 2001, Chen et al. 2004, Balk & Knudsen 2008, Waltering et al. 2009). Downstream targets of the AR are therefore of great importance for further characterising the disease and thus developing new markers and therapy targets for prostate cancer treatment.

Glycine N-methyltransferase (GNMT) is a tetrameric multifunctional protein that plays an important role in the methionine and one-carbon metabolism by acting as both a methyltransferase enzyme and a folate-binding protein (Blumenstein & Williams 1960, Cook & Wagner 1984). As a methyltransferase, GNMT catalyses the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine to glycine to form S-adenosylhomocysteine and sarcosine (N-methylglycine). In recent years, increasing attention has been paid to the role of GNMT in prostate cancer since the discovery that sarcosine, the metabolite generated by GNMT, was highly elevated during prostate cancer progression to metastasis and, importantly, that it could be detected noninvasively in urine (Sreekumar et al. 2009).

By its function in generating sarcosine, GNMT was predicted to play a critical role in modulating prostate cancer invasion. Indeed, Sreekumar et al. showed that GNMT knockdown resulted in a significant reduction in prostate cancer cell invasion. In addition, experiments carried out in prostate cancer cell lines revealed that androgen treatment up-regulates GNMT expression and that the AR directly binds to the GNMT promoter (Sreekumar et al. 2009).

We have previously characterised the androgen-regulated gene expression in LNCaP cells by microarray analysis and found that GNMT was significantly up-regulated following androgen treatment (Ngan et al. 2009). Later studies have further explored the role of this protein in prostate cancer (Song et al. 2011, Khan et al. 2013); however, the androgen regulation of GNMT remained largely unexplored. In light of the important role of this protein in prostate cancer progression, we aimed to characterise further the mechanism of GNMT androgen regulation.

Materials and methods

Reagents

The synthetic androgen methyltrienolone (R1881) was purchased from Perkin Elmer Life Sciences (Beaconsfield, UK) and was dissolved in 100% ethanol at a stock concentration of 100 mM and stored at −20 °C. A working concentration of 10 μM was prepared and was added to cells at a final concentration of 1 nM, unless otherwise stated.

Cell culture

The cell lines used were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection except BPH-1 obtained from Dr Charlotte Bevan (Imperial College, London, UK) and LNCaP-C4 and LNCaP-C4-2B cells obtained from Dr Hayley Whitaker (Cambridge Research Institute, Cambridge, UK). All cell lines were verified by short-tandem repeat profiling (LGC Standards, Teddington, UK) used within 30 passages of the original, source passage and tested every 3 months to ensure mycoplasma negativity (MycAlert, Lonza, UK).

LNCaP, LNCaP-C4, LNCaP-C4-2B, BPH-1, Du145 and PC-3 cells were maintained at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma–Aldrich) with 10% FCS (First Link, Birmingham, UK). T47D and COS-1 cells were maintained in DMEM medium (Sigma–Aldrich) with 10% FCS (First Link) and were maintained in keratinocyte serum-free medium supplemented with bovine pituitary extract and recombinant epidermal growth factor (Gibco-Invitrogen Corporation). Seventy-two hours before exposure to androgen, media were replaced with phenol red-free RPMI (or DMEM) (Gibco-Invitrogen Corporation). Seventy-two hours before exposure to androgen, media were replaced with phenol red-free RPMI (or DMEM) (Gibco-Invitrogen Corporation) supplemented with 10% dextran-coated charcoal-treated serum (DSS; First Link). All media were supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Sigma–Aldrich).

Plasmids

The Renilla luciferase vector was pRL-TK (Promega). AR expression and control vectors (pSG5-AR and pSG5) were gifts from Dr Charlotte Bevan.

