Aromatase activity induction in human adipose fibroblasts by retinoic acids via retinoic acid receptor α
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Abstract

Estrogen synthesis in adipose tissue is associated with the development of breast cancer. Tumors are preferentially found in breast quadrants with strongest expression of the cytochrome P450 aromatase (encoded by the gene CYP19A1). Several promoters regulated by various hormonal factors drive aromatase expression in human breast adipose fibroblasts (BAFs). As adipose tissue is a major source of retinoids, in this study, we investigated their role in the regulation of aromatase expression. The retinoids all-trans-retinoic acid (at-RA) and 9-cis-RA induce aromatase activity in human BAFs. In BAFs, at-RA induces aromatase gene expression via promoter I.4. In 3T3-L1 cells, both retinoids specifically drive luciferase reporter gene expression under the control of aromatase promoter I.4, whereas other promoters active in human adipose tissue are insensitive. Activation by retinoids depends on a 467 bp fragment (−256/+211) of promoter I.4 containing four putative retinoic acid response elements (RAREs). Site-directed mutagenesis revealed that only RARE2 (+91/+105) mediates the retinoid-dependent induction of reporter gene activity. In 3T3-L1 preadipocytes and human BAFs, RA receptor α (RARα) expression is predominant, whereas RARβ (RARβ) or RARγ (RARγ) expression is low. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays with nuclear extracts obtained from human BAFs and 3T3-L1 cells identified a specific RARE2-binding complex. Retinoids enhanced complex formation, whereas pre-incubation with anti-RARα antibodies prohibited the binding of RARα to RARE2. Chromatin immunoprecipitation showed RA-dependent binding of RARα to the RARE2-containing promoter region in vivo. Furthermore, we provide evidence that RARE2 is also necessary for the basal activation of promoter I.4 in these cells. Taken together, these findings indicate a novel retinoid-dependent mechanism of aromatase activity induction in adipose tissue.

Introduction

Estrogen synthesis in adipose tissue is the major source of circulating estrogens in aging men and women. Besides this, the finding of elevated aromatase activity and aromatase mRNA expression in the adipose tissue of breast quadrants harboring breast tumors more directly indicates a link between adipose tissue aromatase and tumors...
(O’Neill et al. 1988, Bulun et al. 1993). In humans, there exists only one aromatase gene (CYP19A1), which is expressed in the gonads and various extragonadal tissues. Tissue-specific expression depends on the utilization of tissue-specific sets of promoters, which give rise to aromatase transcripts with unique 5’-ends encoded by specific untranslated first exons. As all these first exons are spliced to exon II upstream of the translational start site, the transcribed protein is identical in all tissues (Bulun & Simpson 1994, Simpson et al. 1994, Simpson 2003, 2004, Chen et al. 2009).

Aromatase expression in adipose tissue is confined to the stromal vascular fraction of cells (Ackerman et al. 1981). There it is under the control of several promoters, which are regulated by specific sets of hormonal factors. In normal adipose tissue, promoter I.4 is dominant (Simpson 2004). It is induced by glucocorticoids (Simpson et al. 1981) in combination with an array of cytokines (Schmidt & Loffler 1994, Zhao et al. 1995b, 1996b). Induction is inhibited by progesterone (Schmidt et al. 1998) or ligands of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARG; Rubin et al. 2000, 2002). In adipose tissue in the vicinity of many breast tumors, promoter II is dominant, whereas it is not normally used in adipose tissue. This promoter is induced by cAMP-elevating agonists, e.g. dibutyryl cAMP, forskolin, and prostaglandin E2, and is inhibited by ligands of the retinoid X receptor (RXR; Simpson et al. 1994, Zhao et al. 1996a, Rubin et al. 2002, Safi et al. 2005).

Retinoids are stored in adipose tissue, mainly as retinyl esters. As hormone-sensitive lipase has strong retinyl ester hydrolase activity, free retinoids are readily available within adipose tissue (Strom et al. 2009). A potential link between adipose tissue concentrations of retinoids and their precursors, carotenoids, and breast cancer risk has been discussed controversially. Two previous studies have found no or an inverse correlation (Zhu et al. 1995, Zhang et al. 1997), whereas a newer study based on more than 400 cases has identified a positive correlation of adipose tissue retinoids with breast cancer risk (Zaroukian et al. 2005).

As the development of most breast cancers depends on the availability of estrogens, we hypothesized that retinoids may be involved in the induction of aromatase expression in breast adipose fibroblasts (BAFs). In this study, we show that ligands of the retinoic acid receptors (RARs), all-trans-R(α) (at-RA) and 9-cis-R(α) (cis-RA), induce aromatase activity in human BAFs via a retinoic acid response element (RARE) in aromatase promoter I.4. RARα gene (RARα) is the dominant RAR expressed in the cells used in the present study, human BAFs and 3T3-L1 cells, and is essential for the formation of a specific DNA-binding complex on the RARE. Furthermore, we show that the RARE necessary for retinoid-mediated induction is also used for the basal induction of the aromatase promoter I.4.

