PKC and ERK mediate GH-stimulated lipolysis
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Abstract

GH regulates several physiological processes in vertebrates, including the promotion of growth, an anabolic process, and the mobilization of stored lipids, a catabolic process. In this study, we used hepatocytes isolated from rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) as a model to examine the mechanism of GH action on lipolysis. GH stimulated lipolysis as measured by increased glycerol release in both a time- and a concentration-related manner. The promotion of lipolysis was accompanied by GH-stimulated phosphorylation of the lipolytic enzyme hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL). GH-stimulated lipolysis was also manifested by an increased expression of the two HSL-encoding mRNAs, HSL1 and HSL2. The signaling pathways that underlie GH-stimulated lipolysis were also studied. GH resulted in the activation of phospholipase C (PLC)/protein kinase C (PKC) and the MEK/ERK pathway, whereas JAK–STAT and the PI3K–Akt pathway were deactivated. The blockade of PLC/PKC and the MEK/ERK pathway inhibited GH-stimulated lipolysis and GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL as well as GH-stimulated HSL mRNA expression, whereas the blockade of JAK–STAT or the PI3K–Akt pathway had no effect on the activation of lipolysis or the expression of HSL stimulated by GH. These results indicate that GH promotes lipolysis by activating HSL and by enhancing the de novo expression of HSL mRNAs via the activation of PKC and ERK. These findings also suggest molecular mechanisms for activating the lipid catabolic actions of GH while simultaneously deactivating anabolic processes such as antilipolysis and the growth-promoting actions of GH.
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Introduction

Lipids play many roles in animals, but their most significant use is as an energy reserve; therefore, the breakdown of stored lipids (lipolysis) is a critical aspect of their metabolism (Sheridan 1988). The main lipolytic enzyme is hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL; Watt & Spriet 2010). HSL has been characterized in the adipose tissue of mammals (Lafontan & Langin 2009) and in the adipose tissue and liver of fish (Sheridan 1994). Interestingly, fish possess two HSL-encoding mRNAs that are differentially expressed among tissues (Kittilson et al. 2011). In mammals (Watt & Steinberg 2008) and fish (Sheridan 1994), HSL exists in two catalytic states and, upon activation by phosphorylation, hydrolyzes triacylglycerol (TG) to glycerol and fatty acids (FAs).

Numerous hormones stimulate HSL in mammals and fish, most notably glucagon and catecholamines, which act through protein kinase A (PKA; Sheridan 1994, González-Yanes & Sánchez-Margalet 2006). By contrast, insulin (INS) is lipogenic in mammals and fish as well as directly antilipolytic (via dephosphorylation of HSL) (Harmon et al. 1993, Albalat et al. 2007, Chaves et al. 2011). The effects of GH define on lipid metabolism are complex,
and short-term INS-like (antilipolytic) and long-term anti-INS-like (lipolytic) effects have been reported in mammals (Carrel & Allen 2000, Chaves et al. 2011). INS-like effects can be observed in adipose tissue not exposed to GH previously (i.e. from hypophysectomized animals or from cells of normal individuals preincubated in the absence of GH for 2–4 h), whereas continued exposure to GH results in lipolysis (Carrel & Allen 2000). Given the conditions under which the INS-like effects are brought about, their biological significance has been questioned (Carrel & Allen 2000). The lipolytic effect of GH in fish was first demonstrated in the adipose tissue and liver of salmon in vivo. GH implantation stimulated HSL activity, whereas hypophysectomy reduced it, an effect that was reversed by GH replacement (cf. Sheridan 1994). Subsequently, GH has been shown to directly stimulate lipolysis by us in liver isolated from rainbow trout (O’Connor et al. 1993) and others in adipose tissue isolated from sea bream (Albalat et al. 2005).

Despite extensive knowledge of GH signal transduction (Waters et al. 2006), the mechanism(s) by which GH enhances lipolysis as well as how these mechanisms integrate with other actions of GH (e.g. growth, reproduction, and osmoregulation; Bjornsson et al. 2004, Norrelund 2005) in any species is (are) unclear. In this study, we used rainbow trout hepatocytes as a model to examine the mechanism of GH action on lipolysis. This system is particularly advantageous because trout liver cells possess a high abundance of GH receptors (GHRs; Reindl & Sheridan 2012) and are a significant lipid storage site with a well-characterized lipolytic system (Sheridan 1994). Our specific hypothesis was that ERK and PKC mediate GH-stimulated lipolysis. The rationale for this hypothesis extends from our previous observations that hepatic lipolysis and plasma GH levels increased during periods of food deprivation (Norbeck et al. 2007) and that fasting-induced lipolysis in trout liver was accompanied by the deactivation of Akt, JAK2, and STAT5 and by the activation of ERK and PKC (Bergan et al. 2012).

