Antioestrogen-mediated cell cycle arrest and apoptosis induction in breast cancer and multiple myeloma cells
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Abstract

Antioestrogens (AEs) are synthetic molecules that block proliferation and induce apoptosis in breast cancer (BC) cells, principally by competing with oestriadiol for binding to oestrogen receptors. Their antiproliferative activity and their pro-apoptotic capacity are well documented and a small number of molecules of this class are currently used clinically for the treatment of BC. AEs also inhibit cell cycle progression and/or induce apoptosis in multiple myeloma (MM) cells. Encouraging preliminary results have been obtained with patients and on xenografts with MM, providing a rational basis for the clinical use of AEs. This review focuses on antioestrogen-mediated signalling for blocking targets involved in the cell cycle, survival and apoptosis in both BC and MM cells. Improvement in our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the relationships between these compounds and their targets should lead to more beneficial therapeutic strategies.
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Introduction

The development of hormonal treatments has led to an extensive search for highly effective and well-tolerated molecules. Antioestrogens (AEs; Fig. 1) are synthetic compounds entirely fulfilling these criteria. They inhibit the activation of two different but related ligand-induced transcription factors of the nuclear receptor superfamily: the oestrogen receptors (ERz; Green et al. 1988) and ERβ (Kuiper et al. 1996, Mosselman et al. 1996). ERz is the master regulator of breast cancer (BC) tumour behaviour and is much more strongly expressed in BC tumours than ERβ (Dahman-Wright et al. 2006). It is currently thought that ERβ represses growth by inhibiting ERz-mediated transcriptional activity (Hall & McDonnell 1999, Liu et al. 2002, Lindberg et al. 2003, Faulds et al. 2004, Strom et al. 2004), and the balance between the levels of ER subtypes appears as an important regulator of the mitogenic activity of 17 beta oestradiol (E2; Matthews et al. 2006, Holst et al. 2007). AEs block the binding of E2 to ERz and inhibit the E2-induced effects mediated by the two ERs. However, this model cannot account for all the effects of AEs. Indeed, it has been shown that some ERz receptors are located in the cancer cell membrane, facilitating E2 and DNA-independent ER activation through crosstalk with the growth factor-induced activation of cell-surface tyrosine kinase receptors (Moss et al. 1997, Falkenstein et al. 2000, Hanstein et al. 2004). Thus, two different types of signalling may be mediated by E2, with nuclear (genomic) and extra-nuclear (non-genomic) effects (for reviews see O’Malley 2005, Song et al. 2006).

The ERβ subtype has been identified not only in the mammary gland and both male and female reproductive tissues, but also in the colon, brain, pancreas and haematopoietic cells of the myeloid and lymphoid lineages (Enmark & Gustafsson 1999, Shim et al. 2003). Several groups have shown that AEs block proliferation and induce apoptosis in multiple myeloma (MM) cells, as in BC cells (Treon et al. 1998, Otsuki et al. 2000, Gauduchon et al. 2005, Olivier et al. 2006). In recent years, we have focused on deciphering the molecular mechanisms by which...
AEs, both selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), such as 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-HT), and selective oestrogen receptor down-regulators (SERDs), such as ICI 182 780 (ICI or Faslodex) and RU58668 (RU), arrest the cell cycle and/or induce apoptosis in hormone-dependent BC and MM cells. We provide here a review of some of our data. Despite the use of different molecular partners, the signalling pathways involved in cell cycle arrest and the induction of apoptosis by these AEs are similar regardless of the type of cancer cell considered.

