Characterization of the angiotensin (AT1b) receptor promoter and its regulation by glucocorticoids
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Abstract

Angiotensin II acts through two pharmacologically distinct receptors known as AT1 and AT2. Duplication of the AT1 receptor in rodents into AT1a and b subtypes allows tissue-specific expression of the AT1b in adrenal and pituitary tissue. Adrenal expression of this receptor is increased in the offspring of rat mothers exposed to a low-protein diet and this is associated with the undermethylation of its promoter. This phenomenon is blocked by the inhibition of maternal glucocorticoid synthesis by metyrapone. We have mapped the transcriptional start site of the promoter and demonstrated that a 1.2 kbp fragment upstream of this site is effective in driving luciferase expression in mouse Y1 cells. A combination of bioinformatic analysis, electrophoretic mobility shift analysis (EMSA), and mutagenesis studies demonstrates: i) the presence of a putative TATA box and CAAT box; ii) the presence of three Sp1 response elements, capable of binding SP1; mutation of any pair of these sites effectively disables this promoter; iii) the presence of four potential glucocorticoid response elements which each bind glucocorticoid receptor in EMSA, although only two confer dexamethasone inhibition on the promoter; iv) the presence of two AP1 sites. Mutagenesis of the distal AP1 site greatly diminishes promoter function but this is also associated with the loss of dexamethasone inhibition. These studies will facilitate an understanding of the mechanisms by which fetal programming leads to long term alterations in gene expression and the development of adult disease.
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Introduction

The renin–angiotensin system plays a major part in the regulation of salt and water metabolism and consequently of blood pressure in mammals. Key components of this system are the receptors for angiotensin. Two G protein-coupled receptors (the AT1 and AT2 receptors) have been identified in mammals which have distinctive pharmacological and signal transducing characteristics (Clauser et al. 1996, Inagami 1999). However, the majority of the short-term salt and water regulatory functions are mediated through the AT1 receptor. In rodents, a duplication of the AT1 receptor gene has formed the AT1a and b receptor subtypes (encoded by Agtr1a and Agtr1b respectively). Although the ligand binding and signal transducing features of these two highly homologous receptors are indistinguishable, they do differ in their sites of expression, with the AT1b largely restricted to the adrenal cortex and pituitary (Kakar et al. 1992, Sandberg et al. 1992).

The physiological importance of the AT1b is not immediately obvious when studied in the mouse knockout models as compensatory increases in Agtr1a expression and/or the function can obscure its role. Agtr1b-knockout animals have impaired thirst-sensing and drinking, but are not hypotensive. However, in Agtr1a-knockout animals, studies with an AT1 antagonist further reduced the blood pressure, and an angiotensin II pressor effect mediated by the AT1b was observed. These aspects are reviewed by Audoly et al. (2000).

The selective advantage of having functionally the same receptor derived from distinct genes at these sites is not clear, but one probable benefit is the opportunity for each subtype to be driven and regulated by different promoters. The more widely active Agtr1a promoter has been characterized in some detail (Murasawa et al. 1993, 1995, Takeuchi et al. 1993, Bhat et al. 1994). However, little work has been reported on the rat Agtr1b promoter following its initial characterization (Guo & Inagami 1994).

The Agtr1b is of interest to us in view of our previously reported finding that a maternal low-protein diet results in an increased expression of the adrenal Agtr1b by 1 week of age in offspring (Bogdarina et al. 2007). It has previously been shown in this model of fetal programming that the adrenal shows increased mineralocorticoid responsiveness to Ang II (McMullen et al. 2004, McMullen & Langley-Evans 2005) and this increased expression of Agtr1b provides a potential mechanism for the hypertensive phenotype that
develops after about 4 weeks of age in these animals (Langley-Evans 1997, 2000, Bertram & Hanson 2002). We showed that the putative Agtr1b promoter was undermethylated in this model, which may account for the increased gene expression (Bogdarina et al. 2007). No significant changes in the expression of Agtr1a or any other component of the renin–angiotensin system were found in any tissue studied at this early age.

Several theories for the development of fetal programming have been proposed (e.g. Simmons 2005, Fernandez-Twinn & Ozanne 2006, Lévy-Marchal & Czernichow 2006, Gluckman & Hanson 2007). One of the most widely accepted hypotheses is that maternal stress resulting from various causes leads to increased fetal exposure to maternal glucocorticoids and hence long-term alteration in gene expression or cell number in offspring (Langley-Evans 1997, Bertram & Hanson 2002). Since we have found that fetal programming leads to altered Agtr1b promoter methylation, we were particularly interested to investigate the possibility that glucocorticoids might alter DNA methylation of this gene. Administration of metyrapone, an inhibitor of corticosterone production, during the first 2 weeks of pregnancy in rats eating a low-protein diet is able to reverse the overexpression and to normalize the undermethylation of the Agtr1b gene (manuscript submitted). We have therefore set out to characterize this promoter in greater detail and in particular to investigate the mechanisms of any interaction with glucocorticoids which might in turn lead to alterations in methylation of this gene during development.