These findings suggest that in addition to the well-established glucocorticoid- and cAMP-dependent pathways, an independent, retinoid-dependent mechanism exists for aromatase activity induction in human adipose tissue.

Materials and methods

All chemicals used were of analytical or cell culture grades. Retinoids were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich.

Cells and cell culture

Human breast adipose tissue for the preparation of the stromal vascular cell fraction, where adipose fibroblasts make up more than 80% of the cells (Schmidt et al. 1998), was obtained during plastic surgery from healthy donors. The donors gave informed consent according to a protocol, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Jena University Hospital. BAFs were isolated and cultured in medium 199 containing 10% (v/v) FCS as described previously (Schmidt & Loffler 1998). BAFs were kept in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 at a temperature of 37°C. 3T3-L1 cells were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and essentially maintained as described previously (Green & Meuth 1974). These cells were kept under 7.5% CO2 in DMEM containing 10% (v/v) FCS until used in the experiments. All the experiments involving the stimulation of the cells were carried out under serum-free conditions in a serum-free medium consisting of DMEM and Ham’s F12 medium at a ratio of 3:1 (without phenol red and with 7.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.2), which was supplemented with gentamicin (40 μg/ml), transferrin (2 μg/ml), pantothenate (17 μM), biotin (1 μM), and insulin (1 nM). During the experiments, all the cells were kept under 5% CO2.

Induction of aromatase activity and assay of the aromatase enzymatic activity

Aromatase activity was tested with the 3H–H2O release assay essentially as described previously (Ackerman et al. 1981), with some modifications: confluent monolayers of BAFs in 24-well plates were washed free from growth medium containing FCS with a serum-free medium and incubated for 48 h with replacement of the medium
after 24 h. Then, aromatase activity was induced in a serum-free medium for 24 h with at-RA, 9cis-RA, or a vehicle (ethanol) only. [1β,2β-3H]-testosterone (50 nM, 1 μCi/well) was added as a substrate for the aromatase enzyme 6 h before the termination of incubation. Cellular protein contents were measured as described previously (Schmidt & Loffler 1998). Aromatase activity is reported as fmol testosterone used/mg protein per 6 h.

Quantification of aromatase mRNA expression

BAFs were cultured in 10 cm dishes and treated as described above. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). DNase digestion of genomic DNA was carried out on spin columns. RNAs were checked for integrity by gel electrophoresis and stored with recombinant RNasin Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Promega). Subsequently, cDNA was synthesized with the High-Capacity cDNA Archive Kit (Applied Biosystems). Real-time PCR analysis was carried out on a StepOnePlus instrument (ABI – Life Technologies). Specific assays were developed using the Universal Probe Library system (Roche), and analyses were carried out according to the manufacturer’s standard instructions. All assays yielded homogenous products of the correct size. The following assays were used (name, forward primer, reverse primer, probe): full length (exons IX–X), 5’-CAA ACC CAA TGA ATT TAC TCT TGA, 5’-ACC ATG GCG ATG TAC TTT CC, probe 76; promoter I.4, 5’-CAG CCC ATC AAA CCA GGA, 5’-CAT GGC TTC AGG CAC GAT, probe 9; promoter I.3, 5’-CTT GCC TAA ATG TCT GAT CAC ATT A, 5’-GAT GGC TTC AGG CAC GAT, probe 9; promoter II, 5’-CCC TTT GAT TTC CAC AGG AC, 5’-CAT GGC TTC AGG CAC GAT, probe 9; and GAPDH, 5’-AGC CAC ATC GCT CAG ACA C, 5’-GCC CAA TAC GAC CAA ATC C, probe 60. All the samples were analyzed in duplicate. Relative gene expression was normalized to GAPDH mRNA levels using the comparative cycle threshold (Ct) method, and it is reported as an expression ratio using 2−ΔΔCt (Pfaffl 2001).

Promoter constructs and mutagenesis

Fragments of various promoter regions of the human aromatase gene were PCR-amplified from genomic DNA isolated from blood samples using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen). PCR starting with 50 ng genomic DNA as a template was carried out with Taq DNA polymerase (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) with primers introducing suitable restriction sites (Biomers, Ulm, Germany) and under PCR conditions summarized in Table 1. PCR products and the pGL3-Basic plasmid were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes, ligated, and transformed into Escherichia coli strain XL1-Blue using standard protocols (Sambrook & Russell 2001). For site-directed mutagenesis of putative transcription factor-binding sites, the QuikChange II XL Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The sequences of all the constructs were verified by dye-terminator sequencing (Jenagen, Jena, Germany). Plasmid preparations for transfection were obtained using the PureYield Plasmid Midiprep System (Promega).