To generate the GNMT promoter vector, a 1.45 kb GNMT promoter region was amplified from LNCaP genomic DNA using the LongRange PCR kit (Qiagen Ltd) (forward 5’-ATCTCAGGGATGGA-3’, reverse 5’-AAGCAGCCATGGCCTT-3’). The PCR product was amplified once again using the LongRange PCR kit with the addition of BglII and HindIII restriction sites (forward 5’-GCTAGGAGATCTCGCGAGCGGCCCTGTAATTG-3’, reverse 5’-CTAGCAGCAGCTCCGGCCACAACCCACGGGAGCCGGGTTCTCAG-3’). Both the PCR product and the pGL3-basic vector (Promega) were
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digested with HindIII and BgIII restriction enzymes before ligation and subsequently verified by sequencing.

The GNMT promoter ARE mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis of the GNMT promoter reporter wild-type vector using the QuikChange Multi-site-directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Stockport, UK). Mutagenic oligonucleotides were designed such that the ARE consensus sequences were abolished by the insertion of a restriction site for the enzyme MluI. The sequences are given in Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article.

**siRNA transfections**

Cells were transfected with control siRNA (Negative control N.2, Ambion, Applied Biosystems) or siRNA specific to AR (s1539, Ambion, Applied Biosystems) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. LNCaP cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes at a density of 2 \times 10^6 in 10 ml phenol red-free RPMI supplemented with 10% DSS without antibiotics for 24 h. On the day of transfection, the siRNA transfection reagent complex was prepared by diluting 600 pmol siRNA. This was followed by the addition of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX, mixed gently and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. The siRNA-Lipofectamine complexes were added drop-wise to the cells. Cells were incubated for 24 h, following which fresh phenol red-free RPMI supplemented with 10% DSS and 1 nM R1881 was added. Cells were incubated for 48 h before harvesting for RNA or protein extraction.

**Real-time quantitative PCR**

Cells were treated for the indicated times and RNA harvested using RNeasy mini preparation kit (Qiagen Ltd) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prior to elution, columns were treated with DNase using the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen Ltd) to remove any residual DNA. Two micrograms of RNA were used for RT reaction using RevertAid M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas, York, UK). The obtained cDNA was then diluted 1:10 and 2 µl cDNA subsequently used as a template for each PCR. TaqMan real-time RT-PCR was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions on an Applied Biosystems 7500 fast Real-time PCR system using Assay-on Demand primers (Applied Biosystems). The assay identification numbers are given in Supplementary Table 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article.

**Western blotting**

Whole cell lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer (Sigma–Aldrich) containing complete protease inhibitors (PIs; Roche Diagnostics Ltd) and protein concentration
determined using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific UK Ltd, Leicestershire, UK). Twenty micrograms of proteins were separated on a 12% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane for immunodetection, using the iBlot 7-Minute Blotting System (Invitrogen). The membrane was then blocked in PBS-0.1% Tween (v/v) (PBST) containing 5% (w/v) dried skimmed milk powder followed by overnight incubation at 4°C with gentle shaking with primary antibody against: GNMT (HPA027501, Sigma–Aldrich), AR (Sc-816, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) and β-actin (ab6276, Abcam Ltd, Cambridge, UK). The membrane was then washed three times in PBST and incubated with the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Dako, Ely, UK) for 90 min at room temperature. The membrane was washed again three times in PBST. The SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Perbio Science, Cramlington, UK) was added to the membrane followed by autoradiography using Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK).

Confocal microscopy

LNCaP cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with PBS twice and 0.5 M glycine solution was added for 20 min to quench aldehyde-induced autofluorescence. After washing, cells were permeabilised in 0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 10 min. Coverslips were blocked with 1% (w/v) BSA and 2% FCS (v/v) for 30 min following incubation with the appropriate primary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were then washed with PBS twice and incubated in the dark for 1 h at room temperature with the secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen). Finally, counterstaining of nuclei was performed using TO-PRO-3 (Invitrogen) for 5 min. The cells were washed again three times and mounted in Vectashield Mounting Medium H-1000 (Vector Laboratories, Southgate, UK). Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope fitted with an LSM 510 META scanhead and driven by Zeiss LSM 510 confocal software using a Plan-APOCHROMAT 63× (1.40 numerical aperture, oil) lens and Immersol 518F oil (Carl Zeiss Ltd, Hertfordshire, UK).

