The MN1 oncoprotein activates transcription of the IGFBP5 promoter through a CACCC-rich consensus sequence
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Abstract

The IGF-binding protein (IGFBP) family consists of six proteins that are expressed and secreted in different tissues. The proteins are regulators of physiological processes throughout the body by modulating the activity of IGF-I and IGF-II. In this article, we describe the coordinated expression of IGFBP5 and MN1 in meningiomas. MN1 is a transcriptional co-activator and we show that MN1 stimulates the IGFBP5 promoter in Hep3B cells. A CACCC-containing sequence, located 140 bp upstream of the transcription start site of the promoter, is required for MN1 action. This sequence matches with the CACCCAC consensus sequence that was selected in an oligonucleotide selection assay performed for MN1. The CACCC element has also been shown to be important for induction of the IGFBP5 promoter by retinoic acid (RA) and progesterone (Pg). We were unable to confirm the effect of Pg on the promoter in Hep3B and U2-osteosarcoma cells regardless of the presence of MN1. On the other hand, we show that induction of the promoter by RA depends on co-expressed MN1 in Hep3B cells. MN1TEL, a leukemia-related fusion protein containing parts of the MN1 and TEL (ETV6) genes, is capable of stimulating the IGFBP5 promoter but is unable to cooperate with RA in Hep3B cells. This suggests that the effects of RA can be negatively affected in leukemias caused by MN1TEL.
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Introduction

The insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs) belong to a protein family with six members and are expressed and secreted in a tissue and developmental stage-specific manner. The proteins bind the small effector molecules IGF-I and IGF-II, and act as carrier proteins. IGFs are the most important regulators of mammalian growth and development and mediate the effects of growth hormone. The six IGFBP members are highly homologous, but each has distinct functions and biochemical properties. Dependent on the particular IGFBP and the type of tissue, the effect on IGF action can be inhibitory or stimulatory. IGFBPs can also have IGF-independent effects on cell growth. These effects are exerted by binding of IGFBPs to non-IGF molecules that are present either outside or inside the cell (Mohan & Baylink 2002, Schneider et al. 2002, Bach et al. 2005). IGFBP5 is the most conserved member of the protein family and plays an important role in mediating IGF effects, particularly in bone. IGFBP5 binds IGF-I and is regulated by proteolysis, glycosylation, and phosphorylation. It binds extracellular matrix components in osteoblast cell cultures and potentiates IGF function by positioning it in the vicinity of the cell membrane (Andress & Birnbaum 1992, Campbell & Andress 1997). In cultured osteoblasts and muscle cells, both inhibitory and stimulatory effects of IGFBP5 on IGF function have been described. Transgenic mice overexpressing IGFBP5 have osteopenia, lower body weight, retarded muscle development, and lower female fertility (Devlin et al. 2002, Salih et al. 2004). Within different tissues, there is a tight balance of IGFBP5 expression and disregulation of this equilibrium is thought to be a cause of disorders such as osteoporosis and renal osteodystrophy (Rosen & Donahue 1998, Jehle et al. 2000). Other physiological processes in which IGFBP5 is involved are mammalian gland involution, follicular development in the ovary, and kidney physiology (Schneider et al. 2002).

Expression of IGFBP5 is stimulated by IGF-I through the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase pathway (Kiepe et al. 2005). Transcription factors that have been shown to affect the IGFBP5 promoter are the progesterone receptor (PR; Boonyaratankorkit et al. 1999), the retinoic acid receptor (RAR; Cesi et al. 2004, 2005), the glucocorticoid receptor (Gabbitas et al. 1996), activator protein-2 (AP-2) (Duan & Clemmons 1995) and Myb (Tanno et al. 2002). Furthermore, prostaglandin E2, parathyroid hormone, and osteogenic protein-1 have been described to regulate the expression of IGFBP5 (Ji et al. 1999, Yeh & Lee 2000, Erclk & Mitchell 2005).
Several studies have associated IGFBP5 expression with cancer. IGFBP5 was shown to be able to stimulate growth of prostate cancer cells in vitro and tumor growth in vivo (Miyake et al. 2000a, b). Both inhibition and induction of apoptosis have been described in breast cancer cells in vitro (Perks et al. 2000, Butt et al. 2003, 2005). Furthermore, IGFBP5 was shown to inhibit the proliferation of cervical carcinoma and osteosarcoma cells (Higo et al. 1997, Schneider et al. 2001). This study is, among others, based on our unpublished findings that IGFBP5 and the transcriptional co-activator MN1 are co-expressed in meningiomas, a benign brain tumor arising from the arachnoidal cap cells. This suggests that the expression of the two proteins is related.