**Oestrogens and antioestrogen effects in mammary gland cells**

**Growth and anti-apoptotic properties of oestrogens**

ERs are the predominant target of E2 in the mammary gland. We will not describe the mechanism of action of these transcription factors in detail here, because many reviews have covered the latest discoveries concerning nuclear and membrane ER activities and clinical studies (Osborne & Schiff 2005, Heldring et al. 2007, Jordan & Brodie 2007, Lonard & O’Malley 2007, Popov et al. 2007). In the mammary gland, E2 promotes cell proliferation in both normal and transformed epithelial cells by modifying the expression of hormone-responsive genes involved in the cell cycle and/or programmed cell death. In ER-positive human BC MCF-7 cells, the principal action of E2 is the induction of proliferation through the stimulation of G1- to S-phase transition. This induction is associated with the rapid and direct up-regulation of c-myc, which controls cyclin D1 expression, the activation of cyclin-dependent kinase (Gdk) and the phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein (pRb; Fig. 2; Altucci et al. 1996, Foster & Wimalasena 1996, Hurd et al. 1997, Prall et al. 1997, Doisneau-Sixou et al. 2003). Evidence for a key role of c-myc in E2 action has been obtained from experiments showing that antisense oligonucleotides inhibit E2 stimulated BC cell proliferation (Watson et al. 1991) and that the induction of c-Myc in AE-arrested cells can mimic the effects of E2 by reinitiating cell cycle progression (Prall et al. 1998). The mitogenic and anti-apoptotic activities of E2 are combined through the activation of bcl-2 gene expression and the production of smaller amounts of p53 and caspase-3 in primary cultures of normal mammary epithelial cells (Somai et al. 2003). Moreover, E2/ERz complexes bind directly to a cAMP-response element and a more distal Sp1 site on the cyclin D1 promoter, leading to an increase in cyclin D1 mRNA levels (Altucci et al. 1996, Prall et al. 1997).
Figure 2 Schematic illustration of the activities of oestradiol and antioestrogens in MCF-7 cells. Following E2 treatment, a rapid transcription-mediated increase (>) in c-myc expression occurs, facilitating cyclin E–Cdk2 activation and entry into S phase. Concomitantly, E2 down-regulates (\(<\)) p21<sub>Cip1</sub> and p27<sub>Kip1</sub> and increases cyclin D1 levels. The formation of complexes containing Cdk4 and sequestering Ckis is enhanced. Ckis levels are down-regulated upon c-myc expression. All these events initiate the phosphorolysis of pRb. Cyclin D1 in bold typeface: increase in cyclin D1 levels with activated cyclin–Cdk complexes; *increase in Cdk activity. In grey boxes, low levels of Cki. In black, E2-mediated effects. In red, AE-mediated inhibition leading to cell cycle arrest in G0/G1. Refer to text for details and references.

Pro-apoptotic activity of AEs

Mitochondria are known to play an integral role in apoptosis, and it was demonstrated that tamoxifen (Tam) induces increased rate of apoptosis after long-term treatment (Thiantanawat et al. 2003). Caspase-3 and caspase-7 are the most commonly studied effector caspases. When activated, they directly target proteins involved in cell integrity and are responsible for the final stage of cell death (Strasser et al. 2000). Specific caspase activation cascades are dependent on apoptotic stimuli (Riggins et al. 2005a). T47-D and ZR-75-1 cells contain caspase-3 that is absent from MCF-7 cells (Janicke et al. 1998). In MCF-7 cells, apoptosis proceeds via the sequential activation of caspase-7 and caspase-6 (Table 1). However, Tam increases the activity of caspases-3 in ER-negative BC cells (Mandalekar et al. 2000) and of caspase-9, caspase-6 and caspase-7 in MCF-7 cells (Thiantanawat et al. 2003) with concomitant down-regulation of Bcl-2 and up-regulation of Bax. In T47-D cells, Tam treatment activates caspase-3 (Ellis et al. 2003). It was also shown that in MCF-7 cells and rat mammary tumours, well known to resemble human BC tumours, Tam causes activation of caspase-8, a caspase normally related to membrane death receptor activation (Mandalekar et al. 2000). Indeed, caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk completely blocks Tam-induced apoptosis (Mandalekar et al. 2000). Although intriguing, these data suggest that AEs may affect both intrinsic (via caspase-9 activation) and extrinsic (via caspase-8 activation) apoptotic pathways.