Reporter gene assays

LNCaP cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 70 000 cells/well phenol red-free RPMI medium supplemented with 10% DSS. Forty-eight hours later, the cell medium was replaced with fresh RPMI phenol red-free medium supplemented with 10% DSS with or without 1 nM R1881. Each well was transfected with 500 ng DNA, consisting of: 100 ng of firefly luciferase pGL3 vectors, 100 ng Renilla luciferase vector (pRL-TK) and 300 ng Bluescribe plasmid DNA as carrier DNA. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine LTX and PLUS Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Twenty-four hours following transfection, firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were determined using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Firefly luciferase readings were subsequently
normalized against the control Renilla luciferase such that the Renilla luciferase activity served to control for transfection efficiency.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

COS-1 cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes at a density of $1 \times 10^6$ in 10 ml DMEM containing 10% FCS without antibiotics. Following overnight incubation, cell medium was replaced with fresh DMEM containing 10% FCS without antibiotics. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine LTX and PLUS Reagent with 2 μg pSG5 or pSG5-AR expression vectors. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated with 10 nM R1881 for 1 h. Cells were then washed twice with ice-cold PBS and harvested by scraping into ice-cold PBS buffer containing PIs. Cells were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C and the pellet re-suspended in high-salt buffer (HSB: 400 mM KCl; 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5; 2 mM dithiothreitol and 20% glycerol (v/v)) supplemented with PIs. Cells were frozen at −80°C and thawed on ice three times and then centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was stored at −80°C. The double-stranded oligonucleotides containing the ARE sequences are given in Supplementary Table 3, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article. The oligos were purchased as single strands, one labelled with the infrared IR-800 dye and the other unlabelled (Eurofins, Ebersberg, Germany). Hybridisation of the oligos was performed by mixing 1 μM labelled oligo with 1 μM unlabelled oligo. The mix was placed in boiling water, which was allowed to cool at room temperature overnight. For electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), 2.5 μl COS-1 HSB extract were pre-incubated with 142 ng/ml poly(deoxyinosine-deoxycytosine) (dI.dC) for 30 min at 4°C. For supershift, 2 μg AR antibody (sc-816X, ChIP-grade; Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) was added. The hybridised oligos (0.1 μM) were then added followed by incubation for 4 h at 4°C. The bound probe was separated from unbound probe by gel electrophoresis in a 4% polyacrylamide gel at 100 V for 1 h at 4°C. The infrared signal was visualised using the Odyssey (LI-COR) IR imaging system.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI medium lacking phenol red supplemented with 10% DSS for 72 h followed by addition of 10 nM R1881 or ethanol for 1 h. Cells were then cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde (Sigma–Aldrich) for 10 min at room temperature and washed three times.