The MN1 oncogene was cloned and described by our group in 1995 (Lekanne Deprez et al. 1995) on the basis of its involvement in a t(4;22) found in a meningioma. The protein consists of 1319 amino acids and has a nuclear localization. The primary protein sequence shows no homology to other proteins, but homologs of nuclear localization. The primary protein sequence domain within the protein. The proline/glutamine-rich regions in the sequence suggested a function in evolution (Meester-Smoor et al. 2005). Extensive searches in domain databases did not reveal any specific domain within the protein. The proline/glutamine-rich regions in the sequence suggested a function in transcription and indeed, we have shown that MN1 activates the transcription activity of the Moloney sarcoma virus long terminal repeat (MSV-LTR; Buijs et al. 2000). MN1 can synergize on this promoter with transcription mediated by the RAR–retinoic X receptor heterodimer (RXR) in the presence of the RAR–RXR tetramer (RXR). MN1 can synergize on this promoter with the RAR–retinoic X receptor heterodimer (RXR) in the presence of the RAR–RXR tetramer (RXR). MN1 can synergize on this promoter with the RAR–RXR tetramer (RXR).

The MN1 oncogene was cloned and described by our group in 1995 (Lekanne Deprez et al. 1995) on the basis of its involvement in a t(4;22) found in a meningioma. The protein consists of 1319 amino acids and has a nuclear localization. The primary protein sequence shows no homology to other proteins, but homologs of nuclear localization. The primary protein sequence domain within the protein. The proline/glutamine-rich regions in the sequence suggested a function in evolution (Meester-Smoor et al. 2005). Extensive searches in domain databases did not reveal any specific domain within the protein. The proline/glutamine-rich regions in the sequence suggested a function in transcription and indeed, we have shown that MN1 activates the transcription activity of the Moloney sarcoma virus long terminal repeat (MSV-LTR; Buijs et al. 2000). MN1 can synergize on this promoter with transcription mediated by the RAR–retinoic X receptor heterodimer (RXR) in the presence of the RAR–RXR tetramer (RXR). MN1 can synergize on this promoter with the RAR–retinoic X receptor heterodimer (RXR) in the presence of the RAR–RXR tetramer (RXR).

Northern blot hybridization

Total RNA was isolated from primary meningioma tumors using guanidinium thiocyanate method (Chirgwin et al. 1979). Electrophoresis, blotting, and hybridization were carried out using standard procedures as described previously (Lekanne Deprez et al. 1995). For detection of the MN1 transcript, a 0.6 kb BamHI cDNA fragment was used. For IGFBP5, we used a 0.9 kb murine cDNA covering the entire coding region (Schuller et al. 1994). The coding regions of murine and human IGFBP5 are 91% identical. As a control for RNA loading, we used a 0.8 kb EcoRI–PstI fragment derived from the coding region of the human glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) cDNA. Hybridization signals were quantified using a phosphorImager (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Hybridization signals for MN1 and IGFBP5 were corrected for background and GAPDH expression, and the resulting values were plotted against each other.

Cloning of IGFBP5 promoter and generation of deletion/mutation constructs

PCR was performed on bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) clone PR11-506C8 (Osogawa et al. 1998) containing the IGFBP5 promoter. The forward primers

Materials and methods

Oligonucleotide-binding selection

The method used has been described previously (van Wely et al. 2003) and is a modified version of that described by Blackwell & Weintraub (1990). Oligonucleotides for selection contained a central 15-nucleotide random sequence flanked by two constant regions of 20 bp. Cellular extracts from MN1-expressing HtTA cells (Hela cells stably transfected with a tetracycline-controlled activator (HETA; van Wely et al. 2003)) were incubated with the oligonucleotides, and a monoclonal antibody against MN1 called 2F2 (Buijs et al. 2000, van Wely et al. 2003) was used to precipitate protein–DNA complexes. Bound DNA was amplified using the constant flanking sequences and used for further selection/enrichment. After five rounds of selection, PCR fragments were cloned and sequenced.
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Cloning of IGFBP5 promoter and generation of deletion/mutation constructs