Reporter gene assays

To quantify promoter activities, the pGL3-Basic plasmid was used, where the firefly luciferase is under the control of the promoter sequence cloned upstream of the reporter gene. The 3T3-L1 cells in 24-well plates were co-transfected with 800 ng of pGL3-Basic constructs and with 50 ng of the pRL-TK vector expressing renilla luciferase under the control of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter. The latter was used to normalize results for differences in transfection efficiencies. Liposome-mediated transfection was carried out using the Roti-Fect transfection reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). Twenty-four hours later, the cells were carefully washed free from growth medium containing FCS with a serum-free medium and incubated for 8 h with a serum-free medium containing retinoids (1 μM) or a vehicle. Subsequently, the monolayers were washed with PBS, and the cells were lysed with passive lysis buffer. Firefly and renilla luciferase activities in the lysates were measured using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) on a Mithras instrument (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). Test readings for both luciferases were corrected for respective background readings. The data reported herein were calculated as corrected firefly luciferase/corrected renilla luciferase for each individual well. Three replicate wells per experimental condition were tested in each experiment.

Cell fractionation and electrophoretic mobility shift assays

To test for factors binding to the putative transcription factor-binding site, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were carried out essentially as described previously (Taylor et al. 1994), with some modifications: induced cells (8 h if not indicated otherwise) were washed and scraped from 10 cm culture dishes, transferred into a 15 ml
Table 1  Primers and conditions for PCR amplification and mutagenesis. Primers for the generation of promoter constructs are indicated by the respective promoter names, and primers for mutagenesis are indicated by the mutated site, which is given in bold letters. Cycling conditions used for the generation of the respective constructs are indicated by times and temperatures for each step and number of cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promoter construct/ mutated site</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
<th>Restriction site</th>
<th>Denaturation</th>
<th>Annealing</th>
<th>Elongation</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI.3</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTTCTCAGATGGCAGCCATCTGCCAG-3' R: 5'-ATCCCCCGGGGAAAGCCAAAATCTTGGCA-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>120 s/48 °C</td>
<td>180 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.6</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTTCTCAGATGGCAGCCATCTGCCAG-3' R: 5'-ATCCCCCGGGGAAAGCCAAAATCTTGGCA-3'</td>
<td>Smal</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>120 s/46 °C</td>
<td>180 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.7</td>
<td>F: 5'-CATCTGCTAGCGCCCTGAGCTCTGGAAAG-3' R: 5'-GACATTCGAGTCTGGGTCACGCC-3'</td>
<td>NheI</td>
<td>20 s/98 °C</td>
<td>20 s/62 °C</td>
<td>30 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (-565/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>120 s/57 °C</td>
<td>180 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (-256/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>Smal</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>60 s/55 °C</td>
<td>120 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (-161/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>60 s/55 °C</td>
<td>120 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (-89/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>NheI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>120 s/55 °C</td>
<td>180 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (+24/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>120 s/54 °C</td>
<td>180 s/72 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (+124/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>60 s/44 °C</td>
<td>60 s/72 °C</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (+295/+211)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>NheI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>60 s/48 °C</td>
<td>60 s/72 °C</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (+295)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>30 s/94 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.8 (+505)</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>Smal</td>
<td>50 s/94 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI.9</td>
<td>F: 5'-ATGGTACCTGAGGATCTAGAGATG-3' R: 5'-ATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
<td>50 s/94 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARE1a</td>
<td>F: 5'-CCGCTGGTACGGTGATGAGCGGGGA-3' R: 5'-GCGATATTCAGTCATACGCCCTTTCCACGTGGAGG-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>50 s/95 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARE1b</td>
<td>F: 5'-CATACACACATAGTGGCGAAAGATGCA-3' R: 5'-CATCTGAGATGTCCTTCTACCTTTGGAG-3'</td>
<td>Smal</td>
<td>50 s/95 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARE2</td>
<td>F: 5'-GTCGCGGAAAGTTGAGAAACAGACAGG-3' R: 5'-TTTCAAATCTAAATGGGATG-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>50 s/95 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARE3</td>
<td>F: 5'-CCGCTGGTACGGTGATGAGCGGGGA-3' R: 5'-GCGATATTCAGTCATACGCCCTTTCCACGTGGAGG-3'</td>
<td>Smal</td>
<td>50 s/95 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>F: 5'-GGGGAAAACCTTCGACCCCTCAACCATGAC-3' R: 5'-GCTTGCGCTATGGGTTAGGTTGCACCTTGAC-3'</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
<td>50 s/95 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARE2 re-mut</td>
<td>F: 5'-GTCGCGGAAAGTTGAGAAACAGACAGG-3' R: 5'-TTTCAAATCTAAATGGGATG-3'</td>
<td>NheI</td>
<td>50 s/95 °C</td>
<td>50 s/60 °C</td>
<td>317 s/68 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