**Methods**

**Cell culture, transfections, and luciferase assay**

Mouse adrenocortical Y1 cells were maintained in high glucose DMEM/F10 medium (1:1) supplemented with 2.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 12% horse serum (HS), and penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C and in 5% CO2. For transfection, 200 ng of each plasmid were co-transfected with 20 ng of the pRL-CMV Renilla control vector (Promega, Southampton, UK) into cells in 12-well plates using calcium phosphate precipitation. Thirty-six hours later the cells were washed with PBS, lysed and the promoter activity was measured using the Dual Luciferase reporter assay protocol (Promega) with results normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. All experiments were performed three times, each time in triplicate. For dexamethasone stimulation experiments the cells were washed in PBS and cultured in fresh DMEM/F10 supplemented with dextran-coated charcoal-treated FBS and HS. Cells were stimulated with 10−7 M dexamethasone for 6 h.

**DNA manipulations**

5′-Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) was performed using GeneRacer kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturers instructions. Gel purified PCR products were cloned into the TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and sequenced.

Using rat adrenal genomic DNA as a template for PCR with primers (F-AGAGCTCCCTTTCCATCCTGTTTGTTTTCG/R_ GATAGATCTTCCCAAGTGCAAG), a 1.3 kbp PCR product, containing the 5′-region of the Agtr1b promoter was cloned into SacI-BglIII sites of pGL3-basic vector. This was subsequently digested with SacI and BamHI, the 1178 bp fragment was gel purified and recloned into SacI-BglIII sites of pGL3. This plasmid, pGL3AT1b was used in further experiments for site-directed mutagenesis of three CpG sites. pGL3AT1b was used as a PCR template to create serial 5′ deletions of the promoter containing a 202 bp fragment or a 85 bp fragment of the Agtr1b promoter. Both fragments were cloned into SacI and BglIII digested pGL3 basic vector. Mutated CpG sites in the proximal Agtr1b promoter were generated using the QuikChange XL site-directed mutagenesis protocol (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX, USA). Mutations were confirmed by sequencing. For oligonucleotide sequences for PCR and mutagenesis, see the Supplementary Table 1 in the online version of the Journal of Molecular Endocrinology at http://jme.endocrinology-journals.org/content/vol43/issue2/.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assays**

Preparation of nuclear extracts – 106 HeLa cells grown in DMEM with 10% FBS were lysed with Dignam buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)) using 0.1% NP-40. After isolation of nuclei by centrifugation, the proteins were extracted at 4 °C for 60 min with Dignam buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 25% glycerol, 0.42 M NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT) in the presence of protease inhibitors (0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluorid, 1 mM benzamidine, 30 mM benzamide, 5 mg/ml leupeptin, 5 mg/ml aprotinin, 5 mg/ml pepstatin). Nuclear extract was aliquoted and stored at −80 °C.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed in a 20 μl binding reaction containing 10 μg of the nuclear extract. Double-stranded oligonucleotides were labeled using [γ-32P] ATP (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, Hertfordshire, UK) and purified from 15% PAGE. The reaction mixtures were incubated on ice for 10 min, then 32P-labeled probe was added and the incubation was continued for another 30 min at RT. In supershift experiments, the nuclear extract was preincubated on ice with anti-Sp1
antibody for 1 h before incubation with the $^{32}$P-labeled probe. DNA–protein complexes were separated on 4–5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5×TBE for 3 h at 200 V at 4 °C and subjected to autoradiography after drying. For competition experiments, 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled competitor was pre-incubated with the nuclear extract for 15 min before labeled probe was added.

Antibodies used for supershift studies were anti-c-fos (K-25): sc-253, and anti-c-jun: sc-44 from Santa Cruz (Wembley, Middlesex, UK), anti-GR (PA1-510A) rabbit monoclonal antibody from Affinity BioReagents (Cambridge, UK) and anti-SP1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (2873-24) – a gift from Prof Steve Jackson, Gurdon Institute, Cambridge, UK.