PCR was performed on bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) clone PR11-506C8 (Osogawa et al. 1998) containing the IGFBP5 promoter. The forward primers
are localized at position −1111 (5′-CAGGTACC-CTAGTGCCATGATTCGGTTCC-3′) and −204 of promoter (5′-CGGGTACC-GAGAGGGCGGCTGTTCAGGG-3′) with respect to the transcription start site and each contains a KpnI site for cloning purposes. The reverse primer at position +1645 contains a BamHI site (5′-CGGGATCC-GAGAGGGCGGAGGTGAGTTGGG-3′). The resulting PCR products were cloned in pGL2basic and sequenced. A KpnI–XmaI fragment (−204 to +119) from pGL2 BP5 to 204 + 738 was subcloned in pGL3basic, resulting in pGL3 BP5 −204 + 119. The mutants mPR, dCA, dGT, and dCAdGT were generated using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). The mPR mutant is as described by Boonyaratankornkit et al. (1999). The CA-rich element at positions −147 to −133 (CCCCACCCCCACCCC) is mutated in CCCACCaaaACCCC. The dCA mutant lacks the entire CA-rich element (from positions −147 to −133), and the dGT mutant lacks the complete GT-rich element localized at positions −87 to −78 (GGGTGGTTGGGG). The dCAdGT mutant lacks both elements. All plasmids were sequenced to confirm the different deletions/mutations.

**Cell culture and transfections**

Hela-derived HiTA cell lines and 3T3 Gene-Switch cell lines were generated and cultured as described previously (van Wely et al. 2003). In 3T3 Gene-Switch cell lines expression of HA-tagged-MN1 and HA-tag (as control) were induced overnight with mifepristone (10⁻⁸ M) and used to generate cell lysates for electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA). Transient transfections were performed using hepatoma cell line B (Hep3B; Knowles et al. 1980) or U2-osteosarcoma cells (U2OS). U2OS cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and are known as HTB-96 in this collection. Hep3B cells were maintained in α-minimum essential medium (α-MEM) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM l-glutamine, 1000 U/1 penicillin, and 1 mg/1 streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ and U2OS cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FCS, 1000 U/1 penicillin, and 1 mg/1 streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. The day before transient transfections cells were seeded in 24-well plates (0·7 × 10⁵ cells/well). On the day of transfection, medium was changed and, if indicated in figure legends, progesterone (Pg) (Q2600), Steraloids, Newport, RI, USA) or all-trans RA (R2625, Sigma-Aldrich) was added at the desired concentration (Pg, 10⁻⁷ M; RA, 10⁻⁹ M). Transfections were carried out using FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent (Roche Applied Science) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The MN1 expression plasmid, pMN50, has been described previously (van Wely et al. 2003), the expression plasmid for PR (pCMV3.1 PR-β) and the PR-responsive luc (PRE2-luc) reporter were obtained from Jenster et al. (1997) (Department of Urology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands). Expression plasmid pcDNA3 TEL was generated by cloning the insert of pSCTOP TEL (Buijs et al. 2000) (HindIII–NotI digestion) into pcDNA3.1. pcDNA3 MN1TEL was made by cloning the C-terminal region of MN1TEL (pSCTOP MN1TEL, SfiI–NotI digestion, (Buijs et al. 2000)) into pMN50. For a transfection experiment, 375 ng expression plasmid and 25 ng reporter plasmid were used. For each experiment, the total amount of transfected DNA and the molar ratio of CMV promoter were kept constant by the addition of puC6 plasmid DNA. After incubation for 48 h, cells were lysed and luciferase activity was measured on a Fluoroscan Ascent FL luminometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA, USA). Transfection efficiency was monitored regularly by adding a Renilla luciferase construct or a LacZ internal control plasmid (pcDNA3.1 HisLacZ). Transfection efficiency was shown not to vary within an experiment (data not shown). Each experiment was done at least thrice in triplicate.

**Real-time PCR (Taqman analysis)**

RNA was isolated from 3 × 10⁵ cells using the Rneasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. RNA (3 μg) was reverse transcribed using 300 U Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus (M-MLV) reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and 750 ng random hexamers. cDNA (12·5 ng) was analyzed for the expression of RNA polymerase II, subunit A (PolR2A), MN1, and IGFBP5 by real-time PCR using SYBR green PCR assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and an ABI Prism 7700. MN1 and IGFBP5 levels were corrected for the PolR2A levels detected in the samples. Levels of expression for MN1 and IGFBP5 were calculated relative to PolR2A level in the sample using the observed threshold cycle. Two separate RNA samples of cell lines were analyzed. Primers (7·5 pmol used for each PCR): PolR2A-F: 5′-CGGATGAACGACGC- GAATG-3′; PolR2A-R: 5′-GAGTCCACAGGAGGCTTGGG-3′; MN1-F: 5′-TCGCTGTAGGACGGACACAG-3′; MN1-R: 5′-GTCACTCAAGTGGAGGAG-3′; IGFBP5-F: 5′-GGGAGGCCGAGAACCAC-3′; and IGFBP5-R: 5′-GGCCCTGGCTGTAGGATCC-3′.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)**