Materials and methods

Materials

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma, unless stated otherwise. Antibodies for the phospho-specific and total (recognizing both phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated protein) forms of Akt, ERK1/2, JAK2, PKCa/β II, and STAT5, HRP-linked anti-rabbit IgG antibody, biotinylated molecular weight marker, anti-biotin-HRP antibody, MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 (MEK1 and MEK2 are directly responsible for the activation of ERK), PI3K inhibitor LY294002 (PI3K produces phosphatidylinositol phosphates that are critical for the activation of Akt by phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1)), PKA inhibitor H-89 dihydrochloride (selective for cAMP-dependent PKA), and cell lysis buffer were all obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). The JAK2 inhibitor 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexabromocyclohexane (Hex), the STAT5 inhibitor N′-((4-oxo-4H-chromen-3-yl) methylenen)citoinohydrazide (Nico), the Akt inhibitor 1L6-hydroxymethyl-chiro-inositol-2-(R)-2-O-methyl-3-O-octadecyl-sn-glycercarbonate (Carb), the broad-spectrum PKC inhibitor chelerythrine chloride (CC), and the broad-spectrum phospholipase C (PLC) inhibitor U73122 were obtained from EMD Chemicals (Gibbstown, NJ, USA). Molecular weight markers were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories. Salmonid GH, obtained from Drs Akiyosi Takahasi and Shiuinsuke Moriyama, was used for all the experiments.

Experimental animals and conditions

Juvenile rainbow trout of both sexes (~1 year of age) were obtained from Dakota Trout Ranch (Carrington, ND, USA). Fish were transported to North Dakota State University, where they were maintained in 800 l circular tanks supplied with recirculated (10% make-up volume/day) water at 14°C under a 12 h light:12 h darkness photoperiod. Fish were fed twice daily to satiety with AquaMax Grower (PMI Nutrition International, Brentwood, MO, USA), except 7 days prior to the experiments. Seven-day fasted fish were used because we had shown previously that they were in a catabolic state and capable of undergoing lipolysis (Bergan et al. 2012). Fish were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for at least 4 weeks. All procedures that were carried out were in accordance with the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council, Washington, DC, USA) and approved by the North Dakota State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

For the experiments, fish were anesthetized by immersion in 0.05% (v/v) 2-phenoxyethanol and killed by transection of the spinal cord. Hepatocytes were isolated by in situ perfusion (Mommsen et al. 1994). The isolated cells were incubated in a recovery medium (in mM: 137.8 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 0.80 MgSO₄, 0.4 KH₂PO₄, 0.34 NaH₂PO₄, 4.2 NaHCO₃, 10 HEPES, 0.65 glucose, pH 7.6, with 2% defatted BSA, 2 ml MEM amino acid mix (50×)/100 ml, and 1 ml nonessential amino acid mix...
(100×/100 ml) for 2 h at 14 °C with gyratory shaking (100 r.p.m. under 100% O2). Cell viability was assessed by trypan blue dye exclusion, and it ranged between 93 and 97% for all the experiments. After recovery, hepatocytes were collected by centrifugation (550 × g for 8–10 min) and resuspended in an incubation medium (recovery medium with 1.5 mM CaCl2) to a final concentration of 6–8×106 cells/ml and aliquoted into 24-well plates (6–8×106 cells/well). The cells were incubated in the medium alone (control) or in the medium with GH as specified in the figure legends under conditions the same as those used for recovery (14 °C with gyratory shaking at 100 r.p.m. under 100% O2). In combination experiments involving pathway inhibition, inhibitors were added 2 h prior to GH treatment at concentrations specifically recommended by the manufacturer and/or used by us previously (Reindl et al. 2011) as follows: 20 μM LY294002, 25 μM Carb, 10 μM U0126, 50 μM Hex, 200 μM Nico, 10 μM CC, 10 μM U73122, and 2.5 μM H-89 dihydrochloride. After incubation, the cells were pelleted (1000 × g for 4 min) and the supernatant was removed. The cells were washed with 0.5 ml PBS. Cell pellets and medium samples were immediately frozen on dry ice and then stored at −80 °C until further analysis.

