Novel mutations associated with combined pituitary hormone deficiency
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Abstract

The pituitary gland produces hormones that play important roles in both the development and the homeostasis of the body. A deficiency of two or several of these pituitary hormones, known as combined pituitary hormone deficiency, may present in infants or children due to an unknown etiology and is considered congenital or idiopathic. Advancements in our understanding of pituitary development have provided a genetic basis to explain the pathophysiological basis of pituitary hormone disease. Nevertheless, there are several challenges to the precise characterization of abnormal genotypes; these exist secondary to the complexities of several of the hypothalamic/pituitary developmental factors and signals, which ultimately integrate in a temporal and spatial dependent manner to produce a mature gland. Furthermore, the clinical presentation of pituitary hormone disease may be dynamic as subsequent hormone deficiencies may develop over time. The characterization of patients with mutations in genes responsible for pituitary development provides an opportunity to discover potential novel mechanisms responsible for pituitary pathophysiology. The focus of this review is to report the most recent mutations in genes responsible for pituitary development in patients with hypopituitarism and emphasize the importance to physicians and researchers for characterizing these patients. Continuing efforts toward understanding the molecular basis of pituitary development as well as genetic screening of patients with pituitary disease will offer new insights into both diagnostic and potential therapeutic options that will decrease the morbidity and mortality in patients with hypopituitarism.
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Introduction

The development of the human pituitary has been mapped to the expression of transcription factors in a coordinated temporal and spatial sequence that ultimately produces five distinct pituitary cell types. These cell types (along with the hormone product) include the somatotroph (GH), thyrotroph (TSH), lactotroph (prolactin (PRL)), gonadotroph (FSH and LH), and corticotroph (ACTH). Our knowledge regarding pituitary embryogenesis has been gained from experimental animal models, which have helped to categorize developmental genes necessary for pituitary development and function (Dasen & Rosenfeld 2001, Scully & Rosenfeld 2002, Kelberman & Dattani 2006). These transcription factors, in addition to signaling molecules, initiate the formation of Rathke’s pouch and direct the proliferation of cells into distinct cell lineages. Any disruption of this cascade adversely affects the development or survival of single or multiple cell types, thus ultimately leading to deficiencies in hormone products.

In humans, combined pituitary hormone deficiency (CPHD) is diagnosed when the production of two or more of these hormones is insufficient or absent. The etiology of CPHD is typically multifactorial and may be secondary to a neurological insult. However, a subset of patients, who present during infancy or childhood with CPHD, is classified as having idiopathic disease. These patients may be considered to have a genetic etiology for their deficiencies, associated with mutations in several of these transcription factors responsible for pituitary development. Although the incidence of reported mutations in CPHD patients remains low, current research continues to delineate the complexities of pituitary development and more clearly define the molecular basis of hormone deficiency in these patients.

Pituitary developmental factors

The identification and characterization of pituitary developmental factors in vivo and in vitro has helped to
establish a genetic basis for CPHD in humans who harbor similar mutations. These factors include HESX1, LHX3, LHX4, POU1F1, PROP1, SIX6, OTX2, PTX2, GLI2, and SOX3, all of which have been shown to play a role in the development and maturation of the pituitary gland. For example, the Hesx1 mutant mouse model demonstrates forebrain and pituitary malformation along with abnormalities in the septum pellucidum and eye. This phenotype is similar to the human phenotype of septo-optic dysplasia (SOD; Dattani et al. 1998). Despite the identification of several HESX1 mutations in patients with SOD, reports state that <1% of patients with SOD demonstrate coding region mutations (Cohen et al. 1999a, Thomas et al. 2001, Tajima et al. 2003, Sobrier et al. 2006, Coya et al. 2007, McNay et al. 2007). Consequently, the incidence of identifying a mutation in humans remains low, but this may simply reflect that other factors or signaling molecules remain to be identified (Reynaud et al. 2006). Figure 1 illustrates a modified overview of pituitary development that has been adapted from previous embryological studies of mice. This cascade of events emphasizes the importance of both the temporal and the spatial expression of both developmental factors and signals required for the appropriate differentiation and proliferation of the mature pituitary cell types (Fig. 1).