polypropylene tube, and centrifuged for 3 min at 3000 g. The pellet was resuspended in 1.2 ml PBS and centrifuged for 2 min at 1530 g. Then, the pellet was resuspended in 400 μl Buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, containing 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF)). The cells were allowed to swell on ice for 15 min. Then, 25 μl of 10% (v/v) Triton X-100 solution were added. After centrifugation for 2 min at 1530 g, the supernatant was considered as the cytosolic fraction. The pellet was resuspended in 50 μl Buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, containing 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM PMSF) and shaken for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant obtained after centrifugation for 5 min at 20 800 g was considered as the soluble nuclear extract. The protein concentration of the fractions was quantified using the Bradford method (Bradford 1976). Both fractions were aliquoted and stored at −80 °C until further analysis. For EMSAs, 20 μg of protein per condition were incubated in the presence of 250 ng poly-dI/dC for 30 min at 37 °C with various double-stranded...
probes: 25 pmol of a Cy5-labeled RARE2 probe (5′-AAG ATT GAG GTC ACA GAA GGC AGA GGCC), either alone or in the presence of a 100-fold molar excess of an unlabeled RARE2 probe (competitor), or with 25 pmol of a Cy5-labeled mutated RARE2 probe (5′-AAG ATT GAG AAC ACA GAT AAC AGA GGCC). For antibody competition, 200 ng of anti-RARα antibodies were incubated with nuclear extracts for 30 min on ice before the addition of probes. Separations were carried out on a 6% nondenaturing acrylamide gel at 4 °C (18 cm, 300 V, and 80 min; Taylor et al. 1994). The wet gels were directly scanned on a Fuji FLA-3000 imaging system and quantified using the AIDA Software (Raytest, Straubenhardt, Germany).

**Western blotting**

Two percent of the total protein of cytosolic and nuclear fractions (see above) was separated on 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gels (Laemmli 1970) and subsequently transferred onto PVDF membranes using semi-dry blotting at 0.8 mA/cm² of membrane for 60 min. After blocking in 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies for 60 min at room temperature followed by incubation with an appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. As the primary antibodies rabbit anti-RARα (C-20), goat anti-RARβ (C-19), and mouse anti-RARγ (G-1) were used at a dilution of 1:1000, HRP-conjugated preadsorbed secondary antibodies goat anti-mouse IgG, goat anti-rabbit IgG, and rabbit anti-goat IgG were used at a dilution of 1:5000 (all antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz). In addition, mouse anti-Lamin A/C (BD 612162, 1:1000, BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and mouse anti-GAPDH (MAB374, 1:20 000, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) were used. Proteins were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence (PerkinElmer, Rodgau-Jügesheim, Germany) and quantified using the AIDA Software.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

The 3T3-L1 cells were cultured on collagen-coated cover slides, incubated with a serum-free medium overnight, and fixed with 4% formaldehyde. The slides were blocked and permeabilized with PBS/Triton X-100 and then incubated with primary antibodies (see above) at 4 °C overnight at a dilution of 1:1000. For detection, preadsorbed Cy3-labeled antibodies against the various primary antibodies were used (goat anti-rabbit for RARα, donkey anti-goat for RARβ (RARB), and goat anti-mouse for RARγ (RARG); 1:600 each).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was carried out essentially as described previously (Weiske & Huber 2006). BAFs from four 10 cm dishes per condition were used. For immunoprecipitation, RAR antibodies or anti-TATA-box-binding protein (TBP; TFIIID) antibodies (N-12, Santa Cruz) were used at 1 μg/reaction. For PCR analysis, 2 μl of the extracted DNA were used as a template for 37 cycles of amplification. Primers for amplification of a 145 bp promoter I.4 fragment were 5′-TCC GAA ACA GCC CTC TGGA (forward) and 5′-CTG GAG AGG GGG CAG GC (reverse). PCR products were analyzed on 12% (wt/vol) polyacrylamide gels.

**Software and statistical analyses**

For sequence verification, the BLAST Software was used (up to version 2.2.22+; Altschul et al. 1997). Searches for putative transcription factor-binding sites were carried out using the MatInspector Software (up to version 8.0., Genomatix (München, Germany); Cartharius et al. 2005). Differences between the treatment groups were identified using two-sided Student’s t-test. When data within at least one treatment group were not normally distributed, the Mann–Whitney rank sum test was used for all the comparisons of data obtained from that experiment. Differences were considered significant when P<0.05. All the analyses were carried out using the SigmaPlot Software (Systat, Erkrath, Germany).

**Results**

As retinoids are readily available within adipose tissue, we hypothesized that bioactive retinoids might be involved in the regulation of aromatase expression in human BAFs. To directly test this hypothesis, we assayed human BAFs isolated from subcutaneous adipose tissue for aromatase activity induction by at-RA and 9cis-RA. There was a significant five- to sixfold induction of aromatase activity by both retinoids (Fig. 1A). This was paralleled by a significant increase in the expression of the full-length aromatase mRNA in at-RA-stimulated cells (Fig. 1B). Aromatase expression was driven time dependently by promoter I.4 usage (Fig. 1B and C). There was no significant effect of at-RA on the transcripts containing exons I.3 and II.