**HSL mRNA expression**

Total RNA was extracted using TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, USA) as specified by the manufacturer’s protocol. Each RNA pellet was redissolved in 35–200 μl RNase-free deionized water and quantified using NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL, USA). RNA samples were then stored at −80 °C until further analysis. mRNA was reverse-transcribed in 5 μl reaction using 150 ng total RNA and AffinityScript QPCR cDNA Synthesis kit reagents (Master Mix, random primers, oligo-dT primers, and reverse transcriptase with block) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Reactions without reverse transcriptase were included as negative controls to exclude the possibility of contamination from genomic DNA; no amplification was detected in negative controls.

Steady-state levels of HSL1 and HSL2 mRNAs were determined by quantitative real-time PCR as described previously (Kittelison et al. 2011). Briefly, real-time reactions were carried out for samples, standards, and no-template controls in multiplex reactions with HSL1 or HSL2 and β-actin. Reaction mixtures contained 2 μl cDNA from the RT reactions, 5 μl Brilliant II QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene), 1 μl of each 150 nM gene-specific probes, 0.5 μl of 600 nM gene-specific forward and reverse primers, and 0.15 μl reference dye (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies). Cycling parameters were as follows: 95 °C for 10 min and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s and 58 °C for 1 min. Cross reaction was assessed by substituting alternate primer/probe sets in assays for each standard; no amplification was observed under these conditions. Sample copy number was calculated from the threshold cycle number (Ct) and relating Ct to a gene-specific standard curve, followed by normalization to β-actin.

**Western blot analysis**

The cells were homogenized in 300 μl of 1× cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) with 1 mM PMSF, 1× protease inhibitor (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), and 1× phosphatase inhibitor (G-Biosciences, St Louis, MO, USA). The homogenate was incubated on ice for 5 min and then centrifuged at 16 000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The protein concentration of the supernatant was determined by the Bio-Rad dye-binding method. Protein (50 μg) was separated by SDS-PAGE (7.5% running gel) and transferred onto 0.45 μm nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for western blot analysis (Reindl et al. 2011, Bergan et al. 2012). The membranes were washed and visualized with chemiluminescence according to the manufacturer’s instructions (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK); chemiluminescence was detected directly, and the bands were quantified with a FluorChem FC2 imager (Alpha Innotech Corp., San Leandro, CA, USA). The abundance of phosphorylated ERK 1/2, Akt, JAK2, STAT5, and PKCα/β II was normalized to total ERK 1/2, Akt, JAK2, STAT5, and β-actin respectively. The use of these commercial antisera to detect signal elements and of pharmacological pathway inhibitors in rainbow trout has been validated previously (Reindl et al. 2011, Bergan et al. 2012).

**Glycerol analysis**

Medium samples were deproteinated (65 °C for 10 min) and then centrifuged (16 000 × g for 10 min at room temperature). An aliquot of the supernatant was added to a microplate well containing buffer A (0.205 M K₂CO₃, 0.205 M KHCO₃, pH 10.0, and 0.65 M (NH₄)₂SO₄), NAD⁺ (10 mM in buffer A) and glycerol dehydrogenase (7 units/ml in buffer B (4.76 mM KH₂PO₄, 4.76 mM K₂HPO₄, pH 7.6, 1.4 μM MnCl₂, and 0.9 μM (NH₄)₂SO₄)), in a 4:2:1:1 ratio,
respectively, in a total volume of 200 μl. Mixtures were incubated at 25 °C for 1 h, and then A₃₄₀ was measured.