Lysates were made of mifepristone (10⁻⁸ M)-induced 3T3 cell lines (expressing hemagglutinin (HA) tagged MN1 and the HA-tag alone as a control) using EMSA lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES (pH 7·9), 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 300 mM NaCl, 0·1% Triton X-100, 20% glycerol,
0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT); Roche). The cells were disrupted in a cuphorn sonifier. Protein concentration was measured and 20 μg protein were used for each EMSA experiment. Protein lysates were incubated with 10 000 c.p.m. 32P-labeled double-stranded oligo in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 60 mM KCl, 4% Ficoll 400, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM EDTA at room temperature for 30 min. Double-stranded oligos used for EMSA: IGFBP5-CA: 5'-GCTCTCCCACCCCACCCCGTGTG-3', IGFBP5-GT: 5'-GAGTTGGGTGTTGGGAAGCT-3'; and Selex-78: 5'-GACCACCCACGTTGGCTCC-3'. Samples were analyzed on a 4% acrylamide gel (375: 1; acrylamide: bisacrylamide) in 0.5 Tris-boric acid-EDTA (TBE) at 15 mA. After electrophoresis, the gel was transferred to paper and dried. Bands were quantified using a Typhoon 9200 scanner (GE Healthcare).

Results

Oligonucleotide selection experiments reveal that MN1 recognizes a CACCC-rich sequence

We have shown previously that MN1 can activate transcription from the MSV-LTR, and that MN1 contains a transcription-activating domain near its N-terminus (Buijs et al. 1995, van Wely et al. 2003). In order to investigate whether the MN1 protein can bind to DNA and whether it recognizes a specific DNA element, we performed an oligonucleotide selection assay. To this end, an oligonucleotide pool was synthesized with a random core of 15 residues with 20 residues of known flanking sequence on both sides. The pool was incubated with a lysate obtained from an HtTA HeLa cell line in which MN1 expression was induced by omitting tetracycline from the medium (van Wely et al. 2003). Oligonucleotides binding to MN1 were precipitated from the mixture using the MN1 monoclonal antibody 2F2. These oligonucleotides were amplified using primers annealing to the sequences flanking the random core. This selection procedure was repeated five times. The resulting oligonucleotides enriched for MN1-binding were cloned and the insert sequence of 54 different plasmids was determined. A CACCC-rich sequence was found in 34 plasmid inserts from which a consensus-binding sequence CACCCAC was deduced (Fig. 1). In seven plasmids, a sequence AGGTCAaAGGTCA resembling a RAR–RXR DR1-binding site was observed. The RAR/RXR-responsive elements were shown to be responsible for a synergistic induction of expression by RA and MN1 in an earlier paper (van Wely et al. 2003). The remaining 13 inserts contained random sequences.

We have shown previously that MN1 and RAR–RXR can synergistically induce expression from the MSV-LTR, a viral promoter that contains a RA-responsive element (van Wely et al. 2003). To investigate the effect of the CACCC element on expression, an oligonucleotide containing two of the CACCC consensus sequences was inserted into a reporter vector in front of a TATA box. However, when MN1 cDNA was co-transfected with the reporter, we were unable to establish an effect on the expression of this synthetic promoter.

Coordinated expression of MN1 and IGFBP5 in meningiomas

In a previous study, we showed that MN1 expression varies considerably between meningiomas (Lekanne Deprez et al. 1995). We also investigated a putative role

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligo ...GACNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNCTC....</th>
<th>CACCCACGTTGCTGCTC*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACACTCCCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACACCTGCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCCCTGGACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCCGTGGACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCCGTTGTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGGACTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACGTTGACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus CACCCAC</td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CACCCACCTGCCACCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1 Oligonucleotide selection assay. To establish the consensus sequence CACCCAC, 34 oligonucleotides from the selection assay were used. The numbers indicate the percentage of oligonucleotides containing each nucleotide. The oligonucleotide indicated with an asterisk was used for the bandshift assay (Fig. 4).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for the IGF pathway in these benign tumors. These experiments showed that there was a correlation between the expression of MN1 and IGFBP5. Figure 2A shows a representative northern blot containing RNA from ten meningiomas hybridized with probes against IGFBP5 (top panel), MN1 (middle panel), and control GAPDH (bottom panel). Quantification of expression of MN1, IGFBP5, and GAPDH using a phosphorImager revealed that the expression of the MN1 gene correlated with the expression of the IGFBP5 gene in 18 out of the 20 meningiomas tested, with high expression of MN1 occurring in the same tumors that