To get insight into the mechanism underlying the retinoid-mediated induction of aromatase activity, a panel of reporter gene constructs was generated. The promoter regions of the human aromatase gene, which are known to
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Figure 1
Retinoids induce aromatase activity via promoter l.4. Human BAFs were
isolated and cultured as described in the Materials and methods section. Aromatase activity was induced under serum-free conditions using a
vehicle alone (control), 1 μM at-RA, or 1 μM 9cis-RA. This concentration
ensures maximum effects in various retinoid receptor-dependent assays
(Kiefer et al. 2012). (A) Aromatase activity was measured after 24 h using the
{}^{3}H–H_{2}O release assay. Data are presented as means ± S.E.M. from three
preparations of cells, which were tested in triplicates each. (B) Aromatase

mRNA was measured using qPCR as described in the Materials and methods
section. Relative expression levels from four experiments (means ± S.E.M.)
were calculated using the ΔΔCT method. Pl.4, Pl.3, and PlII refer to untranslated first exons of transcripts, which indicate the usage of the
respective promoters. (A and B) Significant differences vs controls were
identified using Student’s t-test (*P < 0.05). (C) Time course of aromatase
promoter l.4-containing transcript induction by at-RA. Data were obtained
from two experiments ± range.

be involved in the regulation of aromatase expression in
BAFs by a variety of hormonal factors, were cloned into the
pGL3-Basic vector (for an overview, see Table 1), where
firefly luciferase expression is under the control of the
native promoter fragment. To identify the aromatase
promoter involved in retinoid-mediated induction, murine
3T3-L1 cells, which represent a proven model system to
study regulators of aromatase activity induction, were used
(Rubin et al. 2000, Clyne et al. 2002). The pGL3-Basic
promoter constructs were transiently transfected into these
cells. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were
exposed to retinoids for 8 h in the absence of serum and
subsequently tested for reporter gene activity. There was no
retinoid-dependent induction of reporter gene activity
when aromatase promoters Pl.3, Pl.6, and PlII were tested
(Fig. 2A). In addition, we found no effect of retinoids on a
construct containing a functional fragment of promoter l.7
(Fig. 2B). However, both at-RA and 9cis-RA strongly induced
reporter gene activity when a 776 bp region (−565/+211)
of the human aromatase promoter Pl.4 was tested
(Student’s t-test, P < 0.002) (Fig. 2C).

Therefore, a series of constructs containing shortened
fragments of promoter Pl.4 was generated (Fig. 3B). A 467 bp region of Pl.4 (−256/+211) is necessary for the
retinoid-mediated induction of reporter activity (Fig. 2C).
Shorter fragments were not inducible by retinoids, but
they still exhibited significantly higher basal activity down
to a length of 188 bp (+24/+211) than the shortest
fragment (+124/+211).

An in silico analysis of the 467 bp sequence using the
MatInspector Software revealed four putative RXR
heterodimer-binding sites with matrix similarities
between 0.792 and 0.898, which we considered as
potential retinoic acid response elements (RAREs; Fig. 3A). To identify the responsive element(s) necessary
for retinoid-mediated induction, the four putative
response elements were individually inactivated by site-
directed mutagenesis in the pGL3-Basic-467 constructs
(Fig. 3A and B). In addition, a SP1 element was mutated
as a control.

Surprisingly, mutagenesis of the most promising
candidates RARE1a and RARE1b, which are located in the
5′-end of the 467 bp sequence, did not have any effect on
retinoid responsiveness of the pGL3-Basic(-256/+211)
construct in reporter gene assays (Fig. 3C). The destruction
of the most proximal RARE3 and the SP1 element also did
not have any effect. However, mutagenesis of RARE2 led to
a complete loss of the retinoid-dependent induction of
reporter gene activity (Fig. 3C). The restoration of the
WT sequence from the mutated construct by site-directed
mutagenesis led to the complete restoration of retinoid
responsiveness, indicating that specifically RARE2
mutation was responsible for the loss of retinoid
effect (Fig. 3D). The MatInspector Software classified
RARE2 as a VDR/RXR response element. Therefore, we
tested whether 1,25(OH)_{2}–vitamin D3 could induce
reporter gene activity. There was no significant
induction in comparison with ethanol-treated controls.
(which were set to 100 ± 16%, means ± S.D., three experiments): reporter activity was 144 ± 29, 98 ± 40, and 128 ± 11% for the fragments (−565/+211), (−256/+211), and (−24/+211) respectively.

Both retinoids, at-RA and 9cis-RA, induced similar levels of reporter gene activity. Therefore, it was likely that a member of the RAR subfamily of nuclear receptors is involved. To obtain information about the expression of...
the RAR isoforms at the protein level, immunofluorescence microscopy and western blotting experiments were carried out. In the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, only RARα was readily detectable in immunofluorescence images, but no unequivocal RARβ or RARγ staining could be detected (Supplementary Figure 1A, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Western blotting confirmed these results, with anti-RARα antibodies leading to strong signals within seconds of the chemiluminescence detection reactions, whereas the RARβ- or RARγ-specific antibodies yielded no specific signals (even after exposures of the films for more than 1 h; Supplementary Figure 1B). Using very high concentrations of antibodies, however, weak signals for RARβ and RARγ could be detected in the 3T3-L1 cells (Supplementary Figure 2). Moreover, even under retinoid stimulation, RARα expression in 3T3-L1 cells did not change significantly during 24 h. Under the same conditions, RARβ or RARγ were not readily detectable (Supplementary Figure 1B).