Hormone-modulated phosphorylation

GH-regulated phosphorylation of HSL was determined in hepatocytes according to the method of Harmon et al. (1993). Four million cells were preincubated in 0.46 ml Hank’s buffer (in mM: 137.8 NaCl, 5.37 KCl, 0.8 MgSO₄, 0.4 KH₂PO₄, 0.337 Na₂HPO₄, 4.17 NaHCO₃, 10.0 HEPES, and 4 glucose, pH 7.6) with 4 μCi [³²P]-monopotassium phosphate (specific activity 1000 mCi/mM; MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) in the presence or absence of pathway inhibitors at the concentrations described above for 3 h at 14 °C with gyratory shaking at 100 r.p.m. under 100% O₂. The cells were collected by centrifugation (550 r.p.m. for 8–10 min), washed twice with Hank’s buffer, and then dispersed and incubated in Hank’s buffer with or without GH at 100 ng/ml for 3 h at 14 °C with gyratory shaking at 100 r.p.m. under 100% O₂. Incubations were stopped by centrifugation (1000 g for 4 min at 14 °C). The cells were resuspended in Hank’s buffer and homogenized. Following centrifugation (16 000 g for 10 min at 14 °C), the supernatant was removed, separated, and subjected to 20% ammonium sulfate fractionation; the HSL-containing precipitate (Harmon et al. 1991) was collected after ice incubation (30 min) and centrifugation (16 000 g for 15 min). HSL samples were resuspended in a buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4) and subjected to SDS-PAGE. [³²P]-phosphorylated-HSL was detected by direct phosphor imaging (Packard Cyclone) of gels.

Statistical analysis

Statistical differences were estimated by one- or two-way ANOVA, as appropriate. In all the cases, main effects were significant, and no significant interactions were observed between the main effects in two-way ANOVAs. After determining that data were normally distributed and displayed equal variance, pairwise comparison of simple effects was made using Duncan’s multiple range test; statistical notations in the figures reflect such comparisons. A P level of 0.05 was used to indicate significance. All statistical analyses were performed on untransformed data using SigmaStat v. 1.0 (SPSS), and graphs and curve-fitting models (for concentration response and computation of ED₅₀) were constructed with SigmaPlot v8.0 (SPSS). Quantitative data are reported relative to the control for ease of comparison and are expressed as means ± S.E.M.

Results

GH stimulates lipolysis in isolated hepatocytes

The lipolysis of stored lipids by HSL results in the release of glycerol into the culture medium. GH stimulated lipolysis as assessed by glycerol release in a time-related manner (Fig. 1A). Initial glycerol levels in the medium were 8.4 ± 1.3 μM/10⁶ cells, and within 1 h of GH exposure, glycerol levels increased significantly. Maximum glycerol release was observed after 6 h; thereafter, glycerol concentration declined. GH also stimulated glycerol release in a concentration-related manner (Fig. 1B). Basal glycerol release was 8.7 ± 1.3 μM/10⁶ cells. GH led to a significant increase in glycerol release at

Figure 1

GH-stimulated lipolysis as measured by glycerol release in hepatocytes isolated from rainbow trout fasted for 7 days. (A) Time-dependent glycerol release from cells incubated with 100 ng/ml GH. (B) Concentration-dependent glycerol release from cells incubated in the absence or presence of various concentrations of GH for 6 h. Data are presented as means ± S.E.M. (n = 8). Groups with different letters are significantly (P < 0.05) different.
10 ng/ml, and maximum glycerol release was observed at a GH concentration of 1000 ng/ml.

The effect of GH on the activation of HSL was studied in isolated hepatocytes that were preincubated with $^{32}$P-monopotassium phosphate. GH stimulated the phosphorylation of HSL as evidenced by the increased radioactive signal in the HSL fraction when compared with the untreated control (Fig. 2). The increased phosphorylation of HSL was observed after a 3-h incubation of GH at 100 ng/ml. Taken together, these findings suggest that GH stimulates lipolysis through the phosphorylation of HSL.

The possibility that GH promotes lipolysis by stimulating the expression of HSL mRNAs was also investigated. Rainbow trout possess two HSL-encoding mRNAs, HSL1 and HSL2; both forms were detected in all the samples of hepatocytes. GH stimulated the expression of both HSL1 and HSL2 mRNAs in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 3A). GH significantly increased the expression of HSL mRNAs within 3 h. Maximum stimulation occurred after 12 h of GH treatment, increasing 275 and 300% for HSL1 and HSL2 mRNAs respectively. By 24 h of GH treatment, expression decreased significantly from peak values, but not to the levels observed in controls. The pattern of expression of HSL1 and HSL2 mRNAs differed significantly only after 3 h of GH treatment, with HSL2 mRNA being expressed to a greater extent than HSL1 mRNA. GH also stimulated both HSL1 and HSL2 mRNAs in a concentration-related manner (Fig. 3B). Notably, GH was more potent in stimulating the expression of HSL2 mRNA than that of HSL1 mRNA. The expression of HSL2 mRNA was significantly stimulated over control levels at a concentration of 1 ng/ml, whereas that of HSL2 mRNA was significantly increased over control levels at 10 ng/ml GH. There was also a significant difference between the expression of HSL2 mRNA and that of HSL1 mRNA evoked by GH at 10, 100, and 1000 ng/ml; and at a GH
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**Figure 2**
GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL in hepatocytes isolated from rainbow trout fasted for 7 days. Hepatocytes were preincubated with $^{32}$P-monopotassium phosphate for 3 h, after which the cells were treated with or without (control) GH at 100 ng/ml for another 3 h. HSL was purified from the isolated hepatocytes by ammonium sulfate fractionation, and the phosphorylated enzyme was separated by SDS–PAGE and detected by autoradiography as described in the Materials and methods section.