Both SERMs like Tam and SERDs like ICI and RU induce moderate apoptosis in MCF-7 cells (Kandouz et al. 1999, Gompel et al. 2000) accompanied by poly-(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) cleavage. These apoptotic properties are observed at micromolar concentrations of AEs in all BC cells, whereas cell cycle blockade occurs at nanomolar concentrations of AEs, suggesting that these two processes are independent and triggered by separated pathways. It was recently shown that...

Growth inhibition of AEs

The growth-inhibiting effects of AEs in ER-positive BC cells and normal epithelial mammalian cells result from cell cycle arrest in the G0/G1 phase (Musgrove et al. 1993, Doisneau-Sixou et al. 2003, Somai et al. 2003, Jamerson et al. 2004). This antiproliferative activity is associated with the inhibition of Cdk activity and a decrease in pRb phosphorylation (Watts et al. 1995). In addition to the cell cycle regulator c-Myc (Jamerson et al. 2004), AEs target cyclin D1, cyclin E, p21<sub>Cip1</sub> and p27<sub>Kip1</sub> (Caldon et al. 2006). Both Cki molecules are weakly expressed in mammary cells, such as BC cells, but exposure to 4HT, ICI or RU strongly increases their expression (Cariou et al. 2000, Slingerland & Pagano 2000, Foster et al. 2003). Inhibition of the expression of either p21<sub>Cip1</sub> or p27<sub>Kip1</sub> with antisense oligonucleotides maintains Cdk2 in an active state and prevents AE-mediated G1 blockade (Cariou et al. 2000, Carroll et al. 2000). AEs from different classes have different effects on ERα-positive BC cells: 4-HT blocks these cells in the G1 phase, whereas ICI and RU render these cells quiescent (Carroll et al. 2000, 2003; Fig. 2).
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micromolar concentrations of ICI, 4-HT and raloxifen but also E2 induce cell death due to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), triggering classical caspase-dependent apoptosis (Mandlekar et al. 2000, Obrero et al. 2002, Kallio et al. 2005). Tam-induced production of ROS associated with release mitochondrial cytochrome c appears responsible for the early apoptotic effects of Tam. In addition, Tam-induced rapid death of MCF-7 cells is mediated by extracellular signal-regulated kinase signalling that can be abrogated by E2 but not by ICI in an ER-independent mechanism (Zheng et al. 2005). E2-induced apoptosis also occurs in BC cells resistant to long-term oestrogen deprivation (aromatase inhibitors) and to AEs (Gottardis et al. 1988, Lewis et al. 2005) and E2 treatment may constitute a new clinical strategy following exhaustive antihormone therapy (Jordan et al. 2005).

The E2-responsive cell lines MCF-7, T47-D and ZR75.1 all express the surface tumour necrosis factor (TNF), TRAIL and Fas-L receptors, the key mediators of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway the ligand-dependent activation of which generally results in rapid cell death. Unlike E2, Tam up-regulates Fas-L expression (Nagarkatti & Davis 2003). However, in MCF-7 cells subjected to long periods of E2 deprivation, E2-induced apoptosis is correlated with Fas-L expression (Song & Santen 2003). TNF-mediated apoptosis in MCF-7 cells can be abolished by E2 treatment, via an increase in production of the anti-apoptotic mediator Bcl-2 (Burow et al. 2001). Both ICI and Tam enhance TNF-induced cell death, by triggering TNF receptor production and expression at the cell surface (Smolnikar et al. 2000). Thus, significant crosstalk occurs between the TNF- and AE-induced apoptosis pathways (Table 1).