**Results**

**Promoter mapping**

The start site of transcription of the rat Agtr1b gene was identified using the 5′ RACE technique (Fig. 1a). Sequencing of cloned extended products suggested the existence of several potential transcription start sites although the major site was located at position 1437 (Gene Bank Accession number U01033) and 11/20 sequenced clones revealed a start site in this position. Examination of 1–6 kbp of genomic sequence upstream of this start site showed a sequence containing a probable TATA box (at −27 bp), a weak CAAT box at −59 bp and 7 CpG sites, of which three were located in the proximal promoter region. Analysis in silico using Transcription Element Search System (TESS, http://www.cbil.upenn.edu/tess) suggested the presence of three putative binding sites for Sp1 (5′ end at −43, −81 and −104 bp relative to the transcriptional start site). Cpg sites 1 and 2 are located in one of the Sp1 binding sites (site 2–Sp1-2) and Cpg site 3 in Sp1-3 (Fig. 1b). Binding sites for several other transcription factors including AP1 (position −98 and −135 bp) were noted.

**Promoter function**

To assess the function of this putative promoter, a 1–2 kb fragment was cloned into the pGL3 basic luciferase reporter vector. When transiently transfected into mouse Y1 adrenocortical cells significant luciferase activity was recorded. Deletion of this promoter to only the most proximal 202 bp showed that most promoter activity was retained in this fragment, although further deletion to 85 bp which removed both putative AP1 sites and the distal Sp1 site resulted in almost complete loss of activity (Fig. 2a). The three Cpg sites of the proximal promoter (−77, −72, −42) were individually or simultaneously mutated changing C for T. Mutation in Cpg1 reduced luciferase activity to about 40% of wild-type, mutation in Cpg2 had little effect, and mutation in Cpg3 reduced the activity to about 5% of wild-type. Combinations of any two Cpg mutations or all three obliterated promoter activity (Fig. 2b). We had previously reported that in vitro methylation of these Cpg sites resulted in complete loss of promoter activity (Bogdarina et al. 2007).

**EMSA**

All three of the proximal promoter Cpg sites lie within the predicted Sp1 sites Sp1-2 or Sp1-3. Evidence for the interaction with Sp1 was sought by EMSA using
HeLa cell nuclear extracts and oligonucleotides corresponding to Sp1-1, 2 or 3. All probes bind a complex of a similar mobility to that which binds a consensus Sp1 site (Fig. 3a – arrowed). A mutated Sp1-2 or Sp1-3 oligonucleotide was unable to bind a complex (Fig. 3a). One hundred-fold molar excess of the consensus Sp1 sequence effectively competes for complex binding on both these Sp1 probes (Fig. 3b). The mutant consensus sequence or mutant Sp1-2 or Sp1-3 sequence was unable to compete (Fig. 3b). Similar results are found using Y1 cell nuclear extracts (data not shown). Further evidence that this factor was Sp1 was sought using supershift with Sp1 antibodies. As can be seen in Fig. 3c, complexes formed with both probes and the consensus Sp1 probe show supershift. We had previously shown that the influence of each of these CpG sites on the promoter function was significantly reduced when methylated in vitro (Bogdarina et al. 2007). We therefore investigated whether Sp1 binding was influenced by methylation using specifically methylated probes in EMSA. In all cases when each of the CpG sites were methylated on both strands a complex migrating as for the unmethylated probe was seen and was supershifted by Sp1 antibody. Therefore, alternative mechanisms such as methyl-DNA binding proteins binding to these methylated CpG sites are more likely to explain the inhibition of gene expression associated with methylation.

**Glucocorticoid regulation**

Using Y1 cells transfected with the full length Agtr1b-luciferase vector pGL3AT1b, it was possible to demonstrate a potent suppressive effect of 6 h treatment with dexamethasone on luciferase activity. Dose response studies indicated an IC50 of 4.4 x 10^-10 M (Fig. 4a).

To investigate whether the glucocorticoid effect was likely to be mediated through the putative GREs their ability to bind glucocorticoid receptor was investigated by EMSA. This confirmed that all four GREs bound a complex with similar mobility to that with a consensus GRE, and that a 100-fold excess of a consensus GRE competed these away. Addition of dexamethasone (10^-7 M) to the culture medium for 6 h did not influence the intensity or size of complex formation (Fig. 4b). However, site-directed mutagenesis of GRE1 and 3, but not GRE2 and 4 in the context of the full length promoter led to loss of significant glucocorticoid responsiveness (Fig. 4c),
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suggesting that the glucocorticoid effect was mediated in part through these two sites (GRE1 and 3) acting as a negative GRE.