Figure 2 Coordinated expression of MN1 and IGFBP5 in meningiomas. (A) The northern blot, containing 20 μg of each RNA sample, was hybridized to probes for IGFBP5 (top panel), MN1 (middle panel), and GAPDH (lower panel). (B) Correlation of MN1 and IGFBP5 expression in 20 meningiomas. Expression of IGFBP5 and MN1 was calculated in relation to GAPDH using PhosphorImager data. r, Pearson correlation.
showed high expression of IGFBP5. Figure 2B is a graphical representation of all the meningiomas tested; the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) calculated is 0.765 (P value < 0.0001 at significance level 0.01). These results suggest a functional relationship between the expression of these genes.

**MN1 stimulates expression of the IGFBP5 gene through CA- and GT-rich elements**

Inspection of the promoter of the IGFBP5 gene showed that it contains a CA-rich element that may present a possible natural target for regulation of expression by MN1. Another element is GT-rich, and is nearly the
MN1 binds indirectly to CA-containing oligonucleotides

The finding that MN1 recognizes a CACCC sequence in the oligonucleotide selection experiments and is able to activate the IGFBP5 promoter containing similar elements prompted us to investigate whether MN1 binds to the CA- and GT-rich DNA elements in a bandshift assay. To this end, an NIH3T3 cell line was used in which the expression of HA-tagged MN1 can be induced by addition of mifepristone. Lysates of HA and HA-MN1 cell lines were incubated with $^{32}$P-labeled double-stranded oligonucleotides containing the CA consensus sequence (as shown in Fig. 4A; selex 78) and CA- and GT-containing oligonucleotides derived from the IGFBP5 promoter sequence (see Materials and methods section and Fig. 4B for specific sequences). The results of the mobility shift assays are shown in Fig. 4. The CA-containing selex oligonucleotide (selex 78) was found to bind to four separate protein complexes (lane 4, complexes numbered I–IV). Figure 4B is a graphical representation of the binding. The four complexes are distinguishable as four peaks. The CA oligonucleotide derived from the IGFBP5 promoter (IGFBP5-CA) binds two complexes, similar to complexes I and II detected with selex 78 oligonucleotide (lane 1). Although the sequence of the GT oligonucleotide is not exactly complementary to the CACCC consensus sequence, the IGFBP5-GT oligonucleotide binds two complexes similar to complexes III and IV. Close examination of the sequences of the selex 78 and the IGFBP5-GT oligonucleotide reveals that the oligonucleotides share the GTTGG sequence: most likely, this represents the sequence responsible for the complexes binding to both oligonucleotides.

Binding specificity was established by competition with cold oligonucleotides (lane 3, 6, and 9). In the presence of HA-MN1, the relative intensities of complexes I and II change and complex II is now the major complex for bandshifts with both selex 78 and IGFBP5-CA oligonucleotides experiments (lane 2 and 5; indicated with arrows in Fig. 4A and B). Possible explanations are that the newly formed complex with HA-MN1 migrates at the same position as the complex II that is seen in the absence of MN1, or alternatively, that MN1 stimulates the formation of complex II, for instance by increasing the recruitment of proteins, such as p160 and p300 that bind to MN1. No influence of HA-MN1 was detectable on the complexes binding the IGFBP5-GT oligonucleotide.

In order to establish whether binding of MN1 was direct or indirect, mobility shift assays were performed with MN1 produced through in vitro transcription–translation. No binding of MN1 to the oligonucleotides was found (data not shown). Thus, we conclude that MN1 recognizes CACCC-rich sequences indirectly, presumably by binding to a CACCC-specific transcription factor.

The progesterone receptor (PR) does not stimulate the IGFBP5 promoter in Hep3B cells and U2 osteosarcoma cells (U2OS) cells, and the effect of MN1 is not affected by PR

It has previously been shown by Boonyaratanakornkit et al. (1999) that the PR can stimulate the IGFBP5 promoter in the presence of its ligand, progesterone. Although no canonical PR-responsive elements are present in the IGFBP5 promoter, Boonyaratanakornkit et al. (1999) showed that induction by PR is mediated by

In order to establish whether MN1 induces expression of IGFBP5, we cloned the promoter in front of a luciferase reporter (outline of the constructs is shown in Fig. 3A). Transient transfections were carried out using an MN1 expression construct. For these experiments, we used the Hep3B liver carcinoma cell line, in which there is no expression of the endogenous MN1 gene. Figure 3B shows that MN1 induces the IGFBP5 promoter about sixfold, and that the smaller promoter construct spanning nucleotide (nt) −204 to nt 738 is sufficient for induction by MN1. Deletion of promoter +119 to +738 did not influence the induction of MN1 (data not shown).