From these observations, we concluded that RARα is the candidate receptor mediating the retinoid-dependent effects on aromatase activity induction in human BAFs and reporter gene activity induction in 3T3-L1 cells. To further confirm the roles of RARE2 and RARα in these retinoid-induced effects, cytosolic and nuclear extracts from unstimulated and retinoid-stimulated cells were

---

**Figure 3**

RARE2 is essential for the induction by retinoids. (A) Organization of the proximal 467 bp fragment of PI.4. Kinked arrows indicate the 5′-ends of shorter promoter constructs; their lengths are given above the arrows. Nucleotides are numbered in relation to the start of the transcription of exon 1.4 (−1). Italics indicate putative transcription factor-binding sites identified by the MatInspector Software tool from Genomatix. Boxes indicate core sequences of putative retinoic acid response elements RARE1a, RARE1b, RARE2, and RARE3 and the putative SP1 element, which was used in the control experiments. Full identity with the respective consensus sequences is indicated by bold types. The bases from the conserved bases of the putative transcription factor-binding sites in the respective controls were identified using Student’s t-test (n = 4; *P < 0.05). (B) Schematic representation of the pGL3-Basic luciferase reporter constructs indicating the positions of the putative response elements mentioned above.

Subscript numbers indicate positions in relation to the transcriptional start site. The names of the constructs are given on the right side. (C) Highly conserved bases of the putative transcription factor-binding sites in the pGL3(−256/+211) construct were mutated as indicated by their respective name and the extension -mut. The cells were lysed for dual luciferase assay after 8 h of induction by at-RA, 9cis-RA, and a vehicle alone (control), with triplicates for each condition in each experiment. Only the mutation of RARE2 abolished retinoid responsiveness in the 467 bp construct pGL3(−256/+211). Significant differences vs controls were identified using the Mann–Whitney U test (n = 4; *P < 0.05). (D) Retinoid responsiveness of pGL3(−256/+211)–RARE2-mut was restored when its RARE2 was re-mutated into the WT sequence. Significant differences vs the respective controls were identified using Student’s t-test (n = 3; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).
prepared. In a first series of experiments, the localization of RARs with and without retinoid stimulation was analyzed. There was no significant difference in RAR<sub>x</sub> accumulation in the nuclear fractions of unstimulated and retinoid-stimulated 3T3-L1 cells (Supplementary Figure 3A, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article) and human BAFs respectively (Supplementary Figure 3B). In these experiments, again only RAR<sub>x</sub> was readily detectable. The quality of extracts was assessed by detection of GAPDH as a cytosolic marker and Lamin A/C as a nuclear marker (Supplementary Figure 3C).

To directly test the specific binding of transcription factors to RARE2, cytosolic and nuclear extracts were tested in EMSAs with fluorescently labeled probes. There was no specific binding when cytosolic extracts were used in the binding reactions (data not shown). However, when the binding reactions were carried out with nuclear extracts obtained from unstimulated and retinoid-stimulated 3T3-L1 cells, a specific complex binding to the labeled probe was identified (Fig. 4B). A 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled probe suppressed the detection of the complex almost completely. When nuclear extracts were used for the binding reactions with a labeled probe containing a mutated RARE2, complex formation was almost undetectable. To confirm the involvement of RAR<sub>x</sub>, nuclear extracts were incubated with anti-RAR<sub>x</sub> antibodies before the addition of the WT RARE2 probe. Under these conditions, the specific complex was also almost undetectable (Fig. 4B), suggesting that antibody binding affects DNA binding of RAR<sub>x</sub>. Taken together, RARE2 is incorporated into a specific complex containing RAR<sub>x</sub> under basal and retinoid-stimulated conditions in 3T3-L1 cells. Importantly, retinoid stimulation leads to an increased formation of a RARE2-binding complex (statistically significant in 9<sup>cis</sup>-RA-treated cells; Fig. 4A). Almost identical results were obtained for human BAFs. However, in these cells, two specific RARE2-binding complexes were detected (Fig. 4C).

ChIP analysis can detect protein–DNA interaction in vivo. Therefore, we carried out ChIP experiments using human BAFs, either mock-stimulated with ethanol or stimulated with at-RA. DNA–protein complexes were precipitated with the isoform-specific RAR antibodies or with a TBP antibody as a nonspecific control. Treatment with at-RA led to a significant increase in the amounts of the RARE2-containing promoter I.4 amplicon in the immunoprecipitates obtained with RAR<sub>x</sub> antibodies. This indicates that at-RA induced the binding of RAR<sub>x</sub> to RARE2 in BAFs (Fig. 5).