![Figure 3](http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org/C2092013SocietyforEndocrinologyDOI:10.1530/JME-13-0039PrintedinGreatBritain)

**Figure 3**
GH-stimulated expression of HSL1- and HSL2-encoding mRNAs in hepatocytes isolated from rainbow trout fasted for 7 days. (A) Time-dependent HSL mRNA expression in cells incubated with 100 ng/ml GH. (B) Concentration-dependent HSL mRNA expression in cells incubated in the absence or presence of various concentrations of GH for 6 h. Steady-state levels of mRNA were determined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR as described in the Materials and methods section. Data are presented as percent of control ((A) 0 ng/ml GH at each respective time point; (B) 0 ng/ml GH) and expressed as means ± S.E.M. (n = 6). For a given HSL isoform, groups with different letters are significantly (P < 0.05) different; *significant difference between HSL subtypes in cells treated with GH for a given time or at a given concentration.
concentration of 1000 ng/ml, the expression of HSL1 mRNA declined from the maximum level observed at 100 ng/ml.

**GH selectively deactivates/activates signaling pathways**

The activation of cell signaling pathways by GH was studied in lysates from hepatocytes isolated from fish fasted for 7 days probed with phospho-specific and control antibodies. Phospho-JAK2, phospho-STAT5, phospho-Akt, phospho-ERK, and phospho-PKC were detected in all the treated hepatocytes. GH deactivated JAK2, STAT5, and Akt in a time-related manner (Fig. 4A, C and E). Significant deactivation of both JAK2 and Akt, a downstream target of PI3K, occurred within 5 min, and then the levels returned to control levels by 1 h of GH treatment. STAT5 was significantly deactivated within 10 min, an effect that persisted through 3 h. GH also deactivated JAK2, STAT5, and Akt in a concentration-related manner (Fig. 4B, D and F). Significant deactivation of JAK2, STAT5, and Akt occurred initially at a concentration of 10 ng/ml GH, and progressively lower degrees of phosphorylation were
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**Figure 4**

Effects of GH on the abundance of phosphorylated JAK2, STATS, and protein kinase B (Akt) in hepatocytes isolated from rainbow trout fasted for 7 days. (A, C, and E) Time-dependent phosphorylation of JAK2, STAT5, and Akt respectively in cells incubated with 100 ng/ml GH. (C, D, and F) Concentration-dependent phosphorylation of JAK2, STAT5, and Akt respectively in cells incubated in the absence or presence of GH for 30 min (control is 0 ng/ml GH). Cell lysates were separated by SDS–PAGE followed by western immunoblotting, and the blots were quantified with a FluorChem imager. The abundance of phosphorylated JAK2, STAT5, and Akt was normalized to total JAK2, STAT5, and Akt respectively. Data are presented as representative immunoblots (insets) and as means ± S.E.M. (n = 4). Groups with different letters are significantly (P < 0.05) different.
observed as GH concentration increased. Maximum deactivation occurred at 1000 ng/ml GH, resulting in a reduction in the phosphorylation state of JAK2, STAT5, and Akt to 25, 35, and 58% of the control respectively.

In contrast to the effect on JAK2, STAT5, and Akt, GH resulted in the activation of ERK and PKC. Significant activation of ERK by GH occurred within 10 min and persisted through 3 h (Fig. 5A). GH also significantly induced the phosphorylation of PKC within 10 min, an effect that peaked after 60 min and then subsided back to control levels by 3 h (Fig. 5C).