Contradictory data have been published regarding the effects of AEs on the members of the Bcl-2 family. E2 has been shown to up-regulate the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein in oestrogen-dependent BC cells (Gompel et al. 2000), an effect counteracted by both Tam and pure AEs (Kandouz et al. 1999, Zhang et al. 1999, Ameller et al. 2003, Somai et al. 2003, Thiantanawat et al. 2003). In MCF-7 cells, E2 has been shown to decrease levels of the pro-apoptotic Bak protein, whereas aromatase inhibitors or AEs have been shown to increase Bak levels (Leung et al. 1998, Thiantanawat et al. 2003). However, other studies have reported no effects of oestrogens or AEs on levels of Bax or Bak protein (Kandouz et al. 1999, Zhang et al. 1999, Gompel et al. 2000). There is therefore no clear consensus concerning the roles of these molecules in AE-mediated apoptosis. Bcl-XL is produced in small amounts, unaffected by AEs in BC cells. The pro-apoptotic protein Bik is down-regulated by E2 and up-regulated by ICI, but not by the SERMs 4-HT and raloxifen (Frasor et al. 2003, Hur et al. 2004, Frasor et al. 2006).

Thus, in BC cells, the pro-apoptotic activity of AEs is triggered through multiple pathways that are whether dependent or independent on ER signalling.

Table 1 Comparison of the apoptotic effects of selective oestrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) and selective oestrogen receptor down-regulators (SERDs) in breast cancer and multiple myeloma cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Death ligand/receptor</th>
<th>BC cells</th>
<th>MM cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>T47-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-HT</td>
<td>RU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF/TNFR</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIL/DR4/5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FasL/Fas</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2 family</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bax</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bik</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcl-1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Caspase-3 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Caspase-4 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Caspase-7 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Caspase-8 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Caspase-9 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |

\(\wedge\), enhanced or activated for caspase; \(\vee\), decreased; \(\leftrightarrow\), identical; \(\wedge\), cleaved; ND, not determined; (a), our unpublished results; (b), obtained with ICI; ab, absent.

p53

The tumour suppressor p53 is inactivated in 30% of all BCs. Together with p63 and p73, two other proteins from
the same family, p53 is a global regulator of cell cycle checkpoints and apoptosis. MCF-7 and ZR.75.1 cells contain wild-type p53, whereas T47-D cells produce a mutated form unable to bind DNA. As p53-regulated target genes, such as those encoding p21<sup>Cip</sup> and the pro-apoptotic Bax protein, are activated in response to various stimuli, and since ERα binds directly to p53 (Liu et al. 2006, Sayeed et al. 2007), it was suggested that p53 is involved in the response to AEs in BC cells. However, the effects of AEs on p53 remain unclear. It has been reported that the depletion of Tam and E<sub>2</sub> decreases p53 levels and that Faslodex can reverse the E<sub>2</sub>-mediated increase in p53 levels in T-47D cells (Dinda et al. 2002). The group responsible for this study also showed that E<sub>2</sub> and Tam induce the transcriptional activity of the P1 promoter of p53 in MCF-7 cells, whereas Faslodex does not (Hurd et al. 1997). These data contrast with data from other studies reporting no change in p53 levels in response to Tam, despite the significant induction of apoptosis and pRB dephosphorylation (Fattman et al. 1998, Zhang et al. 1999). These differences may be due to the subcellular distribution of p53 within cells (Molinari et al. 2000, Lilling et al. 2002). Furthermore, the product of the <i>Mdm2</i> oncogene, which is overexpressed in various cancers, and encodes an E3 ubiquitin ligase, regulates the stability of ERα by forming a ternary complex with p53 (Duong et al. 2007). In turn, p53 and <i>Mdm2</i> regulate the E<sub>2</sub>-dependent down-regulation of ERα and, probably, susceptibility to AE (Duong et al. 2007). Indeed, since ERα inhibits p53-mediated transcriptional repression (Sayeed et al. 2007), it can be emphasised that AE induces apoptosis in BC cells by, at least in part, relieving the inhibitory activity of ERα on p53 activity.