In the reporter gene assays with retinoid-stimulated cells, it was evident that the deletion of the RARE2-containing region resulted in a significant reduction of basal promoter activity (see Fig. 2C). Whereas RARE2 was necessary but not sufficient for the retinoid-dependent induction of reporter activity, it was not clear whether this
element is involved in basal induction. Therefore, we repeated the analysis of the various promoter PI.4 constructs without any stimulation and in the presence of ethanol, which was used as a vehicle for all retinoid applications. The results summarized in Fig. 6A clearly indicate that the 100 bp fragment, which is lost in the shortest construct pGL3(C124/C211) in comparison with the 188 bp promoter construct pGL3(C24/C211), is indispensable for basal induction.

To test whether RARE2 might be the element involved in basal induction, the putative SP1 element, RARE2, and RARE3 were mutated in the pGL3(K89/C211) promoter construct. Only site-directed inactivation of RARE2 resulted in a complete loss of basal promoter activity, whereas mutation of the SP1 site and RARE3 did not alter reporter activity (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these experiments indicate that RARE2 is essential for basal aromatase promoter PI.4 activity.

Discussion

Two major pathways of aromatase activity induction in human adipose tissue are well established: a glucocorticoid-dependent pathway targeting promoter I.4 is used in normal adipose tissue, whereas a cAMP-dependent pathway targeting promoter II is predominantly used in adipose tissue in the vicinity of malignant breast tumors (Agarwal et al. 1996, Simpson 2004).

In this study, we identified a novel, retinoid-dependent mechanism for aromatase activity induction in human BAFs, showed that the factor(s) involved are also functional in mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes, and suggest that this mechanism is similarly active in human adipose tissue. Both physiologically relevant retinoids used in this study, at-RA and 9cis-RA, induce aromatase activity and mRNA expression in human BAFs under conditions of extensive serum depletion. Under these conditions, glucocorticoids also induce aromatase activity (Zhao et al. 1995a). A common feature of the retinoid pathway (shown in this study) and the glucocorticoid pathway is that they trigger the utilization of promoter I.4, but not that of other promoters found to be used in adipose tissue cells. The stimulation of both pathways results in similar levels of induction (data not shown), but the molecular mechanisms are different. Zhao et al. (1995a) have localized the glucocorticoid response element in promoter I.4 at position (−133/−119), where the binding of the glucocorticoid receptor controls induction. This promoter region is not involved in retinoid-mediated induction, as outlined below.

To bring about strong aromatase activity induction, glucocorticoids depend on the presence of serum, which can be replaced by suitable cytokines, e.g. PDGF-BB, TNFα (TNF), or cytokines from the IL6 family (Schmidt & Loffler 2004).
These cytokines activate specific upstream response elements in promoter I.4 (see Chen et al. (2009) for a detailed overview). The most proximal of these binding sites, a GAS site at position $(-282/-272)$, is activated by the IL6 family cytokines via binding of STAT3 (Zhao et al. 1995b). However, these upstream binding sites are not relevant for basal retinoid-mediated induction.

The retinoid-mediated activation of aromatase promoter I.4 was analyzed in detail using a luciferase reporter system and site-directed mutagenesis. A 467 bp fragment $(-256/+211)$ of the human promoter sequence $\text{pGL3}(-256/+211)$ was used, as described in Fig. 3. The mutation of RARE2 led to a complete loss of the transcriptional activity of the 300 bp construct. Significant differences vs the WT construct $\text{pGL3}(-89/+211)$ were identified using Student’s t-test ($n = 4-5$; ***$P < 0.001$).
is sufficient to drive the retinoid-induced expression of the luciferase reporter gene. On this promoter fragment, four putative RAREs were identified in silico. However, the experimental evidence described above clearly assigns a role for the retinoid-mediated induction of the reporter only to RARE2 (+91/+105). Data base analysis using the MatInspector Software classified RARE2 as a VDR/RXR response element, containing a three-base pair spacer (a DR3 element). To directly test this, reporter gene assays were repeated with 1,25(OH)2-D3. However, this hormone did not induce reporter gene activity. Therefore, RARE2 is a real RARE in the context of the aromatase promoter I.4.

The results of the mutagenesis, EMSA, and ChIP experiments clearly indicate RARE2 to be essential for the retinoid-dependent reporter gene expression and formation of RARz-containing DNA–protein complexes. However, reporter gene assays revealed that an additional promoter region upstream of RARE2 is necessary for retinoid-mediated induction. This 95 bp sequence (−256 to −162) of the 467 bp promoter fragment contains two of the putative RAREs, RARE1a and RARE1b, that are not functionally relevant. This was shown in the mutagenesis experiments, and it is corroborated by undetectable (RARE1a) or at most weak (RARE1b) complex formation in EMSAs, which is not affected by at-RA treatment (Supplementary Figure 4, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Therefore, the region (−256 to −162) seems to contain an elusive permissive element for the retinoid-mediated activation of promoter I.4. At this point, we have no clues to the identity of that element.