The focus of this review is to inform the reader of recently reported mutations in the most commonly studied transcription factors since ~2001. Although some of the factors have been implicated in hypopituitarism for more than a decade, this review is not intended to present the reader with a comprehensive list of DNA abnormalities in these patients. We hope to highlight the current advancements in molecular genetics that have allowed researchers to not simply identify mutations or deletion of genes but more importantly provide potential mechanisms of pathology through the use of functional studies.

CPHD has a wide spectrum of presentations and quite often hypopituitarism is a developing entity as patients may acquire hormone deficiencies overtime. The range of clinical presentations emphasizes the complexity of pituitary development and suggests that both compensatory mechanisms, as well as interplay between transcription factors, ultimately determine the fate of pituitary function.

**HESX1**

The human HESX1 gene, located on chromosome 3p14.3, contains a 185 amino acid open reading frame with four exons and acts as a promoter-specific transcriptional repressor (Dattani et al. 1998, Brickman et al. 2001). In early development, Hesx1 transcripts are expressed in the anterior visceral endoderm and neural ectoderm but are eventually restricted to the Rathke’s pouch (Hermesz et al. 1996, Thomas & Beddington 1996, Andoniadou et al. 2007). Its role as a repressor has been demonstrated by its downregulation, which precedes a rise in PROP1 at mouse embryonic day 12 (e12). Pituitary development continues as the DNA binding motif of HESX1 in the presence of PROP1 changes to preferentially bind with PROP1 as a heterodimer (Kato et al. 2010). More recently, the complexities of HESX1’s role in pituitary development have been demonstrated through its association with other pituitary factors during development. Table 1 lists several reported interactions between HESX1 and other factors that when perturbed can affect pituitary development. The discovery and characterization of these interactions for HESX1 and other developmental factors are important steps in not only delineating pituitary development but also understanding clear mechanisms of pathology in hypopituitarism (Gaston-Massuet et al. 2008, Sajedi et al. 2008a, Carvalho et al. 2010).

In humans, clinical manifestations of mutations in the HESX1 gene are variable and contribute to CPHD or as part of SOD with an inheritance pattern classified as either autosomal recessive or autosomal dominant.
with incomplete penetrance. This correlates with murine models bearing Hesx1 mutations, which bear midline cranial defects along with pituitary and eye abnormalities similar to SOD (Dattani et al. 1998). A diagram summarizing the most recent HESX1 mutations and their position in reference to their exon are shown in Fig. 2. A novel p.I26T mutation in exon 1 was reported in a patient with early GH deficiency (GHD), FSH/LH deficiency, and evolving TSH and cortisol deficiency, along with pituitary structural abnormalities (Carvalho et al. 2003).

Although mutations in HESX1 associated with pituitary disease appear to modulate the DNA-binding affinity of HESX1, this mutation appeared to impair transcriptional repression (Brickman et al. 2001, Carvalho et al. 2003). A group of investigators further studied this mutation through the generation of a mouse model with Hesx1 mutations p.I26T and p.R160C in order to demonstrate the effects of HESX1 on embryonic development. Both mutations had complete penetrance of pituitary defects; however, the effect on ocular and forebrain development varied with the I26T/I26T less severely affected than I26T/(null). The authors suggested that the pituitary may be more sensitive to dosage reductions of HESX1 than the eye and forebrain (Sajedi et al. 2008b).

More recently, a report of a homozygous frameshift mutation, c.449_450delAC (E150delX167), and a splice defect, c.357+2Tb>C, was demonstrated to interfere with the translation of the homeodomain (Sobrier et al. 2006). Functional studies of the mutated HESX1 demonstrated failure to repress PROP1 activity versus wild-type HESX1, which repressed gene activation. Each patient exhibited severe CPHD and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) abnormalities, but normal optic nerves, and anterior pituitary (AP) aplasia with a flat sella turcica.