**Figure 3**

AR knockdown suppresses the androgen-induced GNMT gene. (A) LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI containing 10% DSS in the absence of antibiotics for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with siRNAs targeting AR or control. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated with 1 nM R1881 with an equal volume of ethanol being added to the vehicle control. RNA was prepared after a further 48 h and TaqMan RT-PCR for AR and GNMT was performed. Data have been normalised to GAPDH levels and AR or GNMT expression levels in the siRNA control treated with R1881 was set to one. Results are shown as mean values of three independent experiments performed in triplicates with error bars representing S.E.M. (B) LNCaP cells were transfected with siRNAs as for A. Whole cell lysates were prepared and immunoblotted for AR, GNMT (arrowed) and β-actin. Molecular weight markers are expressed in kDa. Suppression of the androgen-regulated genes PSA (C) and NDRG1 (D) following silencing of AR is also shown.
with PBS containing PIs. Cells were collected by scraping in PBS with PIs and lysed in lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA and 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0) containing PIs for 10 min on ice (200 μl lysis buffer/1 x 10^6 cells). Cells were sonicated for 10 min at 4 °C using 20-s high-power pulses and then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. 1.8 ml dilution buffer (1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl) was added for 200 μl sonicated lysate. Fifty microliters of sonicated lysate were used as the input sample and the rest was used for immunoprecipitation. Dynabeads protein A (for rabbit IgG1) (Invitrogen) were washed three times with blocking solution (0.5% (w/v) BSA in PBS) and then added to the sonicated lysates for 30 min at 4 °C on rotation. Two micrograms of AR antibody (sc-816X, ChIP-grade; Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) or rabbit IgG were added and incubated overnight at 4 °C on rotation. Preblocked dynabeads were then added and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C on rotation. The supernatant was removed and sequentially the following buffers were added for 5 min on rotation at 4 °C: i) low-salt wash (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl) + PIs; ii) high-salt wash (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, and 500 mM NaCl) + PIs; iii) LiCl wash (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA and 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0) + PIs; and iv) Tris–EDTA wash (10 mM Tris–HCl and 1 mM Na EDTA, pH 8.0). Finally, elution buffer (1% (w/v) SDS, 10 mM NaHCO3) was added for 15 min on a shaker at room temperature and 5 M NaCl was added. Reverse cross-linking was performed at 65 °C overnight. 0.5 M EDTA, 1 M Tris–HCl (pH 6.5) and proteinase K were added to each sample and the tubes were then incubated at 45 °C for 1 h. Immunoprecipitated DNA was recovered by phenol/chloroform extraction and quantitative real-time PCR was used to quantify recruitment of AR on PSA and GNMT promoters. Primers were designed at either side of the PSA enhancer or negative control region and the GNMT ARE. Primer sequences are given in Supplementary Table 4, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article.

**Results**

**GNMT is up-regulated by androgen treatment in AR-positive cancer cells**

We first examined the mRNA expression of GNMT in the LNCaP, AR-positive prostate cancer cell line, which is dependent on androgens for growth. LNCaP cells were maintained in steroid-depleted medium for 72 h and then treated with either ethanol or the synthetic androgen R1881 for 24, 48 and 72 h. GNMT expression was then quantified by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 1A). The GNMT transcript was stimulated by R1881 in a time-dependent manner, such that a 26-fold increase was detected 24 h after treatment and reached ~80-fold at 72 h. As a control, expression of the well-known
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**Figure 4**  
Site-directed mutagenesis analysis of the three predicted AREs. (A) The wild-type GNMT promoter reporter construct was generated by cloning a 1.2 kb region of the GNMT gene into a pGL3-basic luciferase vector. This construct was used as a template for site-directed mutagenesis of ARE-I (ARE-I*), ARE-II (ARE-II*) and ARE-III (ARE-III*). The mutants were generated by replacing part of the ARE sequence with the MluI restriction site sequence (5'-agcgct-3'). (B) The activity of the GNMT ARE mutants was tested using the luciferase reporter assay. Firefly luciferase activities were normalised for transfection efficiency against the Renilla luciferase activities. Results are shown as mean of three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. Error bars represent the s.e.m. Statistical significance was calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test: **P<0.01.
androgen-regulated genes PSA, NDRG1 and TMPRSS2 was evaluated and up-regulation of their transcripts following androgen treatment was confirmed (Fig. 1B, C, and D). In addition, androgen-dependent up-regulation of GNMT in LNCaP cells was also shown at a protein level, as demonstrated by western blotting (Fig. 1E) and immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 1F).

To exclude the possibility that GNMT androgen regulation was unique to LNCaP cells, its expression was evaluated in two additional AR-positive cell lines, the hormone-insensitive LNCaP-derived prostate cancer cell lines C4 and C4-2B. Indeed, all cell lines showed androgen regulation of GNMT (Fig. 2A) and demonstrated increasing expression of the known androgen-regulated genes PSA, NDRG1 and TMPRSS2 upon R1881 treatment (Fig. 2B, C, and D). In addition, GNMT expression was evaluated in a broader panel of prostate cell lines (Supplementary Figure 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). The benign prostate epithelial RWPE-1 cell line, the benign prostatic hyperplasia-derived cell line BPH-1, as well as DU145 and PC-3 cell lines showed low or undetectable levels for AR and low levels of GNMT. It was therefore clear that the expression of GNMT was limited to prostate lines expressing high levels of AR.