GH also activated ERK and PKC in a concentration-related manner (Fig. 5B and D). Significant phosphorylation was observed at a concentration of 10 ng/ml GH; maximal activation, increasing to 183% of control levels, was observed at 1000 ng/ml. A similar profile was observed with the activation of PKC, for which significant phosphorylation was induced by a GH concentration of 10 ng/ml and maximal phosphorylation was achieved at 1000 ng/ml.

**Linkage of cell signaling elements to GH-stimulated lipolysis**

The linkage of specific cell signaling pathways to GH-stimulated lipolysis and GH-stimulated HSL expression in isolated hepatocytes was studied using pharmacological inhibitors. The first series of experiments examined the effects of pathway blockade on lipolysis as measured by glycerol release (Fig. 6). As noted previously, GH (100 ng/ml) stimulated glycerol release over basal levels. Pretreatment of hepatocytes with the PKC inhibitor CC or with the PLC inhibitor U73122 completely blocked GH-stimulated lipolysis. The MEK inhibitor U0126 partially blocked GH-stimulated lipolysis. By contrast, the inhibition of JAK2 (with hex), PI3K (with LY294002), or Akt directly (with Carb) had no effect on GH-stimulated glycerol release from the hepatocytes.

The second series of experiments examined how signaling pathways mediate the activity state of HSL by evaluating their role in the phosphorylation of the

---

**Figure 5**

Effects of GH on the abundance of phosphorylated ERK and PKC in hepatocytes isolated from rainbow trout fasted for 7 days. (A and C) Time-dependent phosphorylation of ERK and PKC respectively in cells incubated with 100 ng/ml GH. (B and D) Concentration-dependent phosphorylation of ERK and PKC respectively in cells incubated in the absence or presence of GH for 30 min (control is 0 ng/ml GH). Cell lysates were separated by SDS–PAGE followed by western immunoblotting, and the blots were quantified with a FluorChem imager. The abundance of phosphorylated ERK 1/2 and PKCα/β II was normalized to total ERK 1/2 and β-actin respectively. Data are presented as representative immunoblots and as means ± S.E.M. (n = 4). Groups with different letters are significantly (P < 0.05) different.
enzyme. GH stimulated $^{32}$P-phosphorylation of HSL (Fig. 7). The blockade of JAK (with Hex) had no effect on GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL, whereas the inhibition of ERK (with U0126) or PKC (with CC) blocked GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL (Fig. 7). In addition, the inhibition of PKA, a known regulator of HSL phosphorylation (Harmon et al. 1993; therefore used as a positive control), partially blocked GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL. The inhibition of PI3K (with LY294002) or Akt directly (with Carb) also had no effect on GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL (data not shown).

The third series of experiments examined the pathways mediating GH-stimulated mRNA expression (Fig. 8). The blockade of the ERK pathway with a MEK inhibitor (U0126) and the blockade of PLC (with U73122) partially reduced the expression of both HSL mRNAs. When the cells were pretreated with the PKC inhibitor (CC) followed by treatment with GH, the expression of HSL mRNA decreased below control levels. The blockade of PI3K, Akt, JAK2, and STAT5 signaling elements did not affect GH-stimulated HSL mRNA expression.

**Discussion**

The liver of teleost fish is a particularly opportune system to investigate the influence of GH on the mobilization of lipids because it is a significant lipid storage site with a well-characterized lipolytic system (Sheridan 1994) and it possesses a high abundance of GHRs (Reindl & Sheridan 2012). The present results demonstrate that GH, at concentrations in the physiological range in the plasma of trout (Norbeck et al. 2007), stimulates lipolysis by activating HSL and by enhancing the de novo expression of HSL mRNAs. The results also confirm our starting hypothesis that GH-stimulated HSL activity and GH-stimulated HSL mRNA expression are mediated through the ERK and PKC signaling pathways. These findings establish the mechanisms through which GH exerts comprehensive lipolytic actions and provides insight into how such actions may be integrated with other actions of GH.