**LHX3**

The LHX3 transcription factor maps to the subtelenomic region of chromosome 9 at band 9q34.3 and contains seven exons and six introns (Sloop et al. 2001). The protein possesses two LIM domains involved in
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**Table 1 Factors reported to interact with HESX1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcription factor</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Mechanism of interaction</th>
<th>Functional studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIX3</td>
<td>Homeobox transcription factor important for eye and pituitary development</td>
<td>Regulates through the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway to allow normal forebrain development</td>
<td>Six3+/− Hesx1Cre/− compound heterozygote mice demonstrate postnatal dwarfism, low thyroid function, and poor testicular development; abnormal pituitary phenotype secondary to disrupted signaling</td>
<td>Gaston-Massuet et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1)</td>
<td>Nuclear protein for CpG DNA-methylation and gene regulation coexpressed with HESX1 and acts as binding protein</td>
<td>May induce permanent gene silencing by the specific methylation of CpG residues of HESX1 target genes</td>
<td>Transfected 293T cells demonstrate that HESX1 and DNMT1 form complexes; in situ hybridization with wild-type mouse embryos suggest that HESX1 may repress transcription through methylation of targets</td>
<td>Sajedi et al. (2008a,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLE1/TLE3</td>
<td>Groucho-related co-repressors that bind to transcription factors</td>
<td>Augment repressor role of HESX1</td>
<td>Transfected 293T cells demonstrate TLE1 and TLE3 augment HESX1 repression of PROP1; transgenic mice with ectopic TLE3 and HESX1 expression disrupt differentiation of thyrotrophs and gonadotrophs</td>
<td>Carvalho et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROP1</td>
<td>Pituitary-specific homeobox transcription factor</td>
<td>The presence of PROP1 leads to HESX1 motif change: TAATT to TAAT forming heterodimer with HESX1; such interaction allows PROP1 to advance pituitary development</td>
<td>Used random oligonucleotides and an electrophoretic mobility shift assay to examine binding preference of HESX1</td>
<td>Kato et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mutations, p.E173ter and p.W224ter in exons 3 and 5 respectively, that lead to truncated proteins, a mutation in the homeodomain (p.A210V), and a homozygous gene deletion. All patients had CPHD; however, a hypoplastic pituitary or limited neck rotation was not universal (Pfaeffle et al. 2007). In an earlier study, a patient was identified to have a p.159delT (exon 2 delT) LHX3 mutation causing a frameshift and premature termination codon. The patient was diagnosed with deficiencies of GH, PRL, LH, FSH, and TSH, a rigid cervical spine with limited head rotation, mental retardation, and MRI findings of a hypodense lesion in the pituitary consistent with a microadenoma. The authors suggest that mutations in LHX3 may also lead to abnormal pituitary cell proliferation (Bhangoo et al. 2006).

**LHX4**

The human LHX4 gene, located on chromosomal position 1q25 with six exons encoding 390 amino acids, is another member of the LIM homeodomain of transcription factors required for the development of Rathke’s pouch (Machinis et al. 2001, Liu et al. 2002, Reynaud et al. 2004, Pfaeffle et al. 2008). The Lhx4 (−/−) mutant mouse demonstrated all five AP cell lineages; however, there are reduced numbers leading to a hypoplastic lobe (Sheng et al. 1997). Further studies of LHX4 in conjunction with LHX3 demonstrate that LHX4 is required for the proliferation of lineage precursors, whereas LHX3 is necessary to establish the fate of pituitary precursor cells (Sheng et al. 1997).