Interestingly, the basal induction of promoter I.4-driven reporter gene activity depends only on the presence of an intact RARE2. This was shown using constructs lacking the (−256 to −162) region. Therefore, RARE2 is necessary not only for retinoid-mediated induction, but also for basal promoter I.4 activity. This notion is corroborated by the results of band shift experiments, in which a marked binding of a RARz-containing complex to RARE2 was detected in unstimulated cells. The formation of the RARz/RARE2 complexes was clearly increased in the presence of retinoids, which is consistent with a function in a retinoid-dependent mechanism. Whether complex formation under basal conditions is also retinoid dependent cannot be unequivocally clarified at the moment, since cells may have used stored retinoids.

At the protein level, only RARz expression was readily detectable in the preadipocyte-like cells used (BAFs and 3T3-L1 cells), whereas RARβ or RARγ expression was sparse. This appears to be in contradiction to published work. However, previous studies on RAR expression in adipose tissue were confined to adipocytes or mRNA expression in preadipocytes (Kamei et al. 1993, Kawada et al. 2000). Thus, the retinoid-dependent induction of aromatase promoter I.4 activation in BAFs is mediated by the most abundant RAR isoform in these cells, RARz, as demonstrated in the ChIP experiments.

The RARE2-mediated induction of aromatase promoter I.4 activation by retinoids can be clearly distinguished from the inhibitory effects of RXR ligands on aromatase activity induction in adipose tissue cells. At-RA and 9cis-RA are natural ligands of the RAR family of receptors responsible for the induction of promoter I.4 activation via RARE2 (this study). On the other hand, retinoids – ligands of RXXs – exert their inhibitory effects on preadipocyte aromatase activity induction either via PPARγ/RXRs – or via competition with liver receptor homolog 1 signaling, as shown by Evan R Simpson’s group previously (Rubin et al. 2002, Sañé et al. 2005).

Currently, there is only one other known aromatase promoter where retinoids are involved in aromatase activity induction: promoter I.1, which is used in placenta or choriocarcinoma cells and is activated by RAR and RXR ligands (Sun et al. 1998). In addition, these ligands have been found to induce aromatase activity in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line via promoter I.1, although a response element has not been identified (Mu et al. 2000).

Given the high specificity of retinoids for aromatase activity induction via promoter I.4 in adipose tissue cells, it is likely that this pathway is involved in the regulation of aromatase expression in normal adipose tissue with predominant promoter I.4 usage (Simpson 2004). The high variability in aromatase activities found in tissue samples obtained from different donors is not easily explained by differences in glucocorticoid concentrations alone, as these largely reflect blood concentrations. On the other hand, the availability of RA within adipose tissue largely depends on local release from stored retinyl esters brought about by hormone-sensitive lipase (Strom et al. 2009), an enzyme whose activity is regulated within wide margins.

Interestingly, increased availability of retinoids within adipose tissue could contribute to elevated aromatase activity in adipose tissue via an additional mechanism: retinoids inhibit the differentiation of preadipocytes (BAFs) into mature adipocytes (Stone & Bernlohr 1990, Antras et al. 1991). As aromatase is expressed in the preadipocyte fraction of cells (Ackerman et al. 1981), (relative) accumulation of preadipocytes will yield higher aromatase activity in relation to tissue masses.
A developing estrogen-dependent breast tumor will find a highly favorable environment in a region of adipose tissue rich in estrogen-synthesizing preadipocytes and in fact malignant breast tumors are preferentially found in breast quadrants with the highest aromatase expression and activities (O’Neill et al. 1988, Bulun et al. 1993). Thus, the correlation of adipose tissue retinoid contents and breast cancer risk (Zaroukian et al. 2005) may be based on the induction of aromatase activity by retinoids at least in an early phase of tumor development. Aromatase activity induction in preadipocytes/BAFs in the vicinity of established tumors largely results from tumor-derived factors, such as prostaglandin E₂, that activate transcription via promoter II (Zhao et al. 1996a, Zhou et al. 2001); retinoids do not activate this promoter. But even in established tumors, retinoids, more precisely RARα ligands such as at-RA and 9cis-RA, may be responsible for the overall smaller increase in the amounts of promoter I.4-derived aromatase transcripts in tumor-surrounding adipose tissue when compared with normal adipose tissue, which has been reviewed previously (Simpson 2004).

Some of the cytokines involved in promoter I.4 activation also cause the desmoplastic reaction leading to increased BAF content in adipose tissue surrounding breast tumors (Meng et al. 2001). These cytokines, e.g. TNF-α, via the induction of insulin resistance, also stimulate lipolysis in adipocytes (Uysal et al. 1997). As local lipolysis is the most likely source of retinoids in adipose tissue (Strom et al. 2009), RARα-mediated aromatase activity induction in BAFs will be stimulated. Interestingly, for the myofibroblasts of breast tumor stroma, Giguere’s group has shown that RARβ promotes tumorigenesis via the induction of a chemokine/ErbB2 signaling pathway (Liu et al. 2011). This suggests that the different RAR isoforms contribute to breast cancer development in a cell type-specific manner. Herein, we provide evidence that retinoid-mediated induction of aromatase activity could be involved in the generation of a local estrogenic environment favoring breast tumor growth.
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