AR knockdown inhibits GNMT expression in LNCaP cells

To determine whether the androgen regulation of GNMT was mediated by AR, we performed RNA interference of AR in LNCaP cells. LNCaP cells were maintained in steroid-depleted medium for 24 h and subsequently transfected with control and AR-specific siRNAs. Twenty-four hours after transfection, 1 nM R1881 or ethanol was added for a further 48 h and RNA and proteins were collected. Interestingly, we found that AR knockdown significantly suppressed GNMT mRNA (Fig. 3A) and protein levels (Fig. 3B) in the presence of androgen, suggesting that GNMT is a transcriptional target of AR. To confirm the specificity of the siRNA targeting AR, we also showed the repression of AR mRNA and protein upon knockdown. Notably, we observed a significant reduction of AR mRNA level upon R1881 treatment, which is in agreement with the finding that AR mRNA expression shows a biphasic regulation by androgen (Nirde et al. 1998) through two AREs in exon 5 of the AR gene (Dai & Burnstein 1996).

As a control, we demonstrated reduction of the well-known androgen-regulated genes PSA (Fig. 3C) and NDRG1 (Fig. 3D) following silencing of AR. Taken together, these results show that GNMT androgen up-regulation is mediated by AR.

Identification of an ARE in exon 1 of the GNMT gene

As GNMT expression is highly responsive to R1881, we hypothesised that AR was directly regulating its expression. By carrying out a bioinformatic analysis for steroid hormone response elements using the web-based tool Mulan (http://mulan.dcode.org/) (Ovcharenko et al. 2005), three putative AREs were identified proximal to the gene promoter region: ARE-I and ARE-II at position −1111/−1097 and −716/−708 respectively, and ARE-III at
AR binds to the GNMT ARE in an androgen-dependent manner in vitro and in vivo

We then assessed whether AR was able to bind to this sequence in vitro by performing EMSA. The sequence of the

antibody specific for AR or rabbit IgG. Immunoprecipitated DNA was reverse cross-linked and recovered by phenol/chloroform extraction. Real-time PCR was carried out using primers for the regions indicated in part A. The PSA enhancer region was chosen as a positive control, and a region distant from ARE elements as a negative control. Results show the mean values obtained from ChIP analysis of two replicates.

Figure 6
AR is recruited to the GNMT ARE in an androgen-dependent manner. (A) Diagramatic representation of the PSA (top) and GNMT (bottom) promoter regions. AREs are highlighted in boxes and arrows indicate the location of PCR primer pairs. (B) LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI medium lacking phenol red supplemented with 10% DSS for 72 h followed by addition of 10 nM R1881 or ethanol for 1 h. Cells were then cross-linked, lysed and sonicated. Immunoprecipitations were performed using an

position +19/+33 (Supplementary Figure 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). The position of the start site at the 14th base upstream the ATG codon was identified by Lee et al. (2009) and confirmed by 5′ RACE analysis of androgen-treated LNCaP mRNA (data not shown).

To investigate the function of these AREs, we carried out a gene promoter analysis. In brief, a region of the GNMT gene that includes the three putative AREs was amplified by PCR from LNCaP genomic DNA and cloned into the pl3-based reporter vector, upstream of the promoter-less firefly luciferase gene (GNMTp). In addition, we generated reporter constructs containing mutations in the three AREs by site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 4A). The androgen responsiveness of the wild-type and mutated GNMT promoter constructs was determined by luciferase reporter assays. LNCaP cells were transiently transfected with the reporter constructs in the absence or presence of androgen. The wild-type GNMT reporter constructs showed stimulation of the luciferase gene upon R1881 treatment of approximately 2-fold. Mutation of ARE-1 and -II did not show a decrease in androgen responsiveness, whereas mutation of ARE-III resulted in an ablation of androgen-inducible luciferase activity, indicating that AR directly regulates GNMT expression through this ARE (Fig. 4B).