The clinical manifestations of LHX4 mutations encompass a varied presentation including GHD and variable TSH, gonadotropin, and ACTH deficiencies. Figure 4 illustrates the position, in reference to the exon, of recently reported mutations diagnosed in patients with CPHD. A p.A210P mutation within the homeodomain was found in a father and two daughters.
with one sister diagnosed with CPHD whereas the other sister and father had GHD only (Paeflfe et al. 2008). Another patient with a p.L190R mutation also in the homeodomain was deficient in GH, ACTH, and TSH (Paeflfe et al. 2008). A third patient with GHD, TSH deficiency, and gonadotropin deficiency was found to have a p.R84C mutation located between the LIM domains of the protein. Functional studies of these mutations demonstrated either a reduced or abolished capacity to activate an αGSU reporter. Despite the previous association of LHX4 mutations with cerebellar abnormalities, the imaging of these three cases demonstrated only a hypoplastic AP with or without an ectopic posterior pituitary (PP). More recently, a novel mutation, p.V101A in the LIM2 domain in exon 3, was found in a patient with CPHD, a small AP, and ectopic PP. This mutation demonstrated an inability to activate the POU1F1 and FSHβ subunit gene promoter, indicating a loss of function mutation (Tajima et al. 2009a). This finding highlights previous findings suggesting that POU1F1 is an LHX4 target leading to the expression of GH (Machinis & Amselem 2005). Another familial mutation resulting in CPHD and pituitary abnormalities was identified as a C insertion into the third exon of LHX4, resulting in a frameshift mutation with an early stop codon (p.Thr99fs; Castinetti et al. 2008). Functional and structural studies of the mutant LHX4 demonstrated a complete loss of transcriptional activity on the POU1F1 promoter and a lack of DNA binding (Castinetti et al. 2008).

**Prophet of Pit1**

The human Prophet of Pit1 (PROP1) gene, located at chromosomal position 5q35, with three exons encoding 226 amino acids, contains both a paired-like homeodomain for DNA binding and a C-terminal transcriptional activator domain (Duquesnoy et al. 1998). PROP1 acts as both a repressor in downregulating HESX1 and as an activator of POU1F1 (Dasen & Rosenfeld 2001). A proposed mechanism is through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, in which the binding of PROP1 with β-catenin represses Hexl expression and promotes POU1F1-dependent cellular development (Olson et al. 2006). The expression of PROP1 maintains the POU1F1 lineage and allows for differentiation of lactotrophs, somatotrophs, and thyrotrophs. It has been shown to be dependent on Notch signaling, which is thought to be important for late lineage differentiation (Zhu et al. 2006). The Ames mouse, which harbors a mutated Prop1, demonstrates severe dwarfism, hypothyroidism, and infertility (Sornson et al. 1996). Recently, Ward et al. (2007) created a Prop1 bacterial artificial chromosome transgene, which rescued the mutant mice with the Prop1 dwarf phenotype when crossed with wild-type mice.

The frequency of human PROP1 mutations remains low among sporadic cases but accounts for 29.5–50% of familial cases of CPHD (Turton et al. 2005a, Kelberman & Dattani 2006). There are at least 24 reported mutations, which have either homozygous recessive or compound heterozygous inheritance (Kelberman & Dattani 2007). Figure 5 illustrates recently reported human mutations associated with CPHD and the mutation location in reference to the exon affected. The clinical phenotypes of human PROP1 mutations may be variable and dynamic. Typically, patients present with GH, PRL, and TSH deficiencies, although there may be increased risk for cortisol and gonadotropin deficiency with age. Structurally, there is a normal PP and stalk, but the AP may be hypoplastic, normal, or enlarged, which may wax and wane before
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*Figure 4* Reported LHX4 gene mutations associated with human CPHD since 2001. The coding exons are seen in the first line with the translated regions in black color. The LHX4 mRNA structure is depicted below with the coding regions for the LIM domains and the homeodomain (HD) shown in blue and yellow color respectively. The location of reported mutations is indicated by the arrows.