**Survival proteins**

The deregulation and inappropriate activation of phosphoinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) signalling and its downstream targets, Akt and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) have been linked to cancers (Luo et al. 2003). The transcriptional activity of ERα is activated by constitutively activated Akt independently on the presence of E<sub>2</sub> but in a PI3K-dependent manner since the PI3K inhibitor wortmanin inhibits both phosphorylation (Ser-167) and activation of ERα (Sun et al. 2001). Such activity is abolished by ICI but not completely by Tam, implicating that Akt-activated ERα contributes to Tam resistance (Michalides et al. 2004, Riggins et al. 2005a,b). Moreover, the p85 subunit of PI3K binds to E<sub>2</sub>-free ERα (Sun et al. 2001) and the overexpression of Akt protects against Tam-induced apoptosis (Campbell et al. 2001). However, the role of Akt in BC cells is still unclear: for example, in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, E<sub>2</sub> induces PI3K/Akt activity via an ER-dependent signalling pathway that is not affected by AEs (Tsai et al. 2001). However, Akt must be considered as a target of choice in BC therapy since its suppression prevents endocrine therapy resistance (Glaros et al. 2006).

The NF-κB family of transcription factors controls various aspects of the immune system. Among the NF-κB proteins, some possess a Rel homology domain (RHD), such as RelA (also named p65), cRel and RelB, whereas others such as the p105/p50 and the p100/p52 do not. They form hetero-complex proteins by associating with inhibitors (IκB) of NF-κB, which bind to and inhibit DNA binding of the RHDs (Hayden & Ghosh 2004). These complexes are activated through the activation of an externally activated IκB kinase that phosphorylates IκB, leading to its proteasome-mediated degradation and enabling NF-κB-mediated transcription following nuclear translocation. As shown previously, NF-κB binds ER (Ray et al. 1994) and ER inhibits NF-κB pathway by interacting at various steps of the activation cascade (see Kalaitzidis & Gilmore 2005 and references herein). NF-κB activity is repressed by E<sub>2</sub> and AEs (Nakshatri et al. 1997, Pratt et al. 2003). Members of the NF-κB/Rel family form dimers that regulate the transcription of genes encoding regulators of proliferation (c-myc and cyclin D1) and inhibitors of apoptosis (Chen & Greene 2004). According to several observations, NF-κB activity is important for mammary carcinogenesis. Several reports have indicated that SERMs (like Tam and raloxifen) as well as SERDs (like ICI) inhibit the NF-κB activity in various cell types (see Biswas et al. 2005 for a review). Thus, most results suggest that inhibiting the NF-κB pathway in BC could have therapeutic effects principally in Tam-resistant tumours treated with E<sub>2</sub> (Jordan 2004) or ICI (Riggins et al. 2005a,b).

**Effects of oestrogens and AEs in MM**

**Expression of oestrogen receptors**

Oestrogens influence the differentiation, proliferation and survival of haematopoietic cells of the B lineage (Smithson et al. 1998, Medina et al. 2000, Grimaldi et al. 2002) and increase the number of plasma cells and the capacity of these cells to synthesise immunoglobulins (Molina et al. 1999). It is therefore not surprising that normal plasma cells and plasma cells from tumours both produce oestrogen receptor mRNA and protein. Transcripts for both ER subtypes are present in MM cell lines (Fig. 3; Otsuki et al. 2000), together with protein isoforms (Treon et al. 1998, Gauduchon et al. 2005, Maillard et al. 2006, Olivier et al. 2006). However, these proteins are expressed in small amounts and are difficult to detect by immunoblotting. This is particularly true for ERβ, for which the quality of commercially available antibodies is low. In contrast to previous
effects (Olivier et al. 2006), we found that the levels of ERα and β in MM cells never reached those in MCF-7 cells (Gauduchon et al. 2003, 2005). As a result, hormone-binding detection assays based on the use of tritiated high-affinity oestrogens have always been unsuccessful in our hands (unpublished data).