Figure 7
Nucleotide sequences of the region flanking the GNMT ARE in multiple species are shown. The box marks the region of ARE homology and the translation start site is underlined. Sequences were retrieved from the UCSC (http://genome.ucsc.edu) using the following genome builds: hg19 (human), mm10 (mouse), rn5 (rat), ocyCun2 (rabbit), anoCar2 (lizard), xenTro3 (xenopus) and danRer7 (zebrafish). Multiple-sequence alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw/). Any nucleotide change from the human sequence is in bold and underlined. ‘.’ indicates conservation between groups of weakly similar properties (scoring ≤0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix).
Finally, to confirm that AR was recruited on the ARE located within exon 1 of the GNMT gene, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was carried out. LNCaP cells were maintained in steroid-depleted medium for 72 h and subsequently treated with either ethanol or R1881. ChIP was performed using AR antibody or rabbit IgG as a control. AR binding was quantified by real-time PCR using primer pairs surrounding the GNMT ARE as well as the enhancer ARE element and a region to which AR does not bind, within the PSA gene that provided a positive and negative control respectively (Fig. 6A). The ChIP assay revealed a ligand-dependent recruitment of AR to the GNMT ARE (Fig. 6B).

**Discussion**

In this study, we have characterised the expression and the androgen regulation of GNMT and identified an ARE in exon 1 of the GNMT gene. Sreekumar et al. (2009) demonstrated that GNMT mRNA increased upon androgen treatment in LNCaP and VCaP cell lines. In agreement with these findings, we have shown that GNMT mRNA and protein are strongly stimulated by the synthetic androgen R1881 in the LNCaP cell line in a time-dependent manner. Indeed, we have previously shown that GNMT mRNA and protein are strongly stimulated by androgen together with the detection of its expression in AR-positive cancer cell lines and its inhibition upon AR knockdown indicated that AR may directly regulate GNMT expression. As AR regulates transcription by binding to consensus DNA sequences in the regulatory regions of its target genes (Heinlein & Chang 2004), we searched for putative AREs in the GNMT promoter region. This analysis resulted in the identification of three predicted AREs: ARE-I and ARE-II, located within the GNMT promoter, and ARE-III, located within exon 1 of the GNMT gene. A 1.2 kb region of the GNMT promoter provided androgen regulation to a luciferase reporter gene. Mutation of ARE-I or ARE-II had no effect on androgen regulation, whereas mutation of ARE-III prevented androgen stimulation, demonstrating that ARE-III is able to function as an ARE. In addition, EMSA analysis showed that the AR can bind to this sequence and ChIP analysis confirmed that AR is recruited in an androgen-dependent manner to a region of the GNMT gene encompassing ARE-III.

The presence of an ARE within the coding region of a gene has also been described for other androgen-regulated genes, including the rat cystatin-related protein (crp2) gene (Devos et al. 1997) and the human secretory component (SC) gene (Haelens et al. 1999, 2001). GNMT is a protein that is highly conserved among mammalian species. About 90% amino acid sequence similarity is observed between human, rabbit, rat and pig GNMT proteins (Ogawa et al. 1993, 1998). By performing multiple-sequence alignment analysis, we found that the ARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Examples of genes encoding classical AREs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Classical AREs (AGAACA nnn TGTCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMTV</td>
<td>AGAACA gtt TGTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3(1)</td>
<td>AGAACA tca CGTACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ARE-I)</td>
<td>AGAACA gca AGTGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ARE-III)</td>
<td>GGAACA tat TGTATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNMT</td>
<td>TGGACA gcg TGTAACC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ham et al. (1988)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claessens et al. (1989)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lund et al. (1991)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleutjens et al. (1997)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Examples of genes encoding AR-selective AREs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Selective AREs (AGAACA nnn AGAACA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>AGTACT cca AGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC (enhancer)</td>
<td>AGAACT ctg CGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>GGGACA cag CCGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLP</td>
<td>AGAACT gcc TGACCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rennie et al. (1993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verrijdt et al. (1999)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haelens et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verrijdt et al. (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was fully conserved in mammalian species and highly conserved in the vertebrate lineage, suggesting that this response element may act as a functional ARE across species throughout evolution (Fig. 7).