*Figure 5* Reported PROP1 gene mutations associated with human CPHD since 2001. The coding exons are illustrated in the first line with the translated regions depicted in black color. The PROP1 mRNA structure is shown below with homeodomain (HD) and the transcriptional activator domain (TD) in yellow and orange colors respectively. The relative positions of reported mutations are indicated by the arrows.
eventual involution (Turton et al. 2005a, Kelberman & Dattani 2007).

The majority of reported mutations involves the DNA-binding homeodomain and in part the transcriptional domain (as depicted in Fig. 5). There was a recent description of a mutation, however, in the initiation codon in c.2T→C in two sisters who were diagnosed with pituitary hypoplasia and CPHD in addition to late onset of adrenal insufficiency. This first described exon 1 mutation resulted in loss of translation of the PROP1 protein (Lemos et al. 2006). Another novel homozygous mutation upstream of the homeodomain, microdeletion c.112_124, was described in three family members with CPHD from a large consanguineous family (Agarwal et al. 2000). This mutation leads to a premature termination codon that resulted in a protein lacking the homeodomain and transactivation domain. Deletions of the entire PROP1 gene have also been reported in patients with CPHD, which is similar to those harboring a small deletion, thus suggesting that even a small deletion could result in the loss of activity in vivo (Abrao et al. 2006, Kelberman et al. 2008a,b).

POU1F1 (PIT1)

The human POU1F1 gene, located on chromosome 3p11, is composed of six exons and has two protein domains: a POU-specific domain and a POU homeodomain (Rosenfeld 1991, Tatsumi et al. 1992). Both domains are important in high-affinity DNA binding of genes encoding GH and PRL as well as in the regulation of TSHβ, cAMP, and PRL production (Xu et al. 1998, Cohen et al. 1999a). Furthermore, there is evidence to suggest that additional regulation of POU1F1 expression includes retinoic acid induction of the POU1F1 gene distal enhancer during pituitary development (Cohen et al. 1999b). A more recent advancement in our understanding of POU1F1 expression and regulation includes a novel pituitary regulator known as Atbf1, which is a transcription factor belonging to the ‘giant, multiple-homeodomain, and zinc finger family’ (Qi et al. 2008). Atbf1 mutant mice demonstrated a decrease of POU1F1 mRNA expression along with diminished expression of GH and TSHβ, although products of non-Pit1-dependent cell types such as corticotrophs and melanotrophs were unaffected.

The clinical manifestations of POU1F1 mutations have been well described in patients and include GH, TSH, and PRL deficiency with inconsistent MRI findings of AP hypoplasia. At least 27 different mutations have been described, with both autosomal recessive and dominant negative inheritance (Kelberman et al. 2009). Recently reported POU1F1 mutations in patients diagnosed with CPHD are illustrated in Fig. 6. A study of 129 patients with CPHD found POU1F1 mutations in ten patients, of whom five had the R271W mutation, a site considered a mutational ‘hotspot’ (Turton et al. 2005b). Despite a majority of mutations reported as missense/nonsense, a homozygous c.682+1G>A intronic splice site mutation affecting the exon 4 splice donor site was described in one patient with GH, TSH, and PRL deficiency and a hypoplastic AP (Snabboon et al. 2008). A more recent novel mutation, an IVS2-3insA mutation adjacent to the splicing site, was reported to generate a premature stop codon that resulted in loss of the homeodomain and transactivation domain (Carlomagno et al. 2009). The function of POU1F1, furthermore, also shares an important relationship with cyclic AMP response element binding protein (CBP). A 20-year-old man with congenital CPHD and a hypoplastic AP gland was reported to have a mutation in exon 4, p.S179R, identified in the POU-specific domain. Functional studies revealed decreased transactivation of GH1, PRL, POU1F1, and TSHβ promoters (Miyata et al. 2006). The authors demonstrated that both DNA binding and interaction with co-activators such as CBP are critical to POU1F1’s function (Miyata et al. 2006).