To investigate the role of the CA and/or GT-rich elements in MN1-induced expression, the sequences were deleted from the shortest IGFBP5 promoter construct. Both single and double mutants (lacking both CA and GT-rich elements) were generated. The effect of the mutations is shown in Fig. 3C. MN1 was able to induce the IGFBP5 promoter in a dose-dependent manner, and deletion of both elements negatively affected the induction of the IGFBP5 promoter by MN1. When both elements were deleted, promoter activity was almost completely abolished. In the literature, the CA-rich element is described as a PR-sensitive element (Boonyaratanakornkit et al. 1999). A mutant used by the authors of this article in which 3 Cs were exchanged with As was no longer able to confer induced expression.

Figure 3C shows that the mPR mutation construct is also stimulated less efficiently by MN1. On the basis of these experiments, we conclude that MN1 is able to efficiently induce the IGFBP5 promoter and that the CA- and GT-rich elements are involved in MN1-induced expression.

In order to establish whether MN1 stimulates the IGFBP5 promoter in Hep3B cells and U2 osteosarcoma cells (U2OS) cells, and the effect of MN1 is not affected by PR
the CACCC element in the promoter. Since MN1 also uses this element to induce to IGFBP5 promoter, and can collaborate with another nuclear receptor, the RAR–RXR heterodimer (van Wely et al. 2003), we sought to investigate the effect of a combination of PR and MN1 on this promoter. To this end, we co-transfected MN1 and PR expression constructs and the IGFBP5 reporter into Hep3B cells. The result of this experiment is shown in Fig. 5A. Whereas MN1 efficiently induced the IGFBP5 promoter as shown above, we were unable to find induction of the promoter by PR. Neither did different concentrations of PR result in induction of IGFBP5 in Hep3B cells (data not shown). Co-transfection of MN1 and PR did not increase the induction of the IGFBP5 promoter by MN1.

Since the experiments described by Boonyaratankornkit et al. (1999) had been carried out in human U2OS cells, we repeated the experiments in this cell line. U2OS cells express MN1 endogenously as is shown in Fig. 5C and, as a consequence, no effect of transfection of MN1 is obvious (Fig. 5B). Figure 5C also shows that MN1 and IGFBP5 are both expressed in U2OS cells but not in Hep3B cells. In contrast to Boonyaratankornkit et al. (1999), we found inhibition of IGFBP5 by the PR in U2OS cells rather than stimulation (Fig. 5B). As a control for PR activity, we established that PR in the presence of ligand but not in its absence could induce a luciferase reporter driven by two copies of the canonical progesterone-responsive element (PRE, Fig. 5D). The results are shown for U2OS cells and were similar in Hep3B cells.

The IGFBP5 promoter can also be induced by MN1TEL, and induction by MN1 can be enhanced by RA in Hep3B cells

Because of the effect of IGFBP5 in various forms of cancer, we next sought to investigate whether the leukemia-associated MN1TEL fusion protein is also capable of induction of the IGFBP5 promoter. Figure 5E

Figure 4 Bandshift assay using the selected CACCCAC sequence and IGFBP5-derived oligonucleotides. (A) Autoradiograms of bandshift assays using three different oligonucleotides incubated with total lysates of cell lines expressing HA-tagged MN1 and the HA-tag alone as a control. Four protein complexes binding the selex78 oligonucleotide are indicated with roman numbers I–IV. Lanes 1, 4, and 7: shifts observed with control lysate of HA-expressing 3T3 cell line. Lanes 2, 5, and 8: shifts observed with lysate of HAMN1-expressing cell line. Arrows indicated the more intense band appearing in lanes 2 and 5. Lanes 3, 6, and 9: competition experiment using cold oligonucleotides (100× molar excess). (B) Graphical representation of bandshift assays. Peaks are indicated for protein complexes I, II, III, and IV. More intense bands are indicated with an arrow.
shows that MN1TEL is indeed able to induce the IGFBP5 promoter. It has also been described that RA can induce IGFBP5 in neuroblastoma cells and other cell types (Cesi et al. 2004, 2005). Since MN1 is known to collaborate with the receptors of this ligand, RAR–RXR, by inducing expression from the MSV-LTR (van Wely et al. 2003), we investigated the effect of RA on the IGFBP5 promoter in the presence or absence of MN1. In Hep3B cells that express all RARs and RXRs (Wan et al. 1998), we observed that addition of RA alone is