Recent advances in ChIP-based assays have enabled the generation of comprehensive maps of transcription factors binding across the entire genome. With the aim of understanding the AR-regulated gene network in either androgen dependent or CRPC, global AR binding events have been mapped, initially using microarrays of gene promoter regions (Massie et al. 2007) and later by genomically tiled arrays (Wang et al. 2009) and massively parallel sequencing (Lin et al. 2009, Sreekumar et al. 2009, Yu et al. 2010, Massie et al. 2011). Interestingly, the ChIP-seq assay performed by Sreekumar et al. revealed that AR was recruited to the GNMT proximal promoter in LNCaP and VCaP cell lines. Even though the region is not clearly annotated in the paper, it appears to include the GNMT ARE identified in this study, consistent with our findings.

Having identified a functional ARE within the GNMT gene, we wondered whether the response element was an example of a so-called ‘classical’ ARE, recognised by all class I receptors, or ‘selective’ ARE, recognised mainly by the AR (Denayer et al. 2010). Based on the sequence homology to other previously validated AREs, we were able to classify the GNMT ARE as a ‘classical’ ARE (Tables 1 and 2). Consequently, not only AR but also the other class I hormone receptors, glucocorticoid receptor (GR), progestogen receptor and mineralocorticoid receptor, should recognise this sequence. It has previously been shown that the glucocorticoid dexamethasone (DEX) is able to activate GNMT in the rat liver and to stimulate its expression in the rat hepatoma cell line H4IE (Rowling & Schalinske 2003). However, to date, no glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) have been identified in this promoter region (Lee et al. 2009). Therefore, we wondered whether the GNMT ARE was also a binding site for GR in ChIP-seq assays. Interestingly, the GR ChIP-seq performed in the A549 lung epithelial carcinoma cell line treated with DEX revealed GR binding in a region comprising the transcription start site and first exon of the GNMT gene (Reddy et al. 2009). On the basis of these observations, we propose that the GNMT ARE identified in this study could also act as a GRE. Further studies will certainly be required to verify this hypothesis, the most obvious of which would be to determine whether GR activates the GNMT reporter genes we have described herein.

While we were preparing our manuscript, Lee et al. (2013) have reported five predicted ARE motifs in the coding region and main body of the human GNMT gene and concluded that the only functional ARE was the 5′ most element, which corresponds to the conserved motif we have also identified. In our studies, we have examined three ARE-like motifs in the GNMT promoter region and have concluded that the androgen regulation of GNMT can be imparted by binding of AR to a ‘classical’ ARE located within exon 1 of the GNMT gene, 18 bases downstream the GNMT gene transcription start site, and overlapping the translation start site. Collectively, these results show GNMT as a direct transcriptional target of the AR in the androgen-dependent LNCaP cell line and suggest that regulation through a highly conserved ARE element is a feature of GNMT expression in the vertebrate lineage.

---

**Supplementary data**
This is linked to the online version of the paper at http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/JME-13-0169.

**Declaration of interest**
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

**Funding**
This work was supported by grants from Prostate Cancer Charity (PCC/110727) and Cancer Research UK (C37/A12011, C37/A9335). Open Access publication was funded by Imperial College London.

**Acknowledgements**
The authors are grateful for the members of the group for advice and technical help. They also thank Dr Charlotte Bevan for the pSG5-AR plasmid and the Joron Trust for continuous support.

**References**
Balk SP & Knudsen KE 2008 AR, the cell cycle, and prostate cancer. *Nuclear Receptor Signaling* 6 e001. (doi:10.1621/nrs.06001)
Androgen regulation of GNMT


Masse CE, Adryan B, Barbosa-Morais NL, Lynch AG, Tran MG, Neal DE & Mills IG 2007 New androgen receptor genomic targets show an interaction with the ETS1 transcription factor. *EMBO Reports* 8 871–878. (doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.8501046)


Received in final form 22 August 2013
Accepted 29 August 2013
Accepted Preprint published online 30 August 2013