OTX2

OTX2, a member of the orthodenticle family located on chromosome 14q23.1, is expressed early in anterior neuroectoderm development and is known for its critical role in retinal photoreceptor, rostral brain, and midbrain development (Acampora et al. 2000, Kurokawa et al. 2004, Henderson et al. 2009). Although mutations in OTX2 are commonly associated with eye abnormalities, several reports demonstrate its importance in pituitary function. A recent short report that reviewed reported OTX2 mutations states that abnormal pituitary structure and/or function occurred in ~30% of patients with mutations (Schilter et al. 2010). Figure 7 illustrates several of the most recently reported...
OTX2 mutations in patients whose clinical presentation included abnormal pituitary function. In four patients, three novel heterozygous mutations were reported, which include frameshift and nonsense mutations along with a microdeletion of approximately a 2.9 Mb deletion that includes OTX2 (Dateki et al. 2009). Interestingly, two patients were diagnosed with IGHD, one patient with CPHD and two cases with normal pituitary function, yet all patients had ocular abnormalities. The authors demonstrated either reduced or lost transactivation of four promoters including GNRH1, HESX1, POU1F1, and IRBP promoters by these mutations compared with the wild-type gene. This same group also reported a de novo heterozygous frameshift mutation in a patient with IGHD and bilateral anophthalmia that led to a loss of the OTX2 transactivation region (Dateki et al. 2008). Brain structural abnormalities along CPHD and anophthalmia were reported in a boy who was found to have a heterozygous 2 base insertion in the third translated exon of OTX2 [p.S136fsX178 (c.576-577insCT)] (Tajima et al. 2009). The mutant OTX2 demonstrated an inability to activate the promoters in the HESX1 and POU1F1 genes.

Furthermore, two unrelated patients diagnosed with CPHD and pituitary gland structural abnormalities, but without ocular pathology, were described to harbor a heterozygous OTX2 mutation in the third translated exon (p.N238S) (Diazck et al. 2008). Despite preserved binding to target genes, the mutant was shown to act as a dominant negative inhibitor of HESX1 gene expression. Finally, Henderson et al. (2009) performed a screening for OTX2 mutations in 142 patients with eye abnormalities. The authors described a de novo heterozygous p.S138X stop mutation in a seven-year-old male who was also noted to have GHD with a normal MRI. Although OTX2 mutations are rare and often associated with eye abnormalities, these studies highlight the role of OTX2 in pituitary pathology as well as the broad spectrum of phenotypes associated with mutations in this gene.

Concluding remarks

Over the past two decades, the pursuit of establishing a genetic basis for the development of idiopathic hypopituitarism has yielded great insight into the mechanisms involved in pituitary development. As more physicians become aware of centers that offer DNA screening in patients with CPHD, we observe increasing number of reports of novel mutations in pituitary developmental factors, which may provide an etiology for a patient’s pituitary pathology. The reader should recognize that this review is not comprehensive and, additional reports in patients with CPHD who were found to have mutations in factors such as GLI2, SOX2, SOX3, and others have been reported (Roessler et al. 2003, Woods et al. 2005, Kelberman et al. 2006, 2008a,b, Solomon et al. 2007). Furthermore, the characterization of several developmental factors using functional studies has demonstrated that they are interactive and interdependent to ultimately determine cellular function as well as cell survival. This is most likely why hypopituitarism has a heterogeneous clinical phenotype and often a continuum of evolving pathology. Nonetheless, the discovery of single-gene mutations since the 1990s has helped further the advances in mutation detection and offered insights into genotype/phenotype correlation. Understanding the genetics of pituitary pathology offers a chance to improve both the morbidity and the mortality often associated with CPHD. More importantly, the advancing science of gene therapy will someday relieve many patients from the burden of hormone replacement and provide the opportunity for a potential cure.
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