GH stimulates lipolysis by the activation of HSL. This is supported by the present observation that GH directly stimulated lipolysis in isolated hepatocytes, resulting in glycerol release. This observation is consistent with previous studies in mammalian adipose tissue (Gorin et al. 1990, Chavez et al. 2006) and in liver and adipose tissue of...
fish (Sheridan 1994, Albalat et al. 2005) showing the GH-stimulated hydrolysis of stored TG and the release of glycerol and FAs and with studies in fish showing that GH increased the specific activity of hepatic HSL in vivo and in vitro (O’Connor et al. 1993, Sheridan 1994). Such lipolytic action underlies the increase in plasma FAs in mammals and fish following GH injection (Fain 1980, Lafontan & Langin 2009). To our knowledge, the present study also reveals for the first time that GH-stimulated lipolysis is accompanied by the phosphorylation of HSL. In mammals (Watt & Steinberg 2008) and fish (Sheridan 1994), HSL exists in two catalytic states and, upon activation by phosphorylation, hydrolyzes TG. Mutagenesis studies of mammalian HSLs have demonstrated that the phosphorylation of (in rat) Ser563, Ser600, Ser659, and Ser660 results in the activation of HSL, whereas the phosphorylation of Ser565 inhibits HSL activity (Yeaman 2004, Watt & Steinberg 2008). The conservation of these residues in the two HSLs of rainbow trout (Kittilson et al. 2011) most probably explains the activation of hepatic HSL by phosphorylation observed in trout previously (Harmon et al. 1993) as well as the GH-stimulated activation of HSL observed in the present study.

GH signaling involves the activation of numerous signaling cascades, including JAK–STAT, ERK, PI3K/Akt, and PLC/DAG/PKC (Waters et al. 2006). Of these pathways, several current observations suggest that the activation of HSL by GH involves ERK and PKC. First, GH increased the abundance of phospho-ERK as well as of phospho-PKC. Second, specific blockade of the ERK pathway or PLC/PKC inhibited GH-stimulated lipolysis. Third, the blockade of the ERK pathway or PKC inhibited GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL. Taken together, these findings indicate that ERK and PKC mediate GH-stimulated activation of HSL. These findings are consistent with previous studies in mammals showing that GH activated PKC to promote lipolysis in fat pads isolated from rats (Gorin et al. 1990). Previous work in mammals have also shown that PKC could activate the ERK pathway (González-Yanes & Sánchez-Margalet 2006) and that ERK is a proximate activator of HSL by the phosphorylation of Ser600 (Greenberg et al. 2001). In light of the current findings, it is reasonable to suggest that GH-stimulated lipolysis results from ERK activation of HSL mediated by PLC/PKC. The present findings also suggest that PKA mediates GH-stimulated lipolysis as evidenced by the partial inhibition of GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL in the presence of a specific PKA inhibitor. These findings are consistent with previous observations in mammals (Lafontan & Langin 2009) and fish (Harmon et al. 1993) that PKA is a proximate activator of HSL. Mutagenesis studies in rats revealed that PKA phosphorylates HSL at Ser563, Ser659, and Ser660 (Yeaman 2004, Waters et al. 2006). These observations coupled with the previous observation that PKC can activate PKA (Fricke et al. 2004) and the current findings suggest that GH-stimulated lipolysis also results from PKA activation of HSL mediated by PLC/PKC. There is some suggestion that GH may accentuate the action of PKA by increasing the cytoplasmic pool of cAMP through the inhibition of Gsα; however, the specific effector(s) that transduce such action is (are) not known (Lafontan & Langin 2009). It should also be noted that the possibility exists that PKC could directly activate HSL (as well as other lipases), but there is no evidence of this; however, other kinases such as cGMP-dependent protein kinase have been implicated in the activation of HSL (Yeaman 2004).

The current findings also suggest that GH-stimulated lipolysis is accompanied by the deactivation of JAK/STAT and PI3K/Akt. This conclusion is supported by GH reducing transiently the abundance of phospho-JAK2, phospho-STAT5, and phospho-Akt and by specific inhibition of JAK2, PI3K, or Akt having no effect on GH-stimulated lipolysis or GH-stimulated phosphorylation of HSL. These findings provide new insight into...
the signaling mechanisms that underlie the antagonism between lipolysis and antilipolysis/lipogenesis. At the nexus of this antagonism is Akt. Akt has been found to stimulate phosphodiesterase activity, which, in turn, reduces the cytoplasmic pool of cAMP and leads to the deactivation of PKA, dephosphorylation of HSL, and reduced lipolysis (Baragali et al. 2011). In addition, Akt activates AMP-activated protein kinase (Berggreen et al. 2009), which negatively regulates HSL by phosphorylation at Ser565 (Watt & Steinberg 2008). Akt also regulates several lipogenic enzymes, including the activation of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Berggreen et al. 2009), the rate-limiting enzyme of FA synthesis. Given that Akt is a chief element of INS signaling (Caruso & Sheridan 2011), it is through Akt that INS exerts its lipogenic and antilipolytic actions (Albalat et al. 2005, Chaves et al. 2011). The present findings suggest that by reducing the activation of Akt, GH shifts the balance of the antilipolysis/lipogenesis–lipolysis antagonism toward lipolysis by suppressing antilipolytic/lipogenic processes.