---

**Figure 5** The PR does not stimulate the IGFBP5 promoter; RA induction of IGFBP5 depends on the presence of MN1. (A) Expression plasmids for MN1 (125 ng) and PR (250 ng) were transfected together with pGL3 BP5−204+119 in Hep3B cells in the presence of progesterone (Pg; 10^{−7} M). The PR has no effect on the transfected IGFBP5 promoter. (B) Transfection performed in U2OS cells (as described for 5A). The PR does not stimulate transcription from the transfected IGFBP5 promoter in U2OS cells. (C) Endogenous expression of MN1 and IGFBP5 in Hep3B and U2OS cells as determined by real-time PCR. Expression of MN1 and IGFBP5 was observed in U2OS cells, whereas Hep3B had no expression of either of the genes. (D) Control for the effect of progesterone. pPRE-luc contains two copies of the canonical PR-responsive element and, when co-transfected with PR, is efficiently induced in the presence of Pg. (E) In Hep3B cells, 25 ng reporter plasmid (pGL3 BP5−204+119) and 375 ng expression plasmid for MN1, MN1TEL, and TEL were transfected in the presence or absence of retinoic acid (RA; 10^{−9} M). RA can stimulate the IGFBP5 promoter only in the presence of MN1. MN1TEL cannot replace MN1 in this process. TEL does not have any effect on the IGFBP5 promoter. Values shown are the mean of triplicate wells ± S.E. P values are shown for significant changes (two-tailed Student’s t-test). rlu, relative light units; RA, retinoic acid; PR, progesterone receptor; Pg, progesterone.
insufficient to stimulate the promoter. In the presence of MN1, however, a clear induction was found (Fig. 5E). Thus, in Hep3B cells, induction of the IGFBP5 promoter with RA requires expression of MN1.

Since we previously found that the fusion protein MN1TEL can induce expression, but is not capable of synergising with RA in the case of the MSV-LTR (van Wely et al., unpublished observations), we investigated whether the same was true for the IGFBP5 promoter. In analogy to our results with the MSV-LTR, the effect of MN1TEL was not enhanced in the presence of RA. We also found that the fusion partner TEL had no effect on the IGFBP5 promoter (Fig. 5E). If induction of the IGFBP5 promoter by RA was always dependent on co-expressed MN1, the sole addition of RA to U2OS cells would be sufficient to induce the IGFBP5 promoter, since these cells express MN1 endogenously. Transfection of the IGFBP5 reporter construct in U2OS cells and incubation with RA, however, did not result in increased expression from the promoter. We conclude that there was no induction of the IGFBP5 promoter by RA alone either in Hep3B or in U2OS cells and that expression of MN1 in Hep3B cells is required for RA to have an effect on the IGFBP5 promoter.

Discussion

The oligonucleotide selection experiments revealed a consensus sequence CACCCAC that was recognized by protein complexes containing MN1. Our data suggest that this binding is presumably indirect and through another, as yet unknown, protein or proteins. The CACCCAC sequence is present in the list of regulatory motifs in human promoters generated by the study of Xie et al. (2005), in which over 17 000 well-annotated genes were studied by aligning promoters and 3′UTRs of different mammalian species. The motif CACCCAC was found in reverse (GTGGGTGK) together with about 150 other short regulatory sequences. Searching the Transfac database with the motif in both orientations shows that many transcription factors, such as AP2-1, Egr-1, paired box protein (PAX)1, 5 and 9, Sp1, AP1, human T-cell leukemia virus enhancer factor (HETF), and Dopamine receptor regulating factor (DRRF) bind to this motif (http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html#transfac). In addition, there is an intriguing correlation between the CACCCAC-MN1-binding motif and the GACCACCCAC elements to which GLIoma-associated oncogene (GLI) 1, 2, and 3 and the family members GLIS 1, 2, and 3 bind (Kim et al. 2003, Kasper et al. 2006). In the sequences that were determined on the basis of the oligonucleotide selection assay, the same flanking sequence was present upstream of the conserved motif in all cases. If the motif is then extended at its 5′ end with three nucleotides of flanking sequence, it reads GACCACCCAC. The sequence of the other flanking sequence is different for all selected sequences, suggesting that a selection has occurred for one of the two flanking sequences in conjunction with the core motif CACCCAC, and thus that it is also possible that a GLI family member is a target protein for MN1.