Effects of oestrogens and AEs on MM cell proliferation

E2 and AEs are anti-proliferative in MM cells (Treon et al. 1998, Otsuki et al. 2000, Gauduchon et al. 2005, Olivier et al. 2006). At high concentrations (0·5 to 50 μM), AEs arrest the cell cycle in MM cells at the G1 (Gauduchon et al. 2005, Table 2) or the G2 phase (Olivier et al. 2006) depending on the type of AE used. However, in all cases, cell cycle arrest is mediated by the down-regulation of c-myc followed by the up-regulation and redistribution of p21(Cip1) and p27(Kip1) within the cyclin D/E/Cdk complexes, leading to the hypophosphorylation of pRb (Gauduchon et al. 2005, Olivier et al. 2006). A similar situation is observed in BC cells, except that the mediators of AE-mediated cell cycle arrest appear to be cell specific (Sola & Renoir 2007; Fig. 4).

Effects of AEs on the apoptosis of MM cells

In addition to their anti-proliferative activity, AEs also trigger MM cell apoptosis at micromolar concentrations (Table 2; Treon et al. 1998, Otsuki et al. 2000, Gauduchon et al. 2005, Olivier et al. 2006). The fact that U266 and Karpas 620 cell lines are listed as not responsive to RU in the face of being ER positive (Fig. 3) may be due to an insufficient (or no) ER protein expression despite the presence of the mRNAs. In agreement with that, using western blot analysis, we did not see the ER protein in Karpas 620 cells (Gauduchon et al. 2005). The lack of AE response could be also due to the absence of co-activators or overexpression of co-repressors as suggested previously (Shim et al. 2003, Shim et al. 2006, Sola & Renoir 2006).

Moreover, the response to 4-HT may be attributable to the production of ROS at micromolar 4-HT concentration.

Cell cycle arrest and apoptosis induction are independent phenomena occurring simultaneously following the treatment with 4-HT and RU or ICI (Gauduchon et al. 2005). AEs activate the mitochondrial intrinsic death pathway (Treton et al. 1998, Otsuki et al. 2000, Gauduchon et al. 2005, Olivier et al. 2006) and may also activate the endoplasmic reticulum death pathway, according to plasma cell physiology. Following the AE-induced apoptotic signal, cytochrome c is released from the mitochondria and activates caspase-9 or caspase-4 and then caspase-3 (Fig. 5). Apoptosis signalling is amplified by the recruitment of caspase-8 and the cleavage of Bid (Maillard et al. 2006), but does not require the extrinsic death receptor pathways mediated by Fas/FasL and DR4/5/TRAIL (our unpublished data).

Effects of AEs on MM survival signalling

MM cells display constitutive activation of survival signalling pathways, including the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), NF-κB, phosphoinosit-3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathways (Bommert et al. 2006). To be effective, anti-myeloma agents must therefore target one or several of these pathways. Moreover, the ability to inhibit survival pathways seems to be essential for the treatment of MM resistant to conventional drugs (Hideshima et al. 2007).
As in some BC cells, it has been shown that the NF-κB p65 subunit is associated with ERα in the nucleus of MM cell lines although weakly. Upon raloxifen treatment, there is a transient increase in the p65/NF-κB interaction and simultaneously a loss of p65 binding on specific gene promoters such as mip-1α (Olivier et al. 2006). We do not have evidence of any interaction between ERα and NF-κB subunits in MM cells after RU treatment. Nevertheless, RU induces an inhibition of IκB kinase activity in RPMI 8226 cells that undergo apoptosis (Fig. 6) and inhibits p65 binding on its DNA target (data not shown). RU also interferes with the STAT3 and Akt pathways by modulating the phosphorylation of signal transducers (Fig. 6). However, the demonstration that the inhibition of these survival pathways is necessary for apoptosis triggering needs some additional work.

**Effects of AEs in MM xenografts**

Although not reproducing the exact human pathology, a commonly used model using s.c. injection of RPMI 8226 cells in nude mice was developed (Chauhan et al. 2002, Cuendet et al. 2004). This type of tumour preserves neo-angiogenic characteristics necessary for the development of the disease. Using a stealth liposomal delivery system, we have demonstrated that both RU (Maillard et al. 2005, 2006) and 4-HT (manuscript in preparation) induced a decrease of RPMI 8226 tumour growth. In addition, AE-targeted to solid tumours by delivery systems such as those generated for RPMI 8226 (Maillard et al. 2006) and MCF-7 xenografts induce strong anti-angiogenesis, potentiating the anti-proliferative and apoptotic activities of AEs (Renoir et al. 2006).

**Conclusions**

These data demonstrate that, in ER-positive BC and MM cell lines, both types of AE arrest the cell cycle and/or induce apoptosis via two independent and separate but similar pathways. Indeed, AEs initially target c-myc and the cell cycle machinery, but cyclin D3 and p27kip1 in MM cells replacing the cyclin D1 and p21cip1 targeted in BC cells. AEs trigger the mitochondrial intrinsic death pathway in BC cells and
both the mitochondrial and endoplasmic reticulum death pathways in MM cells. ER ligands act in synergy with conventional molecules used in the treatment of cancer, such as dexamethasone, and bortezomib (Jordan 2007, Jordan & Brodie 2007), and encouraging preliminary results for MM xenografts (Maillard et al. 2006) and MM patients (Fassas et al. 2001) provide a rationale for the use of AEs in the clinical treatment of MM. AE compounds may be of greater value for the treatment than the original restriction of their use to E2-dependent BCs might suggest. The chief unresolved question concerns the involvement of ER in mediating the anti-proliferative effects of AEs. Our working hypothesis is that in both BC and MM cells, AE-mediated cell cycle arrest is ER dependent, whereas apoptosis is ER independent. ERs require various co-regulatory proteins for their function (Hall & McDonnell 2005), and the cell-specific expression of these molecules may account for differences in the actions of different ER antagonists. In addition, the discovery of membrane ER-triggered effects and crosstalk with growth factors, NF-κB and kinase cascade signalling (Gee et al. 2003, Kalaitzidis & Gilmore 2005, Martin et al. 2005, Nicholson et al. 2005) has greatly complicated our view of the mechanism of action of ER ligands. However, due to the large tissue distribution of ERs (and mainly ERβ), it is likely that AEs could have some positive or negative effects on various other cancers such as uterine, ovary, lung and colon cancers due to crosstalk with the above-mentioned pathways (AP1, SP1, EGFR, NF-κB, Akt/PKB). More work is clearly required to improve our understanding of the

Figure 6 Effects of RU on survival pathways activated in MM cells. MM cells are characterised by the constitutive activation of pathways involved in survival signalling: PI3K/Akt, Jak/Stat3, Ras/MAPK or NF-κB. This is illustrated by the constitutive phosphorylation of proteins involved in the signalisation cascade. Using phospho-specific antibodies, we analysed the effects of RU on two MM cell lines: LP-1 and RPMI 8226. RU treatment of LP-1 cells blocks cell cycle but has no effects on cell death; by contrast, RU induces RPMI 8226 cell apoptosis but had no effects on cell cycle. The Akt pathway is activated in both cell lines. In LP-1 cells, RU has no effects on pAkt (Ser473 and Thr 308) or the phosphatase PTEN. In RPMI 8226 cells, RU treatment leads to a decrease of Akt and in turn in its phosphorylated form. RPMI 8226 and LP-1 cells display a constitutive activity of p65 NF-κB subunit binding on DNA (not shown). RU treatment has no effects on pIκB, the inhibitor of NF-κB, but up-regulates this form in RPMI 8226. RU has no effects on the MAPK, ERK1/2 and JNK pathways. On the Jak/Stat3 pathway, preliminary results indicate that in RPMI 8226 cells, RU down-regulates pTyr705-Stat3. Experiments are ongoing to determine whether the inhibition of the Jak/Stat3 is necessary for apoptosis to occur.
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activities of ER ligands regulation of the balance existing between ERα and ERβ.
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