An alternative mechanism for glucocorticoid inhibition of gene expression is that it may be mediated through an AP1 site. Two consensus AP1 sites are present in the proximal promoter, one of which (AP1-2) overlaps Sp1-1 site, whilst the other (AP1-1) overlaps GRE4. EMSA demonstrated that the putative AP1-2 site formed a complex that had similar mobility to that of a consensus AP1 site. Mutation of this site prevented formation of this complex which was also competed by a consensus AP1 fragment or the other GRE oligonucleotides. (c) The GRE4 probe binds a complex that is supershifted with glucocorticoid receptor antibody (arrow labeled ss), similar to that observed with the consensus GR probe. (d) The AP1-1 probe binds a complex that is supershifted with anti-c-jun and anti-GR antibodies, and to a lesser extent with anti-c-fos antibody.

**Figure 4** Glucocorticoid responsiveness of the proximal promoter. (a) The full length promoter-luciferase reporter shows a dose dependent inhibition by dexamethasone in Y1 cells. (b) EMSA with a consensus GRE, or GRE1–4 from the Agtr1b shows a similar complex formation (arrowed) which is not significantly altered by the pre-treatment of cells with dexamethasone. All of the Agtr1b GRE probes show successful competition by a consensus GRE. (c) The Agtr1b promoter reporter still shows adequate function in the presence of mutations of each of the GREs, and glucocorticoid inhibition is retained with the GRE2 and GRE4 mutants, but is lost when GRE1 and 3 are mutated. RLU, relative light units. *P<0.05; **P<0.001.

**Figure 5** Characterization of AP1 sites. (a) EMSA demonstrating that complexes formed on a consensus AP1 sequence and AP1-2 migrate as a complex with similar mobility, and that a consensus oligonucleotide effectively competes with AP1-2 for binding. Note that the mutant AP1 sequence also reveals a non-specific doublet complex of greater mobility than that formed with AP1. (b) EMSA demonstrating that complexes formed on AP1-1 and a consensus AP1 oligonucleotide migrate with similar mobility, but that AP1-1 binding is competed by a consensus oligonucleotide or by the GRE4 oligonucleotide, but not by a mutant consensus AP1 fragment or the other GRE oligonucleotides. (c) The GRE4 probe binds a complex that is supershifted by glucocorticoid receptor antibody (arrow labeled ss), similar to that observed with the consensus GR probe. (d) The AP1-1 probe binds a complex that is supershifted with anti-c-jun and anti-GR antibodies, and to a lesser extent with anti-c-fos antibody.
characterized the Agtr1b receptor endogenously. In this work we have investigated the role of vascular smooth muscle cells, which do not express this receptor. Although these were not found to be functional elements, the promoter region of the Agtr1b gene contains glucocorticoid response elements (PREs). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may function as a probable TATA-box, CAAT-box, and Sp1 consensus sites for GAGA factor binding. As is the case for most, but not all, Sp1 binding sites, these may be important for the activity of glucocorticoid receptors in regulating gene expression.

Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs. A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may include GRE4. This GRE does not seem to influence luciferase expression directly, but closely overlies one of the two AP1 sites in this region. As there are several examples of negative glucocorticoid effects being mediated via protein–protein interaction between GR and AP1 (Yang-Yen et al. 1990, Heck et al. 1994, Wargnier et al. 1998, Tuckermann et al. 1999) this alternative was investigated. Mutagenesis of AP1-1 had a relatively small effect on gene expression and the glucocorticoid repression was retained. Mutagenesis of AP1-2 led to substantial loss of expression of the gene, which was accompanied by loss of glucocorticoid inhibition raising the possibility that this is an additional means of glucocorticoid inhibition.

Although the acute negative glucocorticoid regulation observed in these promoter studies might appear to contradict the long-term enhancement of function observed in programmed animals, we believe the latter effect is mediated by reduced methylation. Conceivably the interaction of this promoter with the GR may influence the deposition of methylated sites during the critical period of development at which this occurs.

A further observation of interest is that the Sp1-3 sequence in addition to consensus sites for GAGA factor and zeste in exon 1 form a potential polycomb response element (PRE). PREs are of particular importance in early development where, in mammalian cells, they may
bind several proteins that can influence the chromatin structure in the surrounding vicinity as a result of histone methylation (Simon & Tamkun 2002, Lomberk & Urrutia 2005, Schwartz & Pirrotta 2007, Vasanthish & Mishra 2008). Susceptibility of a DNA region to methylation in the course of development may depend on the chromatin structure and thus be influenced by PRE activity. To what extent maternal glucocorticoids can influence PRE occupancy is not known.

In summary, we report the characterization of the Agtr1b promoter in Y1 cells, and glucocorticoid inhibition of expression has been identified acting in part through upstream promoter GREs as well as other incompletely clear mechanisms. Our findings are summarized in Figure 7. How this relates to the function of this promoter in the perinatal rat adrenal and its pattern of developmental methylation is not clear.
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