MN1 and IGFBP5 are co-expressed in meningiomas, a benign tumor of the meninges. Considering the results presented in this work, the most likely explanation for this finding would be that MN1 induces IGFBP5 expression in these tumors. Expression of MN1 and IGFBP5 between the different meningiomas differs considerably, some tumors having hardly detectable expression levels, and others having high expression. Our comparison of expression levels of MN1 and IGFBP5 with other characteristics of these meningiomas produced no correlation with the position of the tumor, histological subtype or grade, nor any evidence for involvement of the NF2 tumor suppressor gene (Lekanne Deprez et al. 1995, Kros et al. 2001). Nordquist & Mathiesen (2002) studied the expression of IGFBP5 in three groups of meningiomas (classified on the basis of invasiveness) and concluded that higher expression of IGFBP5 is observed predominantly in tumors that do not invade the brain. This would correlate with our finding, since, in meningiomas, invasion of surrounding structures is extremely rare, and was certainly not seen in the meningiomas in our study. Thus, both studies suggest that the expression of IGFBP5 is high in some meningiomas and low in others. Whether expression is higher than normal is not known at present. Persistent, but low-level expression of IGFBP5 mRNA and protein has been observed in the mouse meninges (van Kleffens et al. 1999), but there is no data on the IGFBP5 expression level in normal human meninges.

Boonyaratanakornkit et al. (1999) reported previously that PR efficiently stimulated the IGFBP5 promoter, and that the CA-rich region was responsible for this, although the sequence does not resemble a canonical PRE. They also showed that the PR does not bind the CA element directly. We investigated the relationship between MN1 and PR in the presence of Pg and we were not able to reproduce the effect of PR on the IGFBP5 promoter either in Hep3B or in U2OS cells. In both cell lines, the control PRE-driven reporter was efficiently induced by co-transfected PR after the addition of Pg. Explanations for these discrepancies are difficult to give, but may result from differences in cell lines after prolonged culturing or in culture conditions.

In the neuroblastoma cell line LAN-5, cervical carcinoma cells, human breast carcinoma cells, and rat osteoblastic cells, RA was shown to stimulate the expression of IGFBP5 (Dong & Canalis 1995, Higo et al. 1997, Cesì et al. 2004, 2005). Mutagenesis of the CACCC element decreased, but did not completely abolish RA induction in LAN-5. This suggests that other sites for RA
induction are present in the promoter (Cesi et al. 2004, 2005). In contrast, RA decreased IGFBP5 levels in the prostate adenocarcinoma cell line PC-3 and in the human breast carcinoma cell line T47D (Shemer et al. 1993, Hwa et al. 1997). In the Hep3B and U2OS cells studied in this work, RA had no effect on its own. We showed that RA can cooperate with exogenously added MN1 in Hep3B cells, leading to stimulation of the promoter, but cannot do this in U2OS cells that express the protein endogenously. These results suggest that regulation of the IGFBP5 promoter by RA occurs, but that unknown cell-dependent factors determine the outcome.

Interestingly, MN1TEL was as efficient in activating the IGFBP5 promoter as MN1, but this activity could not be enhanced by addition of RA. TEL on its own had no effect on the promoter. Since MN1TEL is under control of the MN1 promoter, its expression is probably similar to expression of MN1, which makes it possible that the fusion protein competes for MN1-binding partners. Its inactivity in collaboration with RA is not unique for the IGFBP5 promoter. The Moloney sarcoma virus long terminal repeat (MSV-LTR) is stimulated by both MN1 and MN1TEL; on this promoter too, MN1 can collaborate with RA, whereas MN1TEL cannot. This property of MN1TEL could play a role in leukemia caused by MN1TEL.

No other transcription factors have been described that regulate the transcription of the IGFBP5 gene through the regions identified as being important for MN1. The CACCCAC motif overlaps with two putative AP-2 sites but they were shown not to be used by this transcription factor. Instead, a more proximal GCCNNNGGC sequence within the promoter was shown to be the target of AP-2. Both the CA- and the GT-rich motifs are highly conserved between species. Figure 6 shows the alignment of the IGFBP5 promoter, which ranges from nt −200 to +20 for human, chimp, mouse, and rat. Proven consensus sequences for several factors are indicated. Most of them cluster in the region between the CAAT box and the TATA box. The sequences identified here to be important for proper

Figure 6 Alignment of the IGFBP5 promoter in human, chimp, mouse, and rat. The promoter is aligned for bp −200 to +20 relative to the transcription start site (indicated with an arrow). The CA- and GT-rich elements, CAAT box, and TATA box are boxed. Known binding sites are indicated under the sequence with a line. a, E-box, CCAAT/enhances binding protein (C/EBP)-responsive element (RE); b, cortisol and osteogenic protein 1 RE; c, Myb-binding site; d, AP2-binding site; e, nuclear factor-1 (NF1)-binding site; f, MN1 RE/progesterone RE.
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