The current findings also indicate that GH stimulates lipolysis by increasing the expression of HSL-encoding mRNAs. Interestingly, the responsiveness of HSL1 to GH treatment appeared to be greater than that of HSL2. The existence of multiple HSL isoforms appears to be unique to teleost fish and the functional significance of the different forms is not clear; however, differences do exist in the intracellular domain of the predicted proteins (Kittilson et al. 2011) – differences that may be important for activation by different signal transduction systems and sufficient to explain the differential responsiveness to GH. The ability of GH to promote the expression of lipases is consistent with previous observations in isolated rat adipocytes that GH-stimulated lipolysis was abolished by the inhibitors of RNA and protein synthesis (Fain 1980). To our knowledge, the current findings showing that the blockade of the ERK pathway or PLC/PKC inhibits GH-stimulated HSL expression indicate for the first time the mechanism(s) through which GH affects de novo HSL synthesis. The HSL (LIPE) promoter in mammals has been found to possess several elements that could be recognized by general transcription factors such as SF1, Sp1, and C/EBPα as well as several other response elements, including glucose response element, fat response element, and a C/EBP response element (Lampidonis et al. 2008). Given the previous observations that PKC activated PKA (Fricke et al. 2004) and that PKA increased HSL transcription via SF1 (Holszyz et al. 2011), it is reasonable to suggest that GH-stimulated HSL expression proceeds through a PLC/PKC–PKA–SF1 pathway. Given that PKC activated ERK (Fricke et al. 2004) and that ERK activated c/EBPβ (Piwien-Pilipuk et al. 2002) and GH increases the expression of c/EBPβ, including in rainbow trout (Lo et al. 2007), it is also reasonable to suggest that GH-stimulated HSL expression proceeds through a PLC/PKC–ERK–c/EBPβ pathway. The deactivation of Akt following GH treatment observed in the present study may also influence GH-stimulated HSL expression. For example, Akt plays a role in the dephosphorylation of c/EBPβ (Piwien-Pilipuk et al. 2002); therefore, by reducing the activation of Akt, the phosphorylation of c/EBPβ and the ensuing enhancement of HSL expression would be favored. Akt also leads to the activation of PDE (Chavez et al. 2006); by reducing the activation of Akt, there would be an increase in the cAMP pool and an increase in HSL transcription via the cAMP response element.

The results of the present study help to explain the mechanisms that underlie fasting-associated lipid depletion. During periods of food deprivation, lipid depletion is accompanied by increases in plasma levels of GH in the face of reduced plasma levels of INS and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) in mammals and fish (Norbeck et al. 2007). We have recently shown that fasting-induced lipolysis in trout liver (as well as in adipose tissue and red and white skeletal muscle) was accompanied by the deactivation of Akt, JAK2, and STAT5 and by the activation of ERK and PKC (Bergan et al. 2012). In light of the present findings that GH-stimulated lipolysis was mediated by the activation of PKC and ERK in conjunction with the deactivation of PI3K–Akt and JAK–STAT, it is reasonable to conclude that GH promotes lipolysis during fasting by the activation of PKC and ERK and by the deactivation of PI3K/Akt and JAK2–STAT. In should be noted that the hepatocytes used in the present study were isolated from fish that were fasted for 7 days, a period of time previously shown to result in reduced plasma levels of INS and IGF1 and in elevated plasma levels of GH, which underscores the relevance of the findings for interpreting the actions of GH in the fasting state. The findings may also help to explain the mechanisms that underlie smollicification and premature transfer to seawater-associated depletion of lipids observed in salmonids – both of which occur in association with increases in plasma GH levels (Sheridan 1989).

In summary, these results indicate that GH promotes lipolysis by enhancing the expression of HSL-encoding mRNAs as well as by phosphorylating HSL via the activation of PKC and